

# EPC Compliant Class-1 Generation-2 UHF RFID Devices Conformance Requirements

specifies the conformance requirements for a passivebackscatter, Interrogator-talks-first, RFID system operating in the 860 – 960 MHz frequency range.

Release 2.0.1, Ratified, Oct 2015



## **Document Summary**

Document I tem	Current Value
Document Name	EPC Compliant Class-1 Generation-2 UHF RFID Devices Conformance Requirements
Document Date	Oct 2015
Document Version	2.0
Document Issue	1
Document Status	Ratified
Document Description	specifies the conformance requirements for a passive-backscatter, Interrogator-talks-first, RFID system operating in the 860 – 960 MHz frequency range.

## **Revision History**

Release	Date of Change	Changed By	Summary of Change
2.0	Jun-2015	Josef Preishuber-Pflügl	Final Document
2.0.1	Oct 2015	D.Buckley	Branding update for ratified version

## Disclaimer

GS1<sup>®</sup>, under its IP Policy, seeks to avoid uncertainty regarding intellectual property claims by requiring the participants in the Work Group that developed this **EPC Compliant Class-1 Generation-2 UHF RFID Devices Conformance Requirements** to agree to grant to GS1 members a royalty-free licence or a RAND licence to Necessary Claims, as that term is defined in the GS1 IP Policy. Furthermore, attention is drawn to the possibility that an implementation of one or more features of this Specification may be the subject of a patent or other intellectual property right that does not involve a Necessary Claim. Any such patent or other intellectual property right is not subject to the licencing obligations of GS1. Moreover, the agreement to grant licences provided under the GS1 IP Policy does not include IP rights and any claims of third parties who were not participants in the Work Group.

Accordingly, GS1 recommends that any organisation developing an implementation designed to be in conformance with this Specification should determine whether there are any patents that may encompass a specific implementation that the organisation is developing in compliance with the Specification and whether a licence under a patent or other intellectual property right is needed. Such a determination of a need for licencing should be made in view of the details of the specific system designed by the organisation in consultation with their own patent counsel.

THIS DOCUMENT IS PROVIDED "AS IS" WITH NO WARRANTIES WHATSOEVER, INCLUDING ANY WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY, NONINFRINGMENT, FITNESS FOR PARTICULAR PURPOSE, OR ANY WARRANTY OTHER WISE ARISING OUT OF THIS SPECIFICATION. GS1 disclaims all liability for any damages arising from use or misuse of this Standard, whether special, indirect, consequential, or compensatory damages, and including liability for infringement of any intellectual property rights, relating to use of information in or reliance upon this document.

GS1 retains the right to make changes to this document at any time, without notice. GS1 makes no warranty for the use of this document and assumes no responsibility for any errors which may appear in the document, nor does it make a commitment to update the information contained herein.

GS1 and the GS1 logo are registered trademarks of GS1 AISBL.



## **Table of Contents**

1	Scop	pe	
2	Con	formance	
	2.1	Claiming Conformance	
	2.2	General Conformance Requirements	
	2	2.2.1 Interrogators	
	2	2.2.2 Tags	
	2.3	Command Structure and Extensibility	
	2	2.3.1 Mandatory Commands	
	2	2.3.2 Optional Commands	
	2	2.3.3 Proprietary Commands	
	2	2.3.4 Custom Commands	
3	Nori	mative References	6
4	Terr	ms and Definitions	7
	4.1	Additional Terms and Definitions	7
5	Sym	nbols, Abbreviated Terms, and Notation	7
	5.1	Symbols	
	5.2	Abbreviated Terms	
	5.3	Notation	
6	Prot	tocol Requirements	8
7	Revi	ision History	
Α	Ann	nex A	
	A.1	Scope	
	A.2	Q and A	
В	DUT	r technical information required for test subm	nission94
	B.1	Introduction	
	B.2	Application Conformance	
	B.3	Command support	
	B.4	Configuration of tags	
	B.5	Configuration of Interrogators	



## Introduction

This document specifies the conformance requirements for a passive-backscatter, Interrogatortalks-first (ITF), radio-frequency identification (RFID) system operating in the 860 MHz – 960 MHz frequency range. The system comprises Interrogators, also known as Readers, and Tags, also known as Labels.

An Interrogator transmits information to a Tag by modulating an RF signal in the 860 MHz – 960 MHz frequency range. The Tag receives both information and operating energy from this RF signal. Tags are passive, meaning that they receive all of their operating energy from the Interrogator's RF waveform.

An Interrogator receives information from a Tag by transmitting a continuous-wave (CW) RF signal to the Tag; the Tag responds by modulating the reflection coefficient of its antenna, thereby backscattering an information signal to the Interrogator. The system is ITF, meaning that a Tag modulates its antenna reflection coefficient with an information signal only after being directed to do so by an Interrogator.

Interrogators and Tags are not required to talk simultaneously; rather, communications are halfduplex, meaning that Interrogators talk and Tags listen, or vice versa.

## 1 Scope

This document specifies:

- Compliance requirements for physical interactions (the signalling layer of the communications) between Interrogators and Tags, and
- Compliance requirements for Interrogator and Tag operating procedures and commands.

## 2 Conformance

#### 2.1 Claiming Conformance

A device shall not claim conformance with the Protocol unless certified, in writing, by EPCglobal, Inc., or one of its designated representatives. To conform, a device shall comply with all clauses in this document (except those marked as optional) and all local radio regulations. Conformance may also require a license from the owner of any intellectual property utilised by said device.

To be certified as alteration-EAS conformant Tags and Interrogators shall additionally support the optional clauses or portions of optional clauses specified in Annex N under the item Alteration EAS

To be certified consumer-electronics conformant Tags and Interrogators shall additionally support the optional clauses or portions of optional clauses specified in Annex N under the item Consumer Electronics

To be certified as Tag-alteration conformant and Interrogators shall additionally support the optional clauses or portions of optional clauses specified in Annex N under the item Tag Alteration (Core). Additionally tags may be conformant to Tag Alteration (Challenge), Tag Alteration (Authenticate) and or Tag Alteration (Full) by fulfilling the respective requirements of Annex N

#### 2.2 General Conformance Requirements

#### 2.2.1 Interrogators

#### To conform to the Protocol, an Interrogator shall:

- Meet the requirements of the Protocol,
- Implement the mandatory commands defined in the Protocol,



- Modulate/transmit and receive/demodulate a sufficient set of the electrical signals defined in the signaling layer of the Protocol to communicate with conformant Tags, and
- Conform to all local radio regulations.

#### To conform to the Protocol, an Interrogator may:

- Implement any subset of the optional commands defined in the Protocol, and
- Implement any proprietary and/or custom commands in conformance with the Protocol.

#### To conform to the Protocol, an Interrogator shall not:

- Implement any command that conflicts with the Protocol, or
- Require using an optional, proprietary, or custom command to meet the requirements of the Protocol.

#### 2.2.2 Tags

#### To conform to the Protocol, a Tag shall:

- Meet the requirements of the Protocol,
- Implement the mandatory commands defined in the Protocol,
- Modulate a backscatter signal only after receiving the requisite command from an Interrogator, and
- Conform to all local radio regulations when appropriately commanded by an Interrogator.

#### To conform to the Protocol, a Tag may:

- Implement any subset of the optional commands defined in the Protocol, and
- Implement any proprietary and/or custom commands as defined in 2.3.3 and 2.3.4, respectively.

#### To conform to the Protocol, a Tag shall not:

- Implement any command that conflicts with the Protocol,
- Require using an optional, proprietary, or custom command to meet the requirements of the Protocol, or
- Modulate a backscatter signal unless commanded to do so by an Interrogator using the signaling layer defined in the Protocol.

#### 2.3 Command Structure and Extensibility

Subclause 6.3.2.10 of the Protocol defines the structure of the command codes used by Interrogators and Tags, as well as the availability of future extensions. Each command is defined and labeled as mandatory or optional.

#### 2.3.1 Mandatory Commands

Conforming Tags and Interrogators shall support all mandatory commands.

#### 2.3.2 Optional Commands

Conforming Interrogators may or may not support optional commands. Conforming Tags may or may not support optional commands. If an Interrogator or a Tag implements an optional command, it shall implement it in the manner specified.



#### 2.3.3 Proprietary Commands

Proprietary commands may be enabled in conformance with the Protocol, but are not specified in the Protocol. All proprietary commands shall be capable of being permanently disabled. Proprietary commands are intended for manufacturing purposes and shall not be used in field-deployed RFID systems.

#### 2.3.4 Custom Commands

Custom commands may be enabled in conformance with the Protocol, but are not specified in the Protocol. An Interrogator shall issue a custom command only after singulating a Tag and reading (or having prior knowledge of) the Tag manufacturer's identification in the Tag's TID memory. An Interrogator shall use a custom command only in accordance with the specifications of the Tag manufacturer identified in the TID.

## **3** Normative References

The following referenced documents are indispensable to the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition (including any amendments) applies.

- **EPCglobal<sup>™</sup>:** EPC<sup>™</sup> Radio-Frequency Identity Protocols, Class-1 Generation-2 UHF RFID, Protocol for Communications at 860 MHz – 960 MHz, Version 2.0.0
- **EPCglobal™**: *EPC™* Tag Data Standards
- European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI), EN 302 208: Electromagnetic compatibility and radio spectrum matters (ERM) Radio-frequency identification equipment operating in the band 865 MHz to 868 MHz with power levels up to 2 W, Part 1 Technical characteristics and test methods
- **European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI), EN 302 208:** Electromagnetic compatibility and radio spectrum matters (ERM) Radio-frequency identification equipment operating in the band 865 MHz to 868 MHz with power levels up to 2 W, Part 2 Harmonized EN under article 3.2 of the R&TTE directive
- **ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2:** Rules for the structure and drafting of International Standards
- ISO/IEC 3309: Information technology Telecommunications and information exchange between systems High-level data link control (HDLC) procedures Frame structure
- ISO/IEC 18000-63: Information technology automatic identification and data capture techniques — Radio frequency identification for item management air interface — Part 63: Type C: Parameters for air interface communications at 860–960 MHz
- ISO/IEC 19762: Information technology AIDC techniques Harmonized vocabulary Part 3: radio-frequency identification (RFID)
- **U.S. Code of Federal Regulations (CFR)**, **Title 47**, **Chapter I**, **Part 15**: *Radio-frequency devices*, U.S. Federal Communications Commission



## 4 Terms and Definitions

The principal terms and definitions used in this document are described in the Protocol and in ISO/IEC 19762.

#### 4.1 Additional Terms and Definitions

Terms and definitions specific to this document that supersede any normative references are as follows:

#### By design

Design parameters and/or theoretical analysis that ensure compliance. A vendor submitting a component or system for compliance testing shall provide the necessary technical information, in the form of a technical memorandum or similar. A test laboratory approved by EPCglobal<sup>™</sup> shall certify the technical analysis as being sufficient to ensure conformance of the component or system.

For Protocol requirements that are verified **by design**, the method of technical analysis is at the discretion of the submitting vendor and, except in special cases, is not specified by this document. In general, the technical analysis shall have sufficient rigor and technical depth to convince a test engineer knowledgeable of the Protocol that the particular requirement has been met.

#### By demonstration

Laboratory testing of one, or if required for statistical reasons multiple, products, processes, or services to ensure compliance. A test laboratory certified by EPCglobal<sup>™</sup> shall perform the indicated testing to ensure conformance of the component or system.

For Protocol requirements that are verified **by demonstration**, the test conditions are specified by this document. The detailed test plan is at the discretion of the certifying test laboratory.

Interrogators submitted for testing purposes shall include physical connections and test modes suitable for the certifying laboratory to evaluate Interrogator performance under the test conditions specified in this document.

Tags submitted for testing purposes shall include all documentation required by 6.3.1.3.5 of the Protocol. The certifying laboratory's test plan will specify the submitted Tag's memory contents (i.e. the contents of Reserved, EPC, TID, and User memory as well as the lock status of these memory banks).

#### As implemented

If a Tag or Interrogator implements a subset of the Protocol, compliance shall be verified over the subset actually implemented. For example, although Interrogators may implement DSB-ASK, SSB-ASK, or PR-ASK modulation, a manufacturer may choose to only implement DSB-ASK modulation, in which case compliance testing shall only use DSB-ASK modulation. For parameters that are continuously variable, compliance shall be verified at the minimum and maximum values of the implemented range, unless the test conditions specifically state otherwise.

## 5 Symbols, Abbreviated Terms, and Notation

The principal symbols and abbreviated terms used in this document are detailed in

- ISO/IEC 19762: Information technology AIDC techniques vocabulary
- **EPCglobal™:** EPC<sup>™</sup> Radio-Frequency Identity Protocols, Class-1 Generation-2 UHF RFID, Protocol for Communications at 860 MHz – 960 MHz, Version 2.0.0



Symbols, abbreviated terms, and notation specific to this document are as follows:

#### 5.1 Symbols

None

#### 5.2 Abbreviated Terms

None

#### 5.3 Notation

This document uses the following notational conventions:

- States and flags are denoted in bold. Example: **ready**.
- Commands are denoted in italics. Variables are also denoted in italics. Where there might be confusion between commands and variables, this specification will make an explicit statement. Example: *Query*.
- Command parameters are underlined. Example: <u>Pointer</u>.
- For logical negation, labels are preceded by '~'. Example: If **flag** is true, then **~flag** is false.
- The symbol, R=>T, refers to commands or signalling from an Interrogator to a Tag (Reader-to-Tag).
- The symbol, T=>R, refers to commands or signalling from a Tag to an Interrogator (Tag-to-Reader).

## 6 **Protocol Requirements**

The column MO (Mandatory / optional) has the following meaning:

- M mandatory
- EAS mandatory for EAS only, otherwise optional
- TAC mandatory for Tag Alteration (Core), otherwise optional
- TACC mandatory for Tag Alteration (Core + Challenge), otherwise optional
- TACA mandatory for Tag Alteration (Core + Authenticate), otherwise optional
- TACF mandatory for Tag Alteration (Core + Full), otherwise optional
- CE mandatory for Consumer Electronics, otherwise optional
- O optional
- C required in respect to crypto suite

To be certified as alteration-EAS, Tag-alteration, and/or consumer-electronics conformant, Tags and Interrogators shall support the optional clauses or portions of optional clauses cited in the corresponding Table 6-1, respectively, as mandatory. To be clear, those features in the cited optional clause or portion of optional clause specified with a "may" shall become a "shall"; those specified with a "shall" shall remain a "shall".

Unless otherwise specified, testing shall take place in an environment of temperature 23° C +/- 3° C and of relative humidity 40 % to 60 %.



#### Table 6-1 Protocol Requirement

Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	мо	Applies To	How Verified
1	6.1.1	Tags shall not be required to demodulate Interrogator commands while backscattering.	Μ	Тад	By design
2	6.1.1	A Tag shall not respond to a mandatory or optional command using full-duplex communications.	М	Tag	By design
3	6.3.1.1	Tags shall receive power from and communicate with Interrogators within the frequency range from 860 MHz to 960 MHz, inclusive.	Σ	Tag	By demonstration Test conditions: Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: 860, 910, & 960 MHz Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK Tari: 25 $\mu$ s RTcal: 62.5 $\mu$ s PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: $\leq 0.33$ Tari TRcal: 100 $\mu$ s DR: 8 M: 1 TRext: 0
4	6.3.1.1	Interrogators certified for operation in dense- Interrogator environments shall support, but are not required to always use, the dense- Interrogator mode described in <u>Annex G</u> .	М	Interro- gator	By design
5	6.3.1.2	Interrogators shall use a fixed modulation format and data rate for the duration of an inventory round, where "inventory round" is defined in 4.1	М	Interro- gator	By design
6	6.3.1.2.1	Interrogators certified for operation in single- or multiple-Interrogator environments shall have a frequency accuracy that meets local regulations.	М	Interro- gator	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	МО	Applies To	How Verified
7	6.3.1.2.1	Interrogators certified for operation in dense- Interrogator environments shall have a frequency accuracy of +/– 10 ppm over the nominal temperature range (–25 °C to +40 °C) and +/– 20 ppm over the extended temperature range (–40°C to +65°C) while transmitting, unless local regulations specify tighter accuracy, in which case the Interrogator frequency accuracy shall meet the local regulations.	М	Interro- gator	<ul> <li>By demonstration, for dense- Interrogator certification, unless local regulations specify tighter frequency accuracy than the Protocol, in which case the Interrogator manufacturer shall provide evidence of certification by the local regulatory body in lieu of laboratory demonstration.</li> <li>Test conditions:</li> <li>Temp: max(-40, minimum supported temperature) and min(65, maximum supported temperature). If supported temperature range exceeds -25 or 40 then testing shall also be performed at -25 or 40 respectively. All temperatures are in °C (all +/- 3 °C).</li> <li>See Annex A, Q7.</li> <li>Freq: 5 test points situated at the band edges and linearly spanning the supported band at valid channel frequencies. Test can be skipped if regulatory approval shows that these requirements have been fulfilled already.</li> <li>Measurement equipment setting:</li> <li>Resolution bandwidth: 1 kHz</li> <li>Video bandwidth: Equal to the RBW</li> <li>Sweep Time: AUTO</li> <li>Span: 1 MHz</li> <li>Trace mode: Max hold sufficient to capture all emissions</li> <li>Detection mode: Averaging</li> <li>Modulation method:</li> <li>continuous wave to allow exact measurement based on the clear peak</li> </ul>
8	6.3.1.2.2	Interrogators shall communicate using DSB- ASK, SSB-ASK, or PR-ASK modulation, detailed in <u>Annex H</u> .	М	Interro- gator	By design
9	6.3.1.2.2	Tags shall demodulate all three modulation types.	М	Tag	By demonstration Test conditions: Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: 860 & 960 MHz Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK, SSB-ASK, & PR-ASK Tari: 6.25, 12.5, & 25 $\mu$ s RTcal: 2.5×Tari PW: min and max Modulation depth: 90% ASK, 200% PR- ASK DSB-ASK rise/fall time: $\leq$ 0.33 Tari SSB-ASK rise/fall time: $\leq$ 0.33 Tari PR-ASK rise/fall time: $\leq$ 0.62×PW TRcal: 2×RTcal DR: 8 M: 1 TRext: 0
10	6.3.1.2.3	The R=>T link shall use PIE, shown in Figure 6.1.	М	Interro- gator	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	мо	Applies To	How Verified
11	6.3.1.2.3	Pulse modulation depth, rise time, fall time, and PW shall be as specified in Table 6.5, and shall be the same for a data-0 and a data-1.	Σ	Interro- gator	By demonstration <u>Test conditions:</u> Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: At channel frequency closest to center of supported band. Power: Maximum Interrogator transmit power, as implemented. Other transmit parameters: As implemented See Annex A, Q10.
12	6.3.1.2.3	Interrogators shall use a fixed modulation depth, rise time, fall time, PW, Tari, data-0 length, and data-1 length for the duration of an inventory round.	М	Interro- gator	By design
13	6.3.1.2.3	The RF envelope shall be as specified in Figure 6.2.	Μ	Interro- gator	By demonstration <u>Test conditions:</u> Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: At channel frequency closest to center of supported band. Power: Maximum Interrogator transmit power, as implemented. Other transmit parameters: As implemented See Annex A, Q10.
14	6.3.1.2.4	Interrogators shall communicate using Tari values in the range of 6.25µs to 25µs.	Μ	Interro- gator	By design
15	6.3.1.2.4	Interrogator compliance shall be evaluated using at least one Tari value between 6.25 $\mu$ s and 25 $\mu$ s with at least one value of the parameter <i>x</i> .	М	Interro- gator	This document uses vendor preferred Tari and x valvues as consistent with the Protocol.
16	6.3.1.2.4	The tolerance on all parameters specified in units of Tari shall be +/-1%.	Μ	Interro- gator	<ul> <li>By demonstration <u>Test conditions:</u> Temp: Either (a) or (b) shown below <ul> <li>a) Single and Multi-Interrogators: 23 °C +/- 3 °C</li> </ul> </li> <li>b) Dense-Interrogators tested at modulation, data rate, and encoding parameters specified in Annex G of the Protocol specification: max(-40, minimum supported temperature) and min(65, maximum supported temperature). If supported temperature range exceeds -25 or 40 then testing shall also be performed at -25 or 40 respectively. All temperatures are in °C (all +/- 3 °C). See Annex A, Q7. Freq: At channel frequency closest to center of supported band. Power: Maximum Interrogator transmit power, as implemented. Other transmit parameters: As implemented</li> </ul>
17	6.3.1.2.4	The choice of Tari value and <i>x</i> shall be in accordance with local radio regulations.	М	Interro- gator	By design
18	6.3.1.2.5	The R=>T RF envelope shall comply with Figure 6.2 and Table 6.1.	М	Interro- gator	Tested in compliance with 6.3.1.2.3
19	6.3.1.2.5	An Interrogator shall not change the R=>T modulation type (i.e. shall not switch between DSB-ASK, SSB-ASK, or PR-ASK) without first powering down its RF waveform (see 6.3.1.2.7).	М	Interro- gator	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	МО	Applies To	How Verified
20	6.3.1.2.6	The Interrogator power-up RF envelope shall comply with Figure 6.3 and Table 6.6.	Μ	Interro- gator	By demonstration <u>Test conditions:</u> Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: At channel frequency closest to center of supported band. Power: Maximum Interrogator transmit power, as implemented. See Annex A, Q8.
21	6.3.1.2.6	Once the carrier level has risen above the 10% level, the power-up envelope shall rise monotonically until at least the ripple limit $M_{\rm l}$ . The RF envelope shall not fall below the 90% point in Figure 6.3 during interval T <sub>s</sub> .	Μ	Interro- gator	By demonstration <u>Test conditions:</u> Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: At channel frequency closest to center of supported band. Power: Maximum Interrogator transmit power, as implemented. See Annex A, Q9.
22	6.3.1.2.6	Interrogators shall not issue commands before the end of the maximum settling-time interval in Table 6.6 (i.e. before T <sub>s</sub> ).	Μ	Interro- gator	By design
23	6.3.1.2.7	The Interrogator power-down RF envelope shall comply with Figure 6.3 and Table 6.7.	Μ	Interro- gator	By demonstration <u>Test conditions:</u> Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: At channel frequency closest to center of supported band. Power: Maximum Interrogator transmit power, as implemented.
24	6.3.1.2.7	Once the carrier level has fallen below the 90% level, the power-down envelope shall fall monotonically until the power-off limit M <sub>s</sub> .	Μ	Interro- gator	By demonstration <u>Test conditions:</u> Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: At channel frequency closest to center of supported band. Power: Maximum Interrogator transmit power, as implemented. See Annex A, Q9.
25	6.3.1.2.7	Once powered off, an Interrogator shall remain powered off for a least 1ms before powering up again.	Μ	Interro- gator	By design
26	6.3.1.2.8	An Interrogator shall begin all R=>T signaling with either a preamble or a frame-sync, both of which are shown in Figure 6.4.	Μ	Interro- gator	By design
27	6.3.1.2.8	A preamble shall precede a <i>Query</i> command (see 6.3.2.12.2.1) and denotes the start of an inventory round.	М	Interro- gator	By design – inherently tested with other tests
28	6.3.1.2.8	All other signaling shall begin with a frame- sync.	М	Interro- gator	By design – inherently tested with other tests
29	6.3.1.2.8	The tolerance on all parameters specified in units of Tari shall be $+/-1\%$ .	М	Interro- gator	Tested in compliance with 6.3.1.2.3
30	6.3.1.2.8	PW shall be as specified in Table 6.5.	М	Interro- gator	Tested in compliance with 6.3.1.2.3
31	6.3.1.2.8	The RF envelope shall be as specified in Figure 6.2.	М	Interro- gator	By design
32	6.3.1.2.8	A preamble shall comprise a fixed-length start delimiter, a data-0 symbol, an R=>T calibration (RTcal) symbol, and a T=>R calibration (TRcal) symbol.	Μ	Interro- gator	By demonstration <u>Test conditions:</u> Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: At channel frequency closest to center of supported band. Power: Maximum Interrogator transmit power, as implemented. Other transmit parameters: As implemented



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	мо	Applies To	How Verified
33	6.3.1.2.8	An Interrogator shall set RTcal equal to the length of a data-0 symbol plus the length of a data-1 symbol (RTcal = $O_{length} + 1_{length}$ ).	Μ	Interro- gator	By design
34	6.3.1.2.8	A Tag shall measure the length of RTcal and compute <i>pivot</i> = RTcal / 2.	Μ	Tag	By design
35	6.3.1.2.8	A Tag shall interpret subsequent Interrogator symbols shorter than <i>pivot</i> to be data-0s, and subsequent Interrogator symbols longer than <i>pivot</i> to be data-1s.	М	Tag	By design
36	6.3.1.2.8	A Tag shall interpret symbols longer than 4 RTcal to be invalid.	Μ	Tag	By design
37	6.3.1.2.8	Prior to changing RTcal, an Interrogator shall transmit CW for a minimum of 8 RTcal.	М	Interro- gator	By design
38	6.3.1.2.8	An Interrogator shall specify a Tag's backscatter link frequency (its FMO datarate or the frequency of its Miller subcarrier) using the TRcal and divide ratio (DR) in the preamble and payload, respectively, of a <i>Query</i> command that initiates an inventory round.	Μ	Interro- gator	By design
39	6.3.1.2.8	A Tag shall measure the length of TRcal, compute BLF, and adjust its T=>R link rate to be equal to BLF (Table 6.9 shows BLF values and tolerances).	М	Tag	Tested in compliance with 6.3.1.3.3
40	6.3.1.2.8	The TRcal and RTcal that an Interrogator uses in any inventory round shall meet the constraints in Equation (2) : $1.1 \times RTcal \le$ TRcal $\le 3 \times RTcal$	Μ	Interro- gator	By design – inherently tested with other tests
41	6.3.1.2.8	An Interrogator, for the duration of an inventory round, shall use the same length RTcal in a frame-sync as it used in the preamble that initiated the round.	Μ	Interro- gator	By design
42	6.3.1.2.9	When an Interrogator uses frequency- hopping spread spectrum (FHSS) signaling, the Interrogator's RF envelope shall comply with Figure 6.5 and Table 6.8. The RF envelope shall not fall below the 90% point in Figure 6.5 during interval T <sub>hs</sub> .	Μ	Interro- gator	By demonstration, for Interrogators that use FHSS: <u>Test conditions:</u> Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: At channel frequency closest to center of supported band. Power: Maximum Interrogator transmit power, as implemented.
43	6.3.1.2.9	Interrogators shall not issue commands before the end of the maximum settling-time interval in Table 6.8 (i.e. before $T_{hs}$ ).	М	Interro- gator	By design
44	6.3.1.2.9	The maximum time between frequency hops and the minimum RF-off time during a hop shall meet local regulatory requirements.	М	Interro- gator	By design
45	6.3.1.2.10	Interrogators certified for operation in single- Interrogator environments shall meet local regulations for spread-spectrum channelization.	М	Interro- gator	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	МО	Applies To	How Verified
46	6.3.1.2.10	Interrogators certified for operation in multiple- or dense-Interrogator environments shall meet local regulations for spread- spectrum channelization, unless the channelization is unregulated, in which case Interrogators shall adopt the channel plan at <u>http://www.gs1.org/epcglobal/implementatio</u> <u>n</u> for the chose regulatory region (see also <u>Annex G</u> , which describes multiple- and dense-Interrogator channelized signaling).	М	Interro- gator	<ul> <li>By demonstration, for multiple- or dense-Interrogator certification.</li> <li><u>Test conditions:</u></li> <li>Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C</li> <li>Freq: Either (a) or (b) shown below <ul> <li>a) Interrogators that are capable of commanding Tags to backscatter using subcarrier signaling: 50 discrete center frequencies as specified in Table G.1 of the Protocol.</li> <li>b) Interrogators that are not capable of commanding Tags to backscatter using subcarrier signaling: All center frequencies supported by the Interrogator (note: the certification laboratory reserves the right to test a random subset of the Interrogator's supported center frequencies).</li> <li>Power: Maximum Interrogator transmit power, as implemented</li> <li>Measurement equipment setting: <ul> <li>Resolution bandwidth: 1 kHz</li> <li>Video bandwidth: Equal to the RBW</li> <li>Sweep Time: AUTO</li> <li>Span: 1 MHZ</li> <li>Trace mode: Max hold sufficient to capture all emissions</li> <li>Detection mode: Averaging</li> </ul> </li> <li>Modulation method: <ul> <li>continuous modulation</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul>
47	6.3.1.2.11	Interrogators certified for operation according to this protocol shall meet local regulations for out-of-channel and out-of-band spurious radio-frequency emissions.	М	Interro- gator	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	мо	Applies To	How Verified
48	6.3.1.2.11	Interrogators certified for operation in multiple-Interrogator environments, shall meet both local regulations, and the Multiple- Interrogator Transmit Mask described below and shown in Figure 6.6	М	Interro- gator	<ul> <li>By demonstration, for multiple- Interrogator certification.</li> <li>Test conditions:</li> <li>Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C</li> <li>Freq: At channel frequency closest to center of supported band.</li> <li>Power: Maximum Interrogator transmit power, as implemented.</li> <li>Channel width: 200 kHz for Interrogators certified for operation in Europe; A maximum of 500 kHz for Interrogators certified for operation in North America.</li> <li>Modulation: As implemented</li> <li>Transmit data: Either (a) or (b), below</li> <li>a) a continuous repeating 9-bit maximum length sequence with polynomial x<sup>9</sup> + x<sup>4</sup> + 1, initially seeded with all ones, resulting in a repeating 511-bit sequence of FF83DF1732094ED1E7CD8A91C6D5C4 C44021184E5586F4DC8A15A7EC92DF 93533018CA34BFA2C759678FBA0D6D D82D7D540A57977039D27AEA243385 ED9A1DE0n, or</li> <li>b) a repeating <i>Select</i> command with a 252 bit <u>Mask</u> value set to ACBCD2114DAE1577C6DBF4C91A3CD A2F169B340989C1D32C290465E5C14 23CCn</li> <li>Bit sequences are listed MSB first.</li> <li>Other transmit parameters: As implemented</li> <li>Measurement equipment setting:</li> <li>Resolution bandwidth: 1 kHz</li> <li>Video bandwidth: Equal to the RBW</li> <li>Sweep Time: AUTO</li> <li>Span: 1 MHz</li> <li>Trace mode: Max hold sufficient to capture all emissions</li> <li>Detection mode: Averaging</li> <li>Modulation method:</li> <li>continuous modulation</li> </ul>
49	6.3.1.2.11	Multiple-Interrogator Transmit Mask: For an Interrogator transmitting random data in channel $R$ , and any other channel $S \neq R$ , the ratio of the integrated power $P()$ in channel $S$ to that in channel $R$ shall not exceed the specified values:	М	Interro- gator	Tested in compliance with 6.3.1.2.11, Figure 6.6
50	6.3.1.2.11	Each channel that exceeds the mask shall be counted as an exception.	М		Tested in compliance with 6.3.1.2.11, Figure 6.6



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	мо	Applies To	How Verified
51	6.3.1.2.11	Interrogators certified for operation in dense- Interrogator environments shall meet both local regulations and the Dense-Interrogator Transmit Mask described below and shown in Figure 6.7.	Μ	Interro- gator	<ul> <li>By demonstration, for dense- Interrogator certification.</li> <li><u>Test conditions:</u></li> <li>Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C</li> <li>Freq: At channel frequency closest to center of supported band.</li> <li>Power: Maximum Interrogator transmit power, as implemented.</li> <li>Reference bandwidth: 2.5/Tari</li> <li>Modulation: As implemented</li> <li>Transmit data: continuous modulation</li> <li>Tari: according to vendor-selected value for normal operation</li> <li>Backscatter data rate: One or more of the dense-interrogator data rates specified in Annex G of the Protocol specification, as implemented.</li> <li>Other transmit parameters: As implemented</li> <li>Measurement equipment setting: <ul> <li>Resolution bandwidth: 1 kHz</li> <li>Video bandwidth: Equal to the RBW</li> <li>Sweep Time: AUTO</li> <li>Span: according to Tari ranges below</li> <li>Tari &lt; 10 µs: 3 MHz</li> <li>Trace mode: Max hold sufficient to capture all emissions</li> <li>Detection mode: Averaging</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
52	6.3.1.2.11	Regardless of the mask used, Interrogators certified for operation in dense-Interrogator environments shall not be permitted the two exceptions to the transmit mask that are allowed for Interrogators certified for operation in multiple-Interrogator environments.	Μ	Interro- gator	Tested in compliance with 6.3.1.2.11, Figure 6.7 Measurement equipment setting: - Resolution bandwidth: 1 kHz - Video bandwidth: Equal to the RBW - Sweep Time: AUTO - Span: 1 MHz - Trace mode: Max hold sufficient to capture all emissions - Detection mode: Averaging Modulation method: - continuous repeated inventory sequence (no tags present)
53	6.3.1.2.11	For Interrogator transmissions centered at a frequency $f_{c_r}$ a 2.5/Tari bandwidth $R_{BW}$ also centered at $f_{c_r}$ an offset frequency $f_0$ = 2.5/Tari, and a 2.5/Tari bandwidth $S_{BW}$ centered at $(n \times f_0) + f_c$ (integer <i>n</i> ), the ratio of the integrated power <i>P</i> () in $S_{BW}$ to that in $R_{BW}$ with the Interrogator transmitting random data shall not exceed the specified values:	М	Interro- gator	Tested in compliance with 6.3.1.2.11, Figure 6.7 Measurement equipment setting: - Resolution bandwidth: 1 kHz - Video bandwidth: Equal to the RBW - Sweep Time: AUTO - Span: 1 MHz - Trace mode: Max hold sufficient to capture all emissions - Detection mode: Averaging Modulation method: - continuous repeated inventory sequence (no tags present)
54	6.3.1.3	A Tag shall backscatter using a fixed modulation format, data encoding, and data rate for the duration of an inventory round, where "inventory round" is defined in 4.1.	М	Tag	By design
55	6.3.1.3.1	Tag backscatter shall use ASK and/or PSK modulation.	М	Тад	By design



## EPC Compliant Class-1 Generation-2 UHF RFID Devices Conformance Requirements

Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	МО	Applies To	How Verified
56	6.3.1.3.1	Interrogators shall demodulate both modulation types.	М	Interro- gator	By design
57	6.3.1.3.2	Tags shall encode the backscattered data as either FMO baseband or Miller modulation of a subcarrier at the data rate.	М	Тад	Tested in compliance with 6.3.1.3.2.1 and 6.3.1.3.2.3
58	6.3.1.3.2.1	The duty cycle of a 00 or 11 sequence, measured at the modulator output, shall be a minimum of 45% and a maximum of 55%, with a nominal value of 50%.	М	Tag	By demonstration Test conditions: Temp: $23 \pm -3 \degree$ C Freq: $860 \& 960 \text{ MHz}$ Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: $90\%$ Rise/fall time: $\leq 0.33$ Tari TRext: 0 Test # 1 Tari: $6.25 \ \mu\text{s}$ RTcal: $18.75 \ \mu\text{s}$ TRcal: $33.3 \& 50 \ \mu\text{s}$ DR: $64/3$ M: 1 Test # 2 Tari: $12.5 \ \mu\text{s}$ RTcal: $31.25 \ \mu\text{s}$ RTcal: $31.25 \ \mu\text{s}$ RTcal: $6.7, 83.3 \ \mu\text{s}$ DR: $64/3$ M: 1
59	6.3.1.3.2.1	FM0 signaling shall always end with a "dummy" data-1 bit at the end of a transmission, as shown in Figure 6.10.	М	Тад	By design
60	6.3.1.3.2.2	T=>R FM0 signaling shall begin with one of the two preambles shown in Figure 6.11.	М	Tag	By demonstration Test conditions: Temp: $23 +/- 3 °C$ Freq: $860 \& 960 MHz$ Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: $90\%$ Rise/fall time: $\leq 0.33$ Tari Tari: $25 \mu s$ RTcal: $75 \mu s$ TRcal: $100 \mu s$ DR: $8$ M: 1 TRext: 0 & 1
61	6.3.1.3.2.2	The choice depends on the TRext value specified in the <i>Query</i> that initiated the inventory round, unless a Tag is replying to a command that uses a delayed or in-process reply (see 6.3.1.6), in which case a Tag shall use the extended preamble regardless of TRext (i.e. the Tag replies as if TRext=1 regardless of the TRext value specified in the <i>Query</i> —see 6.3.2.12.3).	М	Tag	<b>By demonstration</b> Tested in compliance with 6.3.2.6, Figure 6.21
62	6.3.1.3.2.3	Figure 6.13 shows Miller-modulated subcarrier sequences; the Miller sequence shall contain exactly two, four, or eight subcarrier cycles per bit, depending on the M value specified in the <i>Query</i> command that initiated the inventory round (see Table 6.10).	М	Тад	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	мо	Applies To	How Verified
63	6.3.1.3.2.3	The duty cycle of a 0 or 1 symbol, measured at the modulator output, shall be a minimum of 45% and a maximum of 55%, with a nominal value of 50%.	М	Tag	By demonstration Test conditions: Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: 860 & 960 MHz Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: $\leq 0.33$ Tari TRext: 0 Test # 1 Tari: 6.25 $\mu$ s RTcal: 18.75 $\mu$ s TRcal: 33.3 & 50 $\mu$ s DR: 64/3 M: 2, 4, 8 Test # 2 Tari: 12.5 $\mu$ s RTcal: 31.25 $\mu$ s RTcal: 31.25 $\mu$ s RTcal: 31.25 $\mu$ s RTcal: 6.7, 83.3 $\mu$ s DR: 64/3 M: 2, 4, 8
64	6.3.1.3.2.3	Miller signaling shall always end with a "dummy" data-1 bit at the end of a transmission, as shown in Figure 6.14.	М	Tag	By design
65	6.3.1.3.2.4	T=>R subcarrier signaling shall begin with one of the two preambles shown in Figure 6.15.	М	Tag	By demonstration Test conditions: Temp: $23 +/- 3 °C$ Freq: $860 \& 960 MHz$ Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: $90\%$ Rise/fall time: $\leq 0.33$ Tari Tari: $25 \mu s$ RTcal: $75 \mu s$ TRcal: $100 \mu s$ DR: $8$ M: 2, 4, 8 TRext: 0 & 1
66	6.3.1.3.2.4	The choice depends on the TRext value specified in the <i>Query</i> that initiated the inventory round, unless a Tag is replying to a command that uses a delayed or in-process reply (see 6.3.1.6), in which case a Tag shall use the extended preamble regardless of TRext (i.e. the Tag replies as if TRext=1 regardless of the TRext value specified in the <i>Query</i> —see 6.3.2.10.3).	М	Tag	<b>By demonstration</b> Tested in compliance with 6.3.2.6, Figure 6.21



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	мо	Applies To	How Verified
67	6.3.1.3.3	Tags shall support all R=>T Tari values in the range of 6.25 $\mu$ s to 25 $\mu$ s, over all parameters allowed by 6.3.1.2.3. Tags shall support the T=>R link frequencies and tolerances specified in Table 6.9 and the T=>R data rates specified in Table 6.10.	М	Tag	The FT requirements in Table 6.9 of the Protocol shall be verified <b>by design</b> . Tag manufacturers shall provide plots of worst- case FT error versus TRcal. Tag manufacturers shall also provide measured data used to generate the FT plots, including: 1. Tag oscillator frequency tolerance 2. Tag oscillator frequency drift 3. TRcal measurement error budget 4. Other contributors to FT error The frequency-variation during backscatter requirements in Table 6.9 of the Protocol shall be verified <b>by demonstration</b> . The testing laboratory shall measure the minimum, median, and maximum symbol length (M=1) or subcarrier period (M=2, 4, 8) during backscatter of a 128-bit sequence (16-bit PC, 96-bit EPC, and a CRC-16). The minimum and maximum values shall not deviate by more than 2.5% from the median. The test conditions are: Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: 860 & 960 MHz Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: $\leq 0.33$ Tari TRext: 0 Test # 1 Tari: 6.25 µs; RTcal: 18.75 µs TRcal: 33.3*0.99, 33.3 & 33.3*1.01 µs DR: 64/3; M: 1, 2, 4, 8 For all 3 Trcal values above FT shall be verified with the value for TRcal = 33.3 µs. Test # 2 Tari: 6.25 µs; RTcal: 18.75 µs TRcal: 50*0.99, 50 & 50 * 1.01 µs DR: 64/3; M: 1, 2, 4, 8 For all 3 Trcal values above FT shall be verified with the value for TRcal = 50 µs. Test # 3 Tari: 25 µs; RTcal: 75 µs TRcal: 200 * 0,99, 200 & 200 * 1.01 µs DR: 8; M: 1, 2, 4, 8 For all 3 Trcal values above FT shall be verified with the value for TRcal = 50 µs. Test # 3 Tari: 25 µs; RTcal: 75 µs TRcal: 200 * 0,99, 200 & 200 * 1.01 µs DR: 8; M: 1, 2, 4, 8 For all 3 Trcal values above FT shall be verified with the value for TRcal = 200 µs.
68	6.3.1.3.4	Tags energized by an Interrogator shall be capable of receiving and acting on Interrogator commands within a period not exceeding the maximum settling-time interval specified in Table 6.6 or Table 6.8, as appropriate (i.e. within T <sub>s</sub> or T <sub>hs</sub> , respectively).	М	Tag	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	МО	Applies To	How Verified
69	6.3.1.3.5	For a Tag certified to this protocol, the Tag manufacturer shall specify: 1. free-space, sensitivity, 2: minimum backscattered modulated power (ASK modulation) or change in radar cross-section or equivalent (phase modulation), and 3: the manufacturer's normal operating conditions for the Tag mounted on one or more manufacturer-selected materials.	Μ	Tag	<b>By design</b> The Tag manufacturer shall provide this specification in the supplied documents.
70	6.3.1.4	The transmission order for all R=>T and T=>R communications shall be most-significant bit (MSB) first.	Μ	Tag and Interro- gator	By design
71	6.3.1.4	Within each message, the most-significant word shall be transmitted first.	М	Tag and Interro- gator	By design
72	6.3.1.4	Within each word, the MSB shall be transmitted first.	Μ	Tag and Interro- gator	By design
73	6.3.1.5	To generate a CRC-16 a Tag or Interrogator shall first generate the CRC-16 precursor shown in Table 6.11, and then take the ones- complement of the generated precursor to form the CRC-16.	Μ	Tag and Interro- gator	By design
74	6.3.1.5	A Tag or Interrogator shall verify the integrity of a received message that uses a CRC-16.	М	Tag and Interro- gator	By design
75	6.3.1.5	Tags shall append a CRC-16 to those replies that use a CRC-16 — see 6.3.2.12 for command-specific replies.	М	Tag	By design
76	6.3.1.5	To generate a CRC-5 an Interrogator shall use the definition in Table 6.12.	М	Interro- gator	By design
77	6.3.1.5	A Tag shall verify the integrity of a received message that uses a CRC-5.	М	Тад	By design
78	6.3.1.5	Interrogators shall append the appropriate CRC to R=>T transmissions as specified in Table 6.28.	М	Interro- gator	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	МО	Applies To	How Verified
79	6.3.1.6	Tags and Interrogators that support Immediate Reply Type (see Table 6.28) shall meet all timing requirements shown in Table 6.16.	М	Tag and Interro- gator	By demonstration Interrogator test conditions: Verify Interrogator meets $T_2$ , $T_3$ , & $T_4$ Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: At channel frequency closest to center of supported band. Power: Maximum Interrogator transmit power, as implemented. Other transmit parameters: As implemented Tag test conditions: Verify Tag meets $T_1$ over $T_2$ extremes Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: 860 & 960 MHz Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: $\leq 0.33$ Tari TRext: 0 Minimum $T_2$ condition: Tari: 6.25 µs RTcal: 18.75 µs TRcal: 33.3 & 50 µs DR: 64/3 M: 1 Maximum $T_2$ condition: Tari: 25 µs RTcal: 75 µs TRcal: 200 µs DR: 8 M: 2, 4, 8
80	6.3.1.6	Tags and Interrogators that support Delayed Reply Type (see Table 6.28) shall meet all timing requirements shown in Table 6.16.		Tag and Interro- gator	By demonstration Interrogator test conditions: Verify Interrogator meets T <sub>2</sub> , & T <sub>4</sub> Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: At channel frequency closest to center of supported band. Power: Maximum Interrogator transmit power, as implemented. Other transmit parameters: As implemented Taq test conditions: Verify Tag meets T <sub>5</sub> over T <sub>2</sub> extremes Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: 860 & 960 MHz Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: $\leq 0.33$ Tari TRext: 1 Minimum T <sub>2</sub> condition: Tari: 6.25 µs RTcal: 18.75 µs TRcal: 33.3 & 50 µs DR: 64/3 M: 1 Maximum T <sub>2</sub> condition: Tari: 25 µs RTcal: 75 µs TRcal: 200 µs DR: 8 M: 2. 4. 8



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	МО	Applies To	How Verified
81	6.3.1.6	Tags and Interrogators that support In- process Reply Type (see Table 6.28) shall meet all timing requirements shown in Table 6.16.	М	Tag and Interro- gator	By demonstration Interrogator test conditions: Verify Interrogator meets $T_2 \& T_4$ Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: At channel frequency closest to center of supported band. Power: Maximum Interrogator transmit power, as implemented. Other transmit parameters: As implemented Tag test conditions: Verify Tag meets $T_6 \& T_7$ over $T_2$ extremes Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: 860 & 960 MHz Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: $\leq 0.33$ Tari TRext: 1 Minimum $T_2$ condition: Tari: 6.25 µs RTcal: 18.75 µs TRcal: 33.3 & 50 µs DR: 64/3 M: 1 Maximum $T_2$ condition: Tari: 25 µs RTcal: 75 µs TRcal: 200 µs DR: 8 M: 2, 4, 8
82	6.3.1.6	As described in 6.3.1.2.8, an Interrogator shall use a fixed R=>T link rate for the duration of an inventory round.	М	Interro- gator	By design
83	6.3.1.6	Prior to changing the R=>T link rate, an Interrogator shall transmit CW for a minimum of 8 RTcal.	Μ	Interro- gator	By design
84	6.3.1.6 Table 6.16	The maximum value for T <sub>2</sub> shall apply only to Tags in the <b>reply</b> or <b>acknowledged</b> states (see 6.3.2.6.3 and 6.3.2.6.4).	Μ	Tag	By demonstration Issue a Read command in Secured State. $T_2$ before the Read command shall be at least 10 ms. Test conditions: Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: 860, 910, & 960 MHz Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK Tari: 12.5 $\mu$ s RTcal: 31.25 $\mu$ s PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: < 0.33 Tari TRcal: 66,7 $\mu$ s DR: 64/3 M: 3 TRext: 1
85	6.3.1.6 Table 6.16	For a Tag in the <b>reply</b> or <b>acknowledged</b> states, if $T_2$ expires (i.e. reaches its maximum value) without the Tag receiving a valid command, the Tag shall transition to the <b>arbitrate</b> state (see 6.3.2.6.2).	Μ	Tag	By Design



Item	Protocol Subclause	ol Requirement	мо	Applies To	How Verified
86	6.3.1.6 Table 6.16	For a Tag in the <b>reply</b> or <b>acknowledged</b> states, if T <sub>2</sub> expires (i.e. reaches its maximum value) during the reception of a valid command, the Tag shall execute the command.	М	Tag	By Design
87	6.3.1.6 Table 6.16	<ul> <li>For a Tag in the reply or acknowledged states, if T<sub>2</sub> expires (i.e. reaches its maximum value) during the reception of an</li> <li>invalid command, the Tag shall transition to arbitrate upon determining that the command is invalid.</li> </ul>	М	Tag	By Design
88	6.3.1.6 Table 6.16	In all other states the maximum value for T <sub>2</sub> shall be unrestricted.	М	Tag	By design
89	6.3.1.6	$T_1 + T_3$ shall not be less than $T_4$	М	Тад	By design
90	6.3.1.6.2	After issuing a command that uses delayed reply timing an Interrogator shall transmit CW for at least the lesser of $T_{REPLY}$ or $T_{5(max)}$ , where $T_{REPLY}$ is the time between the Interrogator's command and the Tag's backscattered reply.	М	Interro- gator	By demonstration
91	6.3.1.6.2	When an Interrogator issues a command that uses delayed reply timing and the Tag successfully executes the command, after executing the command the Tag shall backscatter the reply shown in Table 6.13 and Figure 6.16, comprising a header (a 0- bit), the Tag's handle, and a CRC-16 calculated over the 0-bit and handle.	М	Tag	By demonstration Write the PC with value 0x3000. Verify that the Tag response is according Table 6.13 and Figure 6.16. $T_5$ should meet the limit in Table 6.16. Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: 860, 910, & 960 MHz Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK Tari: 12.5 $\mu$ s RTcal: 31.25 $\mu$ s PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: < 0.33 Tari TRcal: 66,7 $\mu$ s DR: 64/3 M: 3 TRext: 1
92	6.3.1.6.2	The reply shall meet the T₅ limits in Table 6.16.	М	Тад	<b>By demonstration</b> Tested in compliance with Item 91.
93	6.3.1.6.2	When an Interrogator issues a command that uses delayed reply timing and the Tag encounters an error, the Tag shall backscatter an error code (see Annex I) during the CW period rather than the reply shown in Table 6.13	М	Tag	By demonstration Write the Stored-CRC with value 0x0000. Verify that the Tag respond with an error message. <u>Test conditions:</u> Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: 860, 910, & 960 MHz Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK Tari: 12.5 µs RTcal: 31.25 µs PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: < 0.33 Tari TRcal: 66,7 µs DR: 64/3 M: 3 TRext: 1



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	мо	Applies To	How Verified
94	6.3.1.6.2	A Tag shall ignore Interrogator commands while processing a prior command that specified a delayed reply.	Σ	Tag	By demonstration Write the PC with value 0x3000. Issue a Req_RN command before the Tag has time to respond. Verify that the Tag ignores the Req_RN command and only processes the Write operation. (either with/without write error) <u>Test conditions:</u> Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: 860, 910, & 960 MHz Power: >=0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK Tari: 12.5 $\mu$ s RTcal: 31.25 $\mu$ s PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: < 0.33 Tari TRcal: 66,7 $\mu$ s DR: 64/3 M: 3 TRext: 1 Note: For this test it shall be verified that the tag always has sufficient power available.
95	6.3.1.6.2	A delayed Tag reply shall use the extended preamble shown in Figure 6.11 or Figure 6.15, as appropriate (i.e. the Tag shall reply as if TRext=1 regardless of the TRext value in the Query that initiated the inventory round).	Μ	Tag	By design
96	6.3.1.6.3	An <i>in-process</i> reply may include multiple backscatter transmissions from Tag to Interrogator.	Ο	Interrogat or	By demonstration Issue a valid command that employs an in- process reply. Verify that the Interrogator is able to decode all backscatter transmissions. The maximum number of backscatter transmissions shall be specified by the interrogator manufacturer. <u>Test conditions:</u> Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: 860, 910, & 960 MHz Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK Tari: 12.5 µs RTcal: 31.25 µs PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: < 0.33 Tari TRcal: 66,7 µs DR: 64/3 M: 3 TRext: 1
97	6.3.1.6.3	The first transmission shall meet the T <sub>6</sub> limits specified in Table 6.16; subsequent transmissions (if any) shall meet T <sub>7</sub> .	М	Тад	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	МО	Applies To	How Verified
98	6.3.1.6.3	A Tag shall backscatter a transmission at least once every $T_{7(max)}$ while processing the command.	М	Tag	By demonstration Issue a valid command that employs an in- process reply. Verify that the Tag replies as shown in Table 6.14. T <sub>6</sub> and T <sub>7</sub> shall meet limits specified in Table 6.16. The number of backscatter transmissions shall be limited to MIN (Max. Number of backscatter transmissions, 4). <u>Test conditions:</u> Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: 860, 910, & 960 MHz Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK Tari: 12.5 $\mu$ s RTcal: 31.25 $\mu$ s PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: < 0.33 Tari TRcal: 66,7 $\mu$ s DR: 64/3 M: 3 TRext: 1
99	6.3.1.6.3	A Tag's <i>in-process</i> reply or replies shall be as shown in Table 6.14.	М	Tag	By demonstration Tested in compliance with Item 98.
100	6.3.1.6.3	The Tag replies shall be consistent for first and subsequent Tag transmissions – i.e. if the final reply includes <u>length</u> then all intermediate replies shall include <u>length</u> , and vice versa.	М	Tag	By design
101	6.3.1.6.3	While processing the command the Tag backscatters a transmission as shown in Table 6.14 at least once every $T_{7(max)}$ . <u>Done</u> and <u>header</u> for these intermediate replies shall be zero, <u>response</u> shall be null, and if the replies include <u>length</u> then <u>length</u> =0000 <sub>h</sub> .	М	Tag	<b>By demonstration</b> Tested in compliance with Item 98.
102	6.3.1.6.3	All replies shall meet the $T_6$ and $T_7$ limits specified in Table 6.16. If the Interrogator observes a final reply with <u>header</u> =0 then the command completed successfully.	М	Тад	By demonstration Tested in compliance with Item 98.
103	6.3.1.6.3	All replies shall meet the T <sub>6</sub> and T <sub>7</sub> limits specified in Table 6.16. If the Interrogator observes a final reply with <u>header</u> =1 then the Tag encountered an error (see Annex I).	М	Tag	By demonstration Issue an command with an unsupported parameter that employs an in-process reply. Verify that the Tag replies an error (see Table 6.14 and Annex I). T <sub>6</sub> and T <sub>7</sub> shall meet limits specified in Table 6.16. <u>Test conditions:</u> Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: 860, 910, & 960 MHz Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK Tari: 12.5 $\mu$ s RTcal: 31.25 $\mu$ s PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: < 0.33 Tari TRcal: 66,7 $\mu$ s DR: 64/3 M: 3 TRext: 1



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	МО	Applies To	How Verified
104	6.3.1.6.3	Length shall comprise a 15-bit value field followed by an even parity bit (the number of 1's in the 16-bit length field shall be an even number).	М	Tag	By design
105	6.3.1.6.3	A Tag shall ignore Interrogator commands while processing a prior command that specified an <i>in-process</i> reply.	М	Tag	By demonstration Issue a valid command that employs an in- process reply. Issue a Req_RN command before the Tag has time to respond. Verify that the Tag ignores the Req_RN command and only processes the in-process reply. <u>Test conditions:</u> Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: 860, 910, & 960 MHz Power: >=0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK Tari: 12.5 $\mu$ s RTcal: 31.25 $\mu$ s PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: < 0.33 Tari TRcal: 66,7 $\mu$ s DR: 64/3 M: 3 TRext: 1 Note: For this test it shall be verified that the tag always has sufficient power available.
106	6.3.1.6.3	After issuing a command that uses an <i>in-process</i> reply an Interrogator shall transmit CW until the Interrogator either (a) observes a reply with <u>done=1</u> indicating the Tag has finished executing the command, or (b) fails to observe a reply for at least $T_{6(max)}$ or $T_{7(max)}$ (as appropriate) indicating that the Tag failed to execute the command.	Μ	Interrogat or	By design
107	6.3.1.6.3	An <i>in-process</i> Tag reply shall use the extended preamble shown in Figure 6.11 or Figure 6.15, as appropriate (i.e. the Tag shall reply as if <u>TRext</u> =1 regardless of the <u>TRext</u> value in the <i>Query</i> that initiated the inventory round).	Μ	Tag	By design
108	6.3.1.6.4	A Tag that implements a <i>Challenge</i> command, or any access command (other than <i>AuthComm</i> ) that employs an <i>in-process</i> reply, shall implement a <b>C</b> flag and a ResponseBuffer with the following properties:	М	Tag	<b>By demonstration</b> Tested in compliance with Item 109.



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	МО	Applies To	How Verified
109	6.3.1.6.4	A Tag shall set <b>C</b> =0 upon receiving either an access command with <u>SenRep</u> =0 (c.f. 6.3.2.12.3.10) or a <i>Challenge</i> command, and shall set <b>C</b> =1 after finishing its processing and storing its <u>response</u> (result or error code) in its ResponseBuffer.	TACC TACA TACF CE	Tag	By demonstration Read XPC_W1 value to verify that the initial value of C-flag is '0'. Issue a Challenge or an Authenticate command with SenRep=0. Read XPC_W1 value to verify that the value of C-flag has changed to '1'. Test conditions: Temp: $23 + /-3$ °C Freq: 860, 910, & 960 MHz Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK Tari: 12.5 $\mu$ s RTcal: 31.25 $\mu$ s PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: < 0.33 Tari TRcal: 66,7 $\mu$ s DR: 64/3 M: 3 TRext: 1
110	6.3.1.6.4	The <b>C</b> flag shall be selectable using a <i>Select</i> command.	М	Tag	By demonstration $\frac{\text{Test conditions:}}{\text{Temp: } 23 + /- 3 °C}$ Freq: 860, 910, & 960 MHz Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK Tari: 12.5 $\mu$ s RTcal: 31.25 $\mu$ s PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: < 0.33 Tari TRcal: 66,7 $\mu$ s DR: 64/3 M: 3 TRext: 1
111	6.3.1.6.4	If an access command with <u>SenRep</u> =0 or a <i>Challenge</i> command specifies <u>IncRepLen</u> =0 then a Tag shall not include a length field with its stored <u>response</u> , so the first word of the stored <u>response</u> shall be at ResponseBuffer location 00 <sub>h</sub> .	TACC TACA TACF CE	Tag	By demonstration Issue a Challenge or an Authenticate command with SenRep=0 and IncRepLen=0. Verify that no length field is contained in the ResponseBuffer, by using the ReadBuffer command. <u>Test conditions:</u> Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: 860, 910, & 960 MHz Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK Tari: 12.5 μs RTcal: 31.25 μs PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: < 0.33 Tari TRcal: 66,7 μs DR: 64/3 M: 3 TRext: 1



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	МО	Applies To	How Verified
112	6.3.1.6.4	If the command specifies <u>IncRepLen</u> =1 then ResponseBuffer bits $OO_h - OE_h$ shall contain the length of the stored <u>response</u> in bits, ResponseBuffer bit $OF_h$ shall contain an even parity bit that the Tag computes over bits $OO_h$ – $OE_h$ , and the first word of the stored <u>response</u> shall be at ResponseBuffer location $1O_h$ . See Figure 6.17.	TACC TACA TACF CE	Tag	<b>By demonstration</b> Issue a Challenge or an Authenticate command with SenRep=0 and IncRepLen=1. Verify that the length field is contained in the ResponseBuffer, by using the ReadBuffer command. <u>Test conditions:</u> Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: 860, 910, & 960 MHz Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK Tari: 12.5 μs RTcal: 31.25 μs PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: < 0.33 Tari TRcal: 66,7 μs DR: 64/3 M: 3 TRext: 1
113	6.3.1.6.4	The maximum size of a stored <u>response</u> shall be 32 kbits.	TACC TACA TACF CE	Tag	By design
114	6.3.1.6.4	The maximum ResponseBuffer size shall be 32,784 bits (15 length bits, 1 parity bit, 32k response bits). A Tag manufacturer may limit the ResponseBuffer to a size less than this maximum.	TACC TACA TACF CE	Tag	By design
115	6.3.1.6.4	A Tag shall dynamically adjust its ResponseBuffer, on a command-by-command basis, to the required size.	TACC TACA TACF CE	Tag	By design
116	6.3.1.6.4	The ResponseBuffer shall be read-only to an Interrogator.	TACC TACA TACF CE	Тад	By Design
117	6.3.1.6.4	A Tag shall abort command processing and instead store an error code in its ResponseBuffer if and when it determines that <u>response</u> will overflow the ResponseBuffer (see Annex I).	TACC TACA TACF CE	Тад	By Design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	МО	Applies To	How Verified
118	6.3.1.6.4	A Tag shall retain data in its ResponseBuffer with the persistence of its <b>C</b> flag (see Table 6.20).	TACC TACA TACFC E	Tag	By demonstration Issue a Challenge or an Authenticate command with SenRep=0. Switch of the carrier after successful tag response. Read XPC_W1 after the persistence of the C flag. Verify that C=1. Issue a ReadBuffer command to verify that the Tag deallocated its ResponseBuffer. Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: 860, 910, & 960 MHz Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK Tari: 12.5 $\mu$ s RTcal: 31.25 $\mu$ s PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: < 0.33 Tari TRcal: 66,7 $\mu$ s DR: 64/3 M: 3
119	6.3.1.6.4	When <b>C</b> is 1 then a Tag shall maintain the data in its ResponseBuffer.	TACC TACA TACF CE	Тад	By demonstration Tested in compliance with Item 112.
120	6.3.1.6.4	When <b>C</b> is or becomes 0 then a Tag shall deallocate its ResponseBuffer.	TACC TACA TACF CE	Tag	<b>By demonstration</b> Tested in compliance with Item 119.
121	6.3.1.6.4	<ul> <li>The maximum value for T₂ shall apply only to Tags in the reply or acknowledged states (see 6.3.2.6.3 and 6.3.2.6.4). For a Tag in the reply or acknowledged states, if T₂ expires (i.e. reaches its maximum value):</li> <li>Without the Tag receiving a valid command, the Tag shall transition to the arbitrate state (see 6.3.2.6.2),</li> <li>During the reception of a valid command, the Tag shall execute the command,</li> <li>During the reception of an invalid command, the Tag shall transition to arbitrate upon determining that the command is invalid.</li> <li>In all other states the maximum value for T₂ shall be unrestricted. A Tag shall be allowed a tolerance of 20.0T<sub>pri</sub> ≤ T₂(max) ≤ 32T<sub>pri</sub> in determining whether T₂ has expired. "Invalid command" is defined in 6.3.2.12.</li> </ul>	М	Tag	By design
122	6.3.1.6.4	$T_1+T_3$ shall not be less than $T_4$ .	М	Tag	By design
123	6.3.2.1	Tag memory shall be logically separated into four distinct banks, each of which may comprise zero or more memory words.	М	Tag	<b>By demonstration</b> Tested in compliance with Item 132.
124	6.3.2.1	Reserved memory shall contain the kill and and/or access passwords, if passwords are implemented on the Tag.	Μ	Tag	<b>By demonstration</b> Tested in compliance with Item 132.
125	6.3.2.1	The kill password shall be stored at memory addresses $00_h$ to $1F_h$ .	М	Tag	By design



## EPC Compliant Class-1 Generation-2 UHF RFID Devices Conformance Requirements

Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	МО	Applies To	How Verified
126	6.3.2.1	The access password shall be stored at memory addresses 20 <sub>h</sub> to 3F <sub>h</sub> .	Μ	Tag	By design
127	6.3.2.1	EPC memory shall contain a CRC-16 at memory addresses $OO_h$ to $OF_h$ , Protocol- Control (PC) bits at memory addresses $1O_h$ to $1F_h$ , and a code (such as an EPC, and hereafter referred to as an EPC) that identifies the object to which the Tag is or will be attached beginning at address $2O_h$ .	Μ	Tag	By design
128	6.3.2.1	TID memory shall contain an 8-bit ISO/IEC 15963 allocation class identifier at memory locations $OO_h$ to $OT_h$ . TID memory shall contain sufficient identifying information above $OT_h$ for an Interrogator to uniquely identify the custom commands and/or optional features that a Tag supports.	Σ	Tag	By demonstration Singulate the Tag, read its TID memory, and verify the contents. Tag test conditions: Temp: $23 +/-3 \degree C$ Freq: $860 \& 960 \text{ MHz}$ Power: $0 \text{ dBm}$ at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK PW: $0.5 \text{ Tari}$ Modulation depth: $90\%$ Rise/fall time: $\leq 0.33 \text{ Tari}$ Tari: $25 \ \mu s$ RTcal: $75 \ \mu s$ TRcal: $100 \ \mu s$ DR: $8$ M: 1 TRext: $0$
129	6.3.2.1	The logical addressing of all memory banks and User-memory files shall begin at zero $(OO_h)$ .	М	Tag	By design
130	6.3.2.1	The backscatter shall fall on word boundaries (except in the case of a truncated reply – see 6.3.2.10.1.1).	М	Tag	By design
131	6.3.2.1	MemBankisshall be defined as follows:002Reserved012EPC102TID112User	М	Tag	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	МО	Applies To	How Verified
132	6.3.2.1	Operations in one logical memory bank shall not access memory locations in another bank.	Σ	Tag	<b>By demonstration</b> "Check for memory overruns" Initialise the all (writable) memory locations in each bank with the value 0x0000. Issue a sequence of Write commands to write the PC with 0x3000 and the remaining EPC memory with 0x1111. Verify, using the Read command, that no memory location in another bank has been overwritten. Issue a sequence of Write commands to write the entire USER memory bank with 0x2222. Verify, using the Read command, that no memory location in another bank has been overwritten. Issue a sequence of Write commands to write the entire RESERVED memory bank with 0x3333. Verify, using the Read command, that no memory location in another bank has been overwritten. Issue a sequence of Write commands to attempt to write the entire TID memory bank with 0x4444. Verify, using the Read command, that no memory location in TID or another bank has been overwritten. Issue a sequence of Write commands to attempt to write the entire TID memory bank with 0x4444. Verify, using the Read command, that no memory location in TID or another bank has been overwritten. <u>Test conditions:</u> Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: 860, 910, & 960 MHz Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK Tari: 12.5 μs RTcal: 31.25 μs PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: < 0.33 Tari TRcal: 66,7 μs DR: 64/3 M: 3 TRext: 1
133	6.3.2.1	A <i>Write</i> , <i>BlockWrite</i> , or <i>BlockErase</i> shall not alter a Tag's <b>killed</b> status regardless of the memory address (whether valid or invalid) specified in the command.	М	Tag	<b>By demonstration</b> Tested in compliance with Item 132.
134	6.3.2.1	A Tag shall use the same block size for file allocation (see 6.3.2.11.3) as it does for the Block-Permalock command (see 6.3.2.12.3.9).	М	Tag	By design
135	6.3.2.1.1	If a Tag does not implement the kill and/or access password(s), the Tag shall logically operate as though it has zero-valued password(s) that are permanently read/write locked (see 6.3.2.10.3.5), and the corresponding physical memory locations in Reserved memory need not exist.	М	Tag	By design
136	6.3.2.1.1.1	The default (unprogrammed) value shall be zero.	М	Тад	By design
137		A Tag that does not implement a kill password shall behave as though it has a zero-valued kill password that is permanently read/write locked.	М	Tag	<b>By demonstration</b> Add test method Tested in compliance with Item 138.



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	мо	Applies To	How Verified
138	6.3.2.1.1	A Tag shall not execute a password-based kill if its kill password is zero (see 6.3.2.12.3.4)	М	Tag	By demonstration Issue a <i>Kill</i> command to a Tag with a zero- valued kill password. Verify that the Tag backscatters an error code and does not execute the kill. Tag test conditions: Temp: $23 + /- 3 \circ C$ Freq: $860 \& 960 \text{ MHz}$ Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: $\leq 0.33$ Tari Tari: 25 µs RTcal: 75 µs TRcal: 100 µs DR: 8 M: 1 TRext: 0
139	6.3.2.1.1.2	The default (unprogrammed) value shall be zero.	М	Тад	By design
140	6.3.2.1.1.2	A Tag that does not implement an access password shall behave as though it has a zero-valued access password that is permanently read/write locked	М	Tag	By Demonstration Attempt to write the access password. A Tag that does not implement an access password shall respond with an error message. A Tag that implements an access password shall respond with a valid response. Attempt to read the access password. A Tag that does not implement an access password shall respond with an error message. A Tag that implements an access password shall respond with a valid response. Tag test conditions: Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: 860 & 960 MHz Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: ≤ 0.33 Tari Tari: 25 μs RTcal: 75 μs TRcal: 100 μs DR: 8 M: 1 TRext: 0
141	6.3.2.1.2	The StoredCRC, StoredPC, EPC and XPC word(s) shall be stored MSB first (the EPC's MSB is stored in location $20_h$ ).	М	Tag	By design
142	6.3.2.1.2.1	A Tag shall implement both a StoredCRC and a PacketCRC.	М	Тад	By design
143	6.3.2.1.2.1	A Tag shall compute and store its StoredCRC either (i) when an Interrogator writes or overwrites bits in the EPC (including in the StoredPC), or (ii) every time the Tag powers up.	М	Тад	By design
144	6.3.2.1.2.1	The Tag manufacturer shall choose whether the Tag implements (i) or (ii),	М	Тад	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	мо	Applies To	How Verified
145	6.3.2.1.2.1	A Tag that implements case (i) shall perform its computing and storing as follows: The Tag first writes or overwrites the bits, then computes and stores a new StoredCRC, all within the reply times specified in Table 6.16 for the command (Write, BlockWrite, BlockErase, or Untraceable) that wrote or overwrote the bits. A Tag shall delay backscattering the success reply shown in Table 6.13 or Table 6.14 for the command that wrote or overwrote the bits until it has stored the new StoredCRC. The Tag shall store its StoredCRC in nonvolatile memory so that the StoredCRC persists through subsequent Tag power cycles. Alternatively, a Tag that implements case (ii) shall perform its computing and storing as follows: The Tag computes and stores the StoredCRC before the end of interval Ts or Ths (as appropriate) in Figure 6.3 or Figure 6.5, respectively.	Μ	Tag	By design
146	6.3.2.1.2.1	For both cases (i) and (ii) the Tag shall implement the StoredCRC by first calculating a CRC-16 (see 6.3.1.5) over the StoredPC and the EPC specified by the length (L) bits in the StoredPC, and then storing the thus- computed StoredCRC into EPC memory 00h to 0Fh, MSB first.	Μ	Tag	By demonstration $\frac{\text{Test for rewriteable Tags:}}{\text{Sequentially write a Tag's EPC, one 16-bit}}$ word at a time. Following each write, update the length field specified in the PC bits, power down the Tag, then power it up again and singulate it. Verify that the backscattered CRC-16 matches the backscattered EPC after each write operation. $\frac{\text{Test for prewritten Tags:}}{\text{Power up the Tag and singulate it. Verify}}$ that the backscattered CRC-16 matches the backscattered EPC. $\frac{\text{Tag test conditions for either case:}}{\text{Temp: } 23 + / - 3 \ ^{\circ}\text{C}}$ Freq: 860 & 960 MHz Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: $\leq 0.33 \ \text{Tari}$ Tari: 25 µs RTcal: 75 µs TRcal: 100 µs DR: 8 M: 1 TRext: 0
147	6.3.2.1.2.1	The Tag shall calculate the StoredCRC on word boundaries, shall deassert all Tag- computed StoredPC bit values (XI and UMI if Tag-computed) when performing the calculation, and shall omit XPC_W1 and XPC_W2 from the calculation.	Μ	Tag	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	МО	Applies To	How Verified
148	6.3.2.1.2.1	If an Interrogator attempts to write to EPC memory 00h – 0Fh then the Tag shall not execute the write and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30).	Σ	Tag	<b>By demonstration</b> Issue a Write command on the specified memory location. Verify that the Tag responds with an error message. <u>Test conditions:</u> Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: 860, 910, & 960 MHz Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK Tari: 12.5 μs RTcal: 31.25 μs PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: < 0.33 Tari TRcal: 66,7 μs DR: 64/3 M: 3 TRext: 1
149	6.3.2.1.2.1	A Tag shall compute the PacketCRC as specified in 6.3.1.5 over the PC word, optional XPC word(s), and backscattered EPC, and shall send the PacketCRC MSB first.	Μ	Tag	By design
150	6.3.2.1.2.1	As required by 6.3.1.5 an Interrogator shall verify the integrity of the received PC word, optional XPC word or words, and EPC using the PacketCRC.	Μ	Interrogat or	By design
151	6.3.2.1.2.2	A Tag shall implement a StoredPC in addresses 10h–1Fh of EPC memory. The bit assignments for this StoredPC shall be as shown in Table 6.18 and defined in Table 6.19.	Μ	Tag	By design
152	6.3.2.1.2.2	The StoredPC bits and values shall be as follows: Bits 10h – 14h are written by an Interrogator and specify the length of the EPC that a Tag backscatters in response to an ACK, in words.	Μ	Interro- gator	By design
153	6.3.2.1.2.2	If a Tag only supports $XI = 0$ then the maximum value for the EPC length field in the StoredPC shall be $11111_2$ (allows a 496-bit EPC), as shown above. If a Tag supports $XI = 1$ then the maximum value for the EPC length field in the StoredPC shall be $11101_2$ (allows a 464-bit EPC).	Μ	Tag	By design
154	6.3.2.1.2.2	A Tag that supports XI = 1 shall not execute a Write, BlockWrite, or Untraceable that attempts to write an EPC length field larger than $11101_2$ and shall instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30).	0	Tag	By design
155	6.3.2.1.2.2	Bit $15_h$ may be fixed by the Tag manufacturer or computed by the Tag. In the former (fixed) case, if the Tag does not have and is incapable of allocating memory to File_0 then the Tag manufacturer shall set bit $15_h$ to $0_2$ ; if the Tag has or is capable of allocating memory to File_0 then the Tag manufacturer shall set bit $15_h$ to $1_2$	0	Tag	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	МО	Applies To	How Verified
156	6.3.2.1.2.2	In the latter (computed) case, both at power- up and upon writing the first word (bits $OO_h - OF_h$ ) of File_O a Tag shall compute the logical OR of bits $O3_h - O7h$ of File_O and shall map the computed value into bit $15_h$ ; if the Tag does not have memory allocated to File_O then the logical OR result shall be $O_2$ .	0	Tag	By design
157	6.3.2.1.2.2	Regardless of the UMI method (fixed or computed), when an Interrogator writes the StoredPC the Tag shall not write and instead ignore the data value the Interrogator provides for bit $15_h$ .	0	Тад	By design
158	6.3.2.1.2.2	For a computed UMI, if an Interrogator deallocates File_0 (see $6.3.2.11.3$ ) then the Tag shall set bit $15_h$ to $0_2$ upon deallocation.	0	Tag	By design
159	6.3.2.1.2.2	Also for a computed UMI, the untraceability status of User memory (see 6.3.2.11.1) shall not change the UMI value (i.e. if UMI=1 when a Tag is traceable then UMI shall remain 1 even if an Interrogator instructs a Tag to untraceably hide User memory).	0	Tag	By design
160	6.3.2.1.2.2	If a Tag does not implement XPC_W1 then bit $16_h$ shall be fixed at $0_2$ by the Tag manufacturer.	М	Tag	By design
161	6.3.2.1.2.2	If a Tag implements XPC_W1 then a Tag shall compute XI both at powerup and upon changing any bits of XPC_W1 (whether these bits are written or computed) and map the computed value into bit 16h as follows: If T=0 then XI may be either (i) the logical OR of bits $210_{h}$ - $217_{h}$ of EPC memory or (ii) the logical OR of bits $210_{h}$ - $217_{h}$ of EPC memory or (ii) the logical OR of bits $210_{h}$ - $218_{h}$ of EPC memory; the Tag manufacturer shall choose whether the Tag implements (i) or (ii). If T=1 then XI is the logical OR of bits $210_{h}$ - $21F_{h}$ of EPC memory.	EAS TAC TACC TACA TACF CE O	Tag	By design
162	6.3.2.1.2.2	Regardless of whether XI is fixed or computed, when an Interrogator writes the StoredPC the Tag shall not write and instead ignore the data value the Interrogator provides for bit 16 <sub>h</sub> .	Μ	Tag	By design
163	6.3.2.1.2.2	If bit $17_h$ is $0_2$ , then the application is referred to as a GS1 EPCglobal <sup>TM</sup> Application and PC bits $18_h - 1F_h$ shall be as defined in this protocol. If bit $17_h$ is $1_2$ , then the application is referred to as a non-GS1 EPCglobal <sup>TM</sup> Application and PC bits $18_h - 1F_h$ shall be as defined in ISO/IEC 15961.	Μ	Tag	By demonstration Tag test conditions: Temp: $23 + /-3 \degree C$ Freq: $860 \& 960 MHz$ Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: $\leq 0.33$ Tari Tari: 25 µs RTcal: 75 µs TRcal: 100 µs DR: 8 M: 1 TRext: 0



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	МО	Applies To	How Verified
164	6.3.2.1.2.2	<b>RFU or AFI (Reserved for Future Use or</b> <b>Application Family I dentifier, bits <math>18_h - 1F_h</math>):</b> If T=0 then the Tag manufacturer (if the bits are not writeable) or an Interrogator (if the bits are writeable) shall set these bits to $00_h$ . If T=1 then the Tag manufacturer (if the bits are not writeable) or an Interrogator (if the bits are writeable) or an Interrogator (if the bits are writeable) shall set these bits as specified in ISO/IEC 15961.	М	Tag	By design
165	6.3.2.1.2.2	If an Interrogator attempts to write L bit values that the Tag does not support then the Tag shall not execute the write operation and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30).	М	Tag	By design
166	6.3.2.1.2.2	A Tag that supports XI=1 shall implement a PacketPC in addition to a StoredPC.	0	Тад	By design
167	6.3.2.1.2.2	Which PC word a Tag backscatters in reply to an ACK shall be as defined in Table 6.17.	М	Tag	By design
168	6.3.2.1.2.2	A PacketPC differs from a StoredPC in its L bits, which a Tag adjusts to match the length of the backscattered data that follow the PC word. Specifically, if XI = 1 but XEB=0 then a Tag backscatters an XPC_W1 before the EPC, so the Tag shall add one to (i.e. increment) its L bits. If both XI = 1 and XEB=1 then the Tag backscatters both an XPC_W1 and an XPC_W2 before the EPC, so the Tag shall add two to (i.e. double increments) its L bits. Because Tags that support XPC functionality have a maximum L value of 11101 <sub>2</sub> , double incrementing increases the value to 11111 <sub>2</sub> .	EAS TAC TACC TACA TACF CE O	Tag	By design
169	6.3.2.1.2.2	A Tag shall not, under any circumstances, allow its L bits to roll over to 000002.	М	Тад	By design
170	6.3.2.1.2.2	If a Tag has $T=0$ , $XI=0$ , implements an XPC_W1, and is not truncating then the Tag substitutes the 8 LSBs of XPC_W1 (i.e. EPC memory $218_h - 21F_h$ ) for the 8 LSBs of the StoredPC (i.e. PC memory $18_h - 1F_h$ ) in its reply. Because a Tag calculates its PacketCRC over the backscattered data bits (see 6.3.2.1.2.1), when the Tag does this substitution then it shall calculate its PacketCRC over the 8 substituted PC_W1 LSBs rather than over the 8 StoredPC LSBs.	EAS TAC TACC TACA TACF CE O	Tag	By design
171	6.3.2.1.2.2	An Interrogator shall support Tag replies with <b>XI</b> = 0, <b>XI</b> = 1, or both <b>XI</b> = 1 and <b>XEB</b> =1.	М	Interrogat or	By design
172	6.3.2.1.2.2	If a Tag has a response (result or error code) in its ResponseBuffer (i.e. $C=1$ ) and the Interrogator set immed=1 in the Challenge command that preceded the inventory round then a Tag shall concatenate response and a CRC-16 calculated over response to its reply to an ACK (see Table 6.17).	0	Tag	By design
173	6.3.2.1.2.3	The EPC for a GS1 EPCglobal <sup>™</sup> Application shall be as defined in the GS1 EPC <sup>™</sup> Tag Data Standard.	0	Tag	By design
174	6.3.2.1.2.4	The EPC for a non-EPCglobal <sup>™</sup> Application shall be as defined in ISO/IEC 15961.	0	Тад	By design


Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	мо	Applies To	How Verified
175	6.3.2.1.3	TID memory locations $00_h$ to $07_h$ shall contain either $E0_h$ or $E2_h$ . ISO/IEC 15963 class- identifier value —	М	Tag	By design
176	6.3.2.1.3	TID memory locations above $07_h$ shall be defined according to the registration authority defined by this class-identifier value and shall contain, at a minimum, sufficient information for an Interrogator to uniquely identify the custom commands and/or optional features that a Tag supports.	0	Tag	By design
177	6.3.2.1.3	<ul> <li>If the class identifier is E2h then TID memory above 07h shall be configured as follows:</li> <li>08h: XTID (X) indicator (whether a Tag implements an XTID – see 5.2)</li> <li>09h: Security (S) indicator (whether a Tag supports the Authenticate and/or Challenge commands)</li> <li>0Ah: File (F) indicator (whether a Tag supports the <i>FileOpen</i> command)</li> <li>0Bh to 13h: A 9-bit Tag mask-designer identifier (obtainable from the registration authority)</li> <li>14h to 1Fh: A Tag-manufacturer-defined 12-bit Tag model number</li> <li>Above 1Fh: As defined in the GS1 EPC Tag Data Standard</li> </ul>	0	Tag	By design
178	6.3.2.1.4	If File_0 of User memory exists and has not yet been written then the 5 LSBs of the first byte (i.e. File_0 memory addresses $03_h$ to $07_h$ ) shall have the default value $00000_2$ .	0	Tag	By design
179	6.3.2.1.4.1	If a Tag implements User memory then the file encoding shall be as defined in the GS1 EPC Tag Data Standard.	0	Tag	By design
180	6.3.2.1.4.2	If a Tag implements User memory then the file encoding shall be as defined in ISO/IEC 15961 and 15962.	0	Tag	By design
181	6.3.2.2	Interrogators shall support and Tags shall provide 4 sessions (denoted S0, S1, S2, and S3).	Μ	Tag and Interro- gator	By design
182	6.3.2.2	Tags shall participate in one and only one session during an inventory round.	М	Тад	By design
183	6.3.2.2	A Tag shall maintain an independent inventoried flag for each of its four sessions.	М	Тад	By design
184	6.3.2.2	Tags participating in an inventory round in one session shall neither use nor modify the <b>inventoried</b> flag for a different session.	М	Tag	By design
185	6.3.2.2	A Tag's <b>inventoried</b> flags shall have the set and persistence times shown in Table 6.20.	М	Тад	By design
186	6.3.2.2	A Tag shall power-up with its <b>inventoried</b> flags set as follows: the SO <b>inventoried</b> flag shall be set to <i>A</i> .	М	Tag	<b>By design</b> Tested in compliance with 6.3.2.3, Table 6.20



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	МО	Applies To	How Verified
187	6.3.2.2	A Tag shall power-up with its <b>inventoried</b> flags set as follows: the S1 <b>inventoried</b> flag shall be set to either <i>A</i> or <i>B</i> , depending on its stored value, unless the flag was set longer in the past than its persistence time, in which case the Tag shall power-up with its S1 <b>inventoried</b> flag set to <i>A</i> .	М	Tag	By design
188	6.3.2.2	A Tag shall power-up with its <b>inventoried</b> flags set as follows: the S2 <b>inventoried</b> flag shall be set to either <i>A</i> or <i>B</i> , depending on its stored value, unless the Tag has lost power for a time greater than its persistence time, in which case the Tag shall power-up with the S2 <b>inventoried</b> flag set to <i>A</i> .	М	Tag	By design
189	6.3.2.2	A Tag shall power-up with its <b>inventoried</b> flags set as follows: the S3 <b>inventoried</b> flag shall be set to either <i>A</i> or <i>B</i> , depending on its stored value, unless the Tag has lost power for a time greater than its persistence time, in which case the Tag shall power-up with its S3 <b>inventoried</b> flag set to <i>A</i> .	Μ	Tag	By design
190	6.3.2.2	Tag shall refresh its S2 and S3 flags while powered, meaning that every time a Tag loses power its S2 and S3 <b>inventoried</b> flags shall have the persistence times shown in Table 6.20.	М	Tag	By design
191	6.3.2.2	A Tag shall not change the value of its S1 <b>inventoried</b> flag from <i>B</i> to <i>A</i> , as the result of a persistence timeout, while the Tag is participating in an inventory round, is in the midst of being inventoried, or is in the midst of being accessed.	М	Tag	By design
192	6.3.2.2	If a Tag's S1 flag persistence time expires during an inventory round then the Tag shall change the flag to <i>A</i> only (i) as instructed by an Interrogator (e.g. by a <i>QueryAdjust</i> or <i>QueryRep</i> with matching session at the end of an inventory or access operation), or (ii) at the end of the round (e.g. upon receiving a <i>Select</i> or <i>Query</i> ). In case (i), if the Tag's S1 flag persistence time expires while the Tag is in the midst of being inventoried or accessed then the Tag shall change the flag to <i>A</i> at the end of the inventory or access operation. In case (ii), the Tag shall invert its S1 flag prior to evaluating the <i>Select</i> or <i>Query</i> .	М	Tag	By design
193	6.3.2.3	Tags shall implement a selected flag, <b>SL</b> , which an Interrogator may assert or deassert using a <i>Select</i> command.	М	Tag	By design
194	6.3.2.3	A Tag's <b>SL</b> flag shall have the set and persistence times shown in Table 6.20.	М	Tag	<b>By design</b> Tested in compliance with 6.3.2.3, Table 6.20
195	6.3.2.3	A Tag shall power-up with its <b>SL</b> flag either asserted or deasserted, depending on the stored value, unless the Tag has lost power for a time greater than the <b>SL</b> persistence time, in which case the Tag shall power-up with its <b>SL</b> flag deasserted (set to ~ <b>SL</b> ).	М	Tag	By design
196	6.3.2.3	A Tag shall refresh its <b>SL</b> flag when powered, meaning that every time a Tag loses power its <b>SL</b> flag shall have the persistence times shown in Table 6.20.	М	Tag	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	мо	Applies To	How Verified
197	6.3.2.3, Table 6.20	For a randomly chosen and sufficiently large Tag population, 95% of the Tag persistence times shall meet the persistence requirement, with a 90% confidence interval.	М	Tag	<b>By design</b> Tag manufacturers shall provide data and analysis demonstrating that Tags meet the persistence requirements of Table 6.20.
198	6.3.2.4	A Tag's <b>C</b> flag (see 6.3.2.1.2.5) shall have the set and persistence times shown in Table 6.20.	0	Тад	<b>By design</b> Tested in compliance with 6.3.2.3, Table 6.20
199	6.3.2.4	A Tag shall refresh its <b>C</b> flag when powered, meaning that every time a Tag loses power its <b>C</b> flag shall have the persistence shown in Table 6.20 (of course, if a Tag has a zero- second persistence time then even if the Tag powers down momentarily its <b>C</b> flag will be deasserted).	С	Tag	By design
200	6.3.2.5	If a Tag implements a security timeout then it shall use a single timeout timer, so a security timeout caused by one command failure (such as a failed <i>Challenge</i> ) shall cause a Tag to disallow all commands for which the Tag implements a security timeout until the end of the timeout period.	EAS TAC TACC TACA TACF CE O	Tag	By design
201	6.3.2.6	Tags shall implement the states and the slot counter shown in Figure 6.21.	М	Tag	By demonstration Taq test: Tag manufacturers shall supply a population of Tags for testing. The testing laboratory shall exercise all of the states and state transitions shown in Figure 6.21 by selecting, singulating, inventorying, reading, writing, accessing, and (for Tags that implement kill) killing the Tags. Tag test conditions: Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: 860 & 960 MHz Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: $\leq$ 0.33 Tari Tari: 25 µs TRcal: 75 µs TRcal: 100 µs DR: 8 M: 1 TRext: 0
202	6.3.2.6.1	Tags shall implement a <b>ready</b> state.	М	Tag	<b>By design</b> – inherently tested with other tests Tested in compliance with 6.3.2.6, Figure 6.21
203	6.3.2.6.1	Upon entering an energizing RF field a Tag that is not <b>killed</b> shall enter <b>ready</b> .	М	Tag	By design
204	6.3.2.6.1	The Tag shall remain in <b>ready</b> until it receives a <i>Query</i> command (see 6.3.2.12.2.1) whose <b>inventoried</b> parameter (for the <u>session</u> specified in the <i>Query</i> ) and <u>sel</u> parameter match its current flag values.	М	Тад	By design
205	6.3.2.6.1	Matching Tags shall draw a <i>Q</i> -bit number from their RNG (see 6.3.2.7), load this number into their slot counter, and transition to the <b>arbitrate</b> state if the number is nonzero, or to the <b>reply</b> state if the number is zero.	М	Tag	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	мо	Applies To	How Verified
206	6.3.2.6.1	If a Tag in any state except <b>killed</b> loses power it shall return to <b>ready</b> upon regaining power.	М	Tag	By design
207	6.3.2.6.2	Tags shall implement an <b>arbitrate</b> state.	М	Tag	<b>By design</b> Tested in compliance with 6.3.2.6, Figure 6.21
208	6.3.2.6.2	A Tag in <b>arbitrate</b> shall decrement its slot counter every time it receives a <i>QueryRep</i> command (see 6.3.2.12.2.3) whose <u>session</u> parameter matches the session for the inventory round currently in progress, and it shall transition to the <b>reply</b> state and backscatter an RN16 when its slot counter reaches 0000 <sub>h</sub> .	М	Tag	By design
209	6.3.2.6.2	Tags that return to <b>arbitrate</b> (for example, from the <b>reply</b> state) with a slot value of $0000_h$ shall decrement their slot counter from $0000_h$ to 7FFF <sub>h</sub> at the next <i>QueryRep</i> (with matching <u>session</u> ) and, because their slot value is now nonzero, shall remain in <b>arbitrate</b> .	М	Tag	By design
210	6.3.2.6.3	Tags shall implement a <b>reply</b> state.	М	Tag	Tested in compliance with 6.3.2.6, Figure 6.21
211	6.3.2.6.3	Upon entering <b>reply</b> a Tag shall backscatter an RN16.	М	Тад	By design
212	6.3.2.6.3	If the Tag receives a valid acknowledgement ( <i>ACK</i> ) it shall transition to the <b>acknowledged</b> state, backscattering the reply shown in Table 6.17.	М	Tag	By design
213	6.3.2.6.3	If the Tag fails to receive an <i>ACK</i> within time $T_{2(max)}$ , or receives an invalid <i>ACK</i> or an <i>ACK</i> with an erroneous RN16, it shall return to <b>arbitrate</b> .	М	Tag	By design
214	6.3.2.6.3	Tag and Interrogator shall meet all timing requirements specified in Table 6.16.	М	Tag and Interrogat or	<b>By design</b> Tested in compliance with 6.3.1.6, Table 6.16
215	6.3.2.6.4	Tags shall implement an <b>acknowledged</b> state.	М	Tag	Tested in compliance with 6.3.2.6, Figure 6.21
216	6.3.2.6.4	If a Tag in the <b>acknowledged</b> state receives a valid <i>ACK</i> containing the correct RN16 then it shall re-backscatter the reply shown in Table 6.17.	М	Tag	By design
217	6.3.2.6.4	If a Tag in the <b>acknowledged</b> state fails to receive a valid command within time $T_{2(max)}$ it shall return to <b>arbitrate</b> .	М	Tag	By design
218	6.3.2.6.4	Tag and Interrogator shall meet all timing requirements specified in Table 6.16.	М	Tag and Interrogat or	<b>By design</b> Tested in compliance with 6.3.1.6, Table 6.16
219	6.3.2.6.5	Tags shall implement an <b>open</b> state.	М	Тад	Tested in compliance with 6.3.2.6, Figure 6.21
220	6.3.2.6.5	A Tag in the <b>acknowledged</b> state whose access password is nonzero shall transition to <b>open</b> upon receiving a <i>Req_RN</i> command, backscattering a new RN16 (denoted <u>handle</u> ) that the Interrogator shall use in subsequent commands and the Tag shall use in subsequent replies.	М	Tag	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	мо	Applies To	How Verified
221	6.3.2.6.5	If a Tag in the <b>open</b> state receives a valid <i>ACK</i> containing the correct <u>handle</u> then it shall re-backscatter the reply shown in Table 6.17.	М	Tag	By design
222	6.3.2.6.5	Tag and Interrogator shall meet all timing requirements specified in Table 6.16 except $T_{2(max)}$ ; in the <b>open</b> state the maximum delay between Tag response and Interrogator transmission is unrestricted.	Μ	Tag and Interrogat or	<b>By design</b> Tested in compliance with 6.3.1.6, Table 6.16
223	6.3.2.6.6	Tags shall implement a <b>secured</b> state.	М	Tag	<b>By design</b> Tested in compliance with 6.3.2.6, Figure 6.21
224	6.3.2.6.6	A Tag in the <b>acknowledged</b> state whose access password is zero shall transition to <b>secured</b> upon receiving a <i>Req_RN</i> command, backscattering a new RN16 (denoted <u>handle</u> ) that the Interrogator shall use in subsequent commands and the Tag shall use in subsequent replies.	Μ	Tag and Interro- gator	By design
225	6.3.2.6.6	A Tag in the <b>open</b> state shall transition to <b>secured</b> following a successful <i>Access</i> command sequence or Interrogator authentication (where success in the latter case is defined by the cryptographic suite specified in the <i>Authenticate</i> command that initiated the authentication), maintaining the same <u>handle</u> that it previously backscattered when it transitioned from the <b>acknowledged</b> to the <b>open</b> state.	Μ	Tag	By design
226	6.3.2.6.6	If a Tag in the <b>secured</b> state receives a valid <i>ACK</i> containing the correct <u>handle</u> then it shall re-backscatter the reply shown in Table 6.17.	М	Tag	By design
227	6.3.2.6.6	Tag and Interrogator shall meet all timing requirements specified in Table 6.16 except $T_{2(max)}$ ; in the <b>secured</b> state the maximum delay between Tag response and Interrogator transmission is unrestricted.	Μ	Tag and Interrogat or	<b>By design</b> Tested in compliance with 6.3.1.6, Table 6.16
228	6.3.2.6.7	Tags shall implement a <b>killed</b> state.	М	Tag	<b>By design</b> Tested in compliance with 6.3.2.6, Figure 6.21
229	6.3.2.6.7	A Tag in either the <b>open</b> or <b>secured</b> states shall enter the <b>killed</b> state upon receiving a successful password-based <i>Kill</i> -command sequence with a correct nonzero kill password and handle.	Μ	Tag	By design
230	6.3.2.6.7	A Tag in the <b>secured</b> states shall enter the <b>killed</b> state upon a successful authenticated <i>Kill</i> (see 6.3.2.12.3.4).	TACC, TACA1 09	Tag	<b>By design</b> Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.6, Figure 6.21
231	6.3.2.6.7	Upon entering the <b>killed</b> state a Tag shall notify the Interrogator that the kill operation was successful, and shall not respond to an Interrogator thereafter.	М	Tag	<b>By design</b> Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.6, Figure 6.21
232	6.3.2.6.7	<b>Killed</b> Tags shall remain in the <b>killed</b> state under all circumstances, and shall immediately enter <b>killed</b> upon subsequent power-ups.	М	Tag	<b>By design</b> Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.6, Figure 6.21
233	6.3.2.6.8	Tags shall implement a 15-bit slot counter.	М	Тад	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	МО	Applies To	How Verified
234	6.3.2.6.8	Upon receiving a <i>Query</i> or <i>QueryAdjust</i> command a Tag shall preload into its slot counter a value between 0 and $2^{\circ}$ -1, drawn from the Tag's RNG (see 6.3.2.7).	Μ	Tag	By design
235	6.3.2.6.8	Tags whose slot counter reached $0000_h$ , who replied, and who were not <b>acknowledged</b> (including Tags that responded to an original <i>Query</i> and were not <b>acknowledged</b> ) shall return to <b>arbitrate</b> with a slot value of $0000_h$ and shall decrement this slot value from $0000_h$ to 7FFF <sub>h</sub> at the next <i>QueryRep</i> .	Σ	Tag	<b>By demonstration</b> Test in compliance with 6.3.2.6, Figure 6.21
236	6.3.2.6.8	The slot counter shall be capable of continuous counting, meaning that, after the slot counter rolls over to $7FF_h$ it begins counting down again, thereby effectively preventing subsequent replies until the Tag loads a new random value into its slot counter.	Μ	Tag	<b>By demonstration</b> Test in compliance with 6.3.2.6, Figure 6.21
237	6.3.2.7	Tags shall implement a random or pseudo- random number generator (RNG).	М	Tag	By design
238	6.3.2.7	The RNG shall meet the following randomness criteria independent of the strength of the energizing RF field, the R=>T link rate, and the data stored in the Tag (including but not limited to the StoredPC, XPC word or words, EPC, and StoredCRC).	Μ	Tag	By design
239	6.3.2.7	Tags shall generate 16-bit random or pseudo- random numbers (RN16) using the RNG, and shall have the ability to extract <i>Q</i> -bit subsets from its RN16 to preload the Tag's slot counter (see 6.3.2.6.8).	Μ	Tag	By design
240	6.3.2.7	Tags shall have the ability to temporarily store at least two RN16s while powered, to use, for example, as a <u>handle</u> and a 16-bit cover-code during password transactions (see Figure 6.24 or Figure 6.26).	Μ	Tag	By design
241	6.3.2.7	The probability that any RN16 drawn from the RNG has value RN16 = $j$ , for any $j$ , shall be bounded by $0.8/2^{16} < P(RN16 = j) < 1.25/2^{16}$ .	М	Tag	<b>By design</b> Tag manufacturers shall provide data and analysis demonstrating that Tags meet the requirements of 6.3.2.7.
242	6.3.2.7	For a Tag population of up to 10,000 Tags, the probability that any two or more Tags simultaneously generate the same sequence of RN16s shall be less than 0.1%, regardless of when the Tags are energized.	Μ	Тад	<b>By design</b> Tag manufacturers shall provide data and analysis demonstrating that Tags meet the requirements of 6.3.2.7.
243	6.3.2.7	An RN16 drawn from a Tag's RNG 10ms after the end of $T_r$ in Figure 6.3 shall not be predictable with a probability greater than 0.025% if the outcomes of prior draws from the RNG, performed under identical conditions, are known.	Μ	Тад	<b>By design</b> Tag manufacturers shall provide data and analysis demonstrating that Tags meet the requirements of 6.3.2.7.
244	6.3.2.11	A Tag shall execute access commands only in the states shown in Table 6.27.	М	Tag	<b>By design</b> Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.6, Figure 6.21
245	6.3.2.11	A Tag shall treat as invalid (see Table C.30) optional access commands that it does not support.	М	Тад	By design
246	6.3.2.11.1	A Tag, once killed, shall not respond to an Interrogator thereafter.	М	Тад	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	мо	Applies To	How Verified
247	6.3.2.11.1	If the Interrogator issues a command with new data or half-password, then it shall first issue a <i>Req_RN</i> to obtain a new RN16 and shall use this RN16 for the cover-coding.	М	Interro- gator	By design
248	6.3.2.11.1	Neither Tag nor Interrogator shall consider themselves authenticated following an Access-command sequence.	М	Tag and Interro- gator	By design
249	6.3.2.11.1	A Tag or an Interrogator shall only consider themselves authenticated after executing a cryptographic authentication in accordance with a cryptographic suite.	Μ	Tag and Interro- gator	By design
250	6.3.2.11.2	Table 6.28 shows which commands an Interrogator may, and an authenticated Interrogator shallencapsulate in an <i>AuthComm</i> .	М	Interro- gator	By design
251	6.3.2.11.2	Table 6.28 shows which commands an Interrogator may, and an authenticated Interrogator shall, encapsulate in a <i>SecureComm</i> .	М	Interro- gator	By design
252	6.3.2.11.2	The Tag manufacturer shall choose the number and type of cryptographic suites that a Tag supports; this assignment shall not be alterable in the field. An Interrogator selects one from among the implemented cryptographic suites using the <u>CSI</u> field in the <i>Challenge</i> and <i>Authenticate</i> commands.	Μ	Tag and Interro- gator	By design
253	6.3.2.11.2	The Tag manufacturer shall choose the number of available keys and assign them to the cryptographic suite(s); this assignment shall not be alterable in the field.	М	Tag	By design
254	6.3.2.11.2	No two keys shall have the same number, even if used for different cryptographic suites.	М	Tag	By design
255	6.3.2.11.2	A Tag shall not indicate where in memory it stores its keys, nor shall it allow an Interrogator to read this memory location.	Μ	Tag	By design
256	6.3.2.11.2	A Tag that supports the <i>Untraceable</i> command shall provide the Tag privileges shown in Table 6.22.	0	Tag	By design
257		A Tag that supports one or more cryptographic suites shall provide the Tag privileges shown in Table 6.23.	Ο	Tag	By design
258	6.3.2.11.2	<ul> <li>A Tag that implements the <i>TagPrivilege</i> command shall permit an Interrogator that authenticated itself as a crypto superuser in a cryptographic suite to: <ul> <li>change the value of any key in that cryptographic suite, including its own, using a <i>KeyUpdate</i>.</li> <li>read or modify privileges (value in Table 6.23) for any key in that cryptographic suite, including its own.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	0	Tag	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	МО	Applies To	How Verified
259	6.3.2.11.2	<ul> <li>A Tag shall not permit an Interrogator that did not authenticate itself as a crypto superuser to: <ul> <li>change the value of any key other than the one it used to authenticate itself.</li> <li>read or modify privileges (value in Table 6.23) for any key other than the one it used to authenticate itself</li> <li>assert a deasserted privilege (value in Table 6.23) for the key it used to authenticate itself.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	0	Tag	By design
260	6.3.2.11.2	A Tag that supports the <i>TagPrivilege</i> command shall permit an Interrogator that supplies the access password (even if zero- valued) or a key to deassert a privilege for the access password or that key, respectively, regardless of the <u>CryptoSuperuser</u> value.	0	Tag	By design
261	6.3.2.11.2	A Tag that receives a <i>TagPrivilege</i> that attempts to change an unchangeable Tag privilege value shall not execute the <i>TagPrivilege</i> and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30).	0	Tag	By design
262	6.3.2.11.2	After a successful Interrogator authentication a Tag in the <b>open</b> state shall transition to the <b>secured</b> state.	М	Тад	By design
263	6.3.2.11.2	The authenticated Interrogator shall subsequently encapsulate all commands designated "Mandatory Encapsulation" in Table 6.28 in an <i>AuthComm</i> or <i>SecureComm</i> .	Μ	Tag	By design
264	6.3.2.11.2	If a Tag receives such a command from an authenticated Interrogator without encapsulation then it shall not execute the command and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30).	Μ	Tag	By design
265	6.3.2.11.2	A Tag shall transition back to the <b>open</b> state, reset its cryptographic engine, and revert to <b>open</b> -state file privileges (see below) when an authenticated Interrogator loses its authentication.	Μ	Tag	By design
266	6.3.2.11.2	If the Tag was not previously authenticated by a <i>Challenge</i> or <i>Authenticate</i> command then it shall not execute the command and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30).	Μ	Tag	By design
267	6.3.2.11.2	If a condition of a cryptographic suite causes a Tag to transition from the <b>open</b> or <b>secured</b> state to the <b>arbitrate</b> state then the Tag (i) shall not change the value of its inventoried flag, and (ii) shall reset its cryptographic engine.	Μ	Тад	By design
268	6.3.2.11.3	A Tag that supports File_N, N>0 shall implement <i>FileOpen</i> ; it may implement <i>FileList, FileSetup, and FilePrivilege</i> as well.	0	Tag	By design
269	6.3.2.11.3	If a Tag implements a single file then that file shall be File_0.	0	Tag	By design
270	6.3.2.11.3	A Tag with User memory shall open File_0 upon first entering the <b>open</b> or <b>secured</b> state.	0	Tag	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	МО	Applies To	How Verified
271	6.3.2.11.3	A Tag shall have only a single file open at any time. All access commands operate on the currently open file.	0	Tag	By design
272	6.3.2.11.3	Each file shall have an 8-bit <u>FileType</u> and a 10-bit <u>FileNum</u> , unless a Tag does not support any file-management access commands, in which case a Tag that implements File_0 may omit <u>FileType</u> and <u>FileNum</u> .	0	Tag	By design
273	6.3.2.11.3	A Tag manufacturer shall preassign a <u>FileType</u> to each file supported by the Tag.	0	Тад	By design
274	6.3.2.11.3	A Tag manufacturer shall preassign a unique <u>FileNum</u> to each file supported by the Tag.	0	Тад	By design
275	6.3.2.11.3	The files may have different size (including zero size). <u>FileNum</u> =00000000002 shall be reserved for the base file (File_0) of User memory	0	Tag	By design
276	6.3.2.11.3	<u>FileNum</u> =111111111112 shall be RFU. This protocol recommends, but does not require, that Tag manufacturers number files sequentially.	Μ	Tag	By design
277	6.3.2.11.3	Upon receiving a <i>FileOpen</i> a Tag shall first close the currently open file and then open the new file, with the new file's starting address mapped to $OO_h$ of User memory.	0	Tag	By design
278	6.3.2.11.3	A Tag manufacturer shall precreate all files; the number of files shall not be changeable in the field.	0	Tag	By design
279	6.3.2.11.3	<b>Static:</b> A manufacturer of a <i>static</i> Tag shall preallocate all User memory to files.	0	Tag	By design
280	6.3.2.11.3	A Tag manufacturer shall decide where a Tag stores its <u>FileType</u> and <u>FileNum</u> data and may choose a readable portion of memory (if desired). Regardless of the location, a Tag shall not allow an Interrogator to modify a file's type by any command except <i>FileSetup</i> , and shall not allow an Interrogator to modify a <u>FileNum</u> by any means.	0	Tag	By design
281	6.3.2.11.3	Files may range in size from a minimum of zero to a maximum of 1022 blocks. Commands that include a <u>FileSize</u> parameter use 10 bits to specify sizes from zero to 1022 blocks (00000000002 – 1111111102, respectively). <u>FileSize</u> 1111111112 shall be RFU.	0	Tag	By design
282	6.3.2.11.3	A Tag manufacturer shall predefine a single fixed, unchangeable block size that the Tag shall use for all file allocation as well as for the <i>BlockPermalock</i> command.	0	Tag	By design
283	6.3.2.11.3	Tag manufacturers shall not use block sizes exceeding 1024 words. Tag replies that return a <u>BlockSize</u> value use 10 bits to specify the size from one (00000000002) to 1024 (1111111112) words. <u>BlockSize</u> does not have an RFU value.	0	Тад	By design
284	6.3.2.11.3	If a Tag supports File_0 then it shall provide the file privileges shown in Table 6.24.	0	Тад	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	мо	Applies To	How Verified
285	6.3.2.11.3	If a Tag supports File_N, N>0 then it shall also provide the file privileges shown in Table 6.25.	0	Тад	By design
286	6.3.2.11.3	A Tag with M files shall implement M 4-bit <b>open</b> -state file privileges, one for each file.	0	Тад	By design
287	6.3.2.11.3	A Tag with M files shall implement M 4-bit <b>secured</b> -state access-password file privileges, one for each file.	0	Tag	By design
288	6.3.2.11.3	. A Tag with M files and N keys shall implement M×N 4-bit <b>secured</b> -state key file privileges.	0	Tag	By design
289	6.3.2.11.3	In this latter case, if an Interrogator sends a 4-bit privilege with either MSB being nonzero then the Tag shall not execute the <i>FilePrivilege</i> and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30).	0	Тад	By design
290	6.3.2.11.3	<ul> <li>A Tag shall permit an Interrogator that accessed or authenticated itself as a file superuser to:</li> <li>read or assign a new 4-bit privilege for the open state, access password, or any key (including its own) regardless of the cryptographic suite to which the key is assigned, for the currently open file, using a <i>FilePrivilege</i> command.</li> <li>change the <u>FileType</u> of the currently open file using a <i>FileSetup</i> command, for a <i>static</i> or a <i>dynamic</i> Tag.</li> <li>resize the currently open file using a <i>FileSetup</i> command, but only if the file contains no permalocked or permaunlocked memory and only if the Tag is <i>dynamic</i>.</li> </ul>	Ο	Tag	By design
291	6.3.2.11.3	<ul> <li>A Tag shall not permit an Interrogator that did not access or authenticate itself as a file superuser to: <ul> <li>read or assign the 4-bit privilege for the open state, for the currently open file.</li> <li>read or assign the 4-bit privilege for the access password or for any key other than the one it used to enter the secured state, for the currently open file.</li> <li>increase the privileges (move down one or more rows in Table 6.24 or Table 6.25) for the access password or for any key, for the currently open file.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	ο	Tag	By design
292	6.3.2.11.3	If the access password or key that a Tag used to enter the <b>secured</b> state has <u>DecFilePriv</u> =1 (see Table 6.22 and Table 6.23) then a Tag shall permit an Interrogator to self-reduce its privileges (move up one or more rows in Table 6.24 or Table 6.25) to the currently open file for this access password or key.	0	Tag	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	МО	Applies To	How Verified
293	6.3.2.11.3	If <i>Read</i> is "×" for a privilege value then a Tag shall behave as if the memory location does not exist.	Ο	Тад	By design
294	6.3.2.11.3	Otherwise, if <i>Write</i> , <i>BlockWrite</i> , or <i>BlockErase</i> are "×" then the Tag shall behave as if the memory location is permalocked; and if <i>Lock</i> or <i>BlockPermalock</i> are "×" then the Tag shall behave as if the memory location is neither lockable nor unlockable.	0	Тад	By design
295	6.3.2.11.3	If <i>FilePrivilege</i> or <i>FileSetup</i> are "×" then the Tag shall behave as if the Interrogator has insufficient privileges.	Ο	Тад	By design
296	6.3.2.11.3	If a Tag implements the <i>BlockPermalock</i> command then all files shall support the <i>BlockPermalock</i> command.	0	Тад	By design
297	6.3.2.11.3	If a Tag's User memory is untraceably hidden then the Tag shall only execute a <i>FileOpen</i> , <i>FileList, FileSetup</i> , or <i>FilePrivilege</i> issued by an Interrogator with an asserted <u>Untraceable</u> privilege (see Table 6.22 and Table 6.23); if the Interrogator has a deasserted <u>Untraceable</u> privilege then the Tag shall treat these commands' parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30).	0	Tag	By design
298	6.3.2.11.3	A Tag shall not permit a permalocked portion of memory to be erased or overwritten, except for the <b>L</b> and <b>U</b> bits in EPC memory, which an Interrogator with an asserted <u>Untraceable</u> privilege may overwrite.	Μ	Tag	By design
299	6.3.2.11.3	In some instances a <i>dynamic</i> Tag may allow file resizing. Whether a Tag allows resizing shall depend on whether the Tag accepts a <i>FileSetup</i> command (varies by privilege and state), whether the Tag has free memory available for the resizing, and whether the file or any blocks in it are permalocked or permaunlocked.	0	Tag	By design
300	6.3.2.12	Interrogator-to-Tag commands shall use the command codes, protection, and parameters shown in Table 6.28.	Μ	Interro- gator	By design
301	6.3.2.12	<i>QueryRep, ACK, Query, QueryAdjust,</i> and <i>NAK</i> have the unique command lengths shown in Table 6.28. No other commands shall have these lengths.	М	Interro- gator	By design
302	6.3.2.12	If a Tag receives one of these commands with an incorrect length then it shall treat the command as invalid (see Table C.30)	М	Тад	By design
303	6.3.2.12	An authenticated <i>Kill</i> shall be encapsulated in a <i>SecureComm</i> or an <i>AuthComm</i> ; a password-based <i>Kill</i> shall not be encapsulated.	М	Interrogat or	By design
304	6.3.2.12.1. 1	Interrogators and Tags shall implement the <i>Select</i> command shown in Table 6.29.	М	Tag and Interro- gator	<b>By design</b> Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.6, Figure 6.21
305	6.3.2.12.1. 1	A <i>Select</i> that modifies the <b>SL</b> flag shall not modify an <b>inventoried</b> flag, and vice versa.	М	Тад	By design
306	6.3.2.12.1. 1	A Tag shall ignore a <i>Select</i> whose Target is 101 <sub>2</sub> , 110 <sub>2</sub> , or 111 <sub>2</sub> .	М	Тад	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	МО	Applies To	How Verified
307	6.3.2.12.1. 1	If MemBank=00 <sub>2</sub> then an Interrogator shall set Pointer to 00 <sub>h</sub> ;	М	Interrogat or	By design
308	6.3.2.12.1. 1	if a Tag receives a <i>Select</i> with MemBank=00 <sub>2</sub> and a nonzero Pointer value then it shall ignore the <i>Select</i> .	М	Tag	By design
309	6.3.2.12.1. 1	If Mem-Bank=00 <sub>2</sub> then an Interrogator shall set Length=00001000 <sub>2</sub> ;	М	Interrogat or	By design
310	6.3.2.12.1. 1	if a Tag receives a <i>Select</i> with MemBank=00 <sub>2</sub> and Length<>00001000 <sub>2</sub> then it shall ignore the <i>Select</i> .	М	Тад	By design
311	6.3.2.12.1. 1	An untraceable Tag shall process a <i>Select</i> with MemBank=002 whose User memory is traceable, or with MemBank<>002 whose Mask operates on a completely traceable bit string.	М	Tag	By design
312	6.3.2.12.1. 1	A Tag shall treat as not-matching a <i>Select</i> command whose Mask includes untraceably hidden memory.	М	Тад	By design
313	6.3.2.12.1. 1	<u>Truncate</u> indicates whether a Tag's backscattered reply shall be truncated to those EPC bits that follow <u>Mask</u> .	М	Тад	By design
314	6.3.2.12.1. 1	If an Interrogator asserts <u>Truncate</u> , and if a subsequent <i>Query</i> specifies <u>Sel</u> =10 or <u>Sel</u> =11, then a matching Tag shall truncate its <i>ACK</i> reply to the portion of the EPC immediately following <u>Mask</u> , followed by a PacketCRC.	М	Тад	By design
315	6.3.2.12.1. 1	If an Interrogator asserts <u>Truncate</u> , it shall assert it in the last <i>Select</i> that the Interrogator issues prior to sending a <i>Query</i> , only if the <i>Select</i> has <u>Target</u> = $100_2$ , and only if <u>Mask</u> ends in the EPC.	М	Interro- gator	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	МО	Applies To	How Verified
316	6.3.2.12.1. 1	Tags shall decide whether to truncate its backscattered EPC on the basis of the most recently received valid <i>Select</i> (i.e. not ignored and matching or not-matching).	Σ	Tag	By demonstration: Issue a Select command and a Query command to a Tag with a 96 bit EPC and the EPC starting with 001 and the parameters as follows: Select Command = 1010 Target = 100 Action = 000 MemBank = 01 Pointer = 0010 0000 Length = 00000 DR = 0 M = 00 Truncate = 1 Query Command = 1000 DR = 0 M = 00 Traget = 0 Q = 0000 Verify that the tag replies with a truncated EPC. Issue a Select command and a Query command to a Tag with a 96 bit EPC and the EPC starting with 001 and the parameters as follows: Select Command = 1010 Target = 100 Action = 100 MemBank = 01 Pointer = 0010 0000 Length = 0000 0011 Mask = <u>000</u> Truncate = 1 Query Command = 1000 DR = 0 M = 00 TRext = 0 Sel = 11 Session = 00 Target = 2 Q = 0000 Verify that the tag replies with a non- truncate EPC. Tag test conditions: Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: 860 & 960 MHz Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: $\leq 0.33$ Tari Tari: 25 µs RTcal: 75 µs TRcal: 100 µs DR: 8 M: 1 TREXE: 0
317	6.3.2.12.1. 1	and <u>Target</u> <>100 <sub>2</sub> or MemBank<>01 <sub>2</sub> the Tag shall ignore the <i>Select</i> .	М	Tag	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	мо	Applies To	How Verified
318	6.3.2.12.1. 1	If a Tag receives a <i>Select</i> in which <u>Truncate=1, MemBank</u> =01 <sub>2</sub> , but <u>Mask</u> ends outside the EPC specified by the L bits in the StoredPC then the Tag shall be not matching.	М	Тад	By design
319	6.3.2.12.1. 1	A Tag shall preface its truncated reply with five leading zeros (00000 <sub>2</sub> ) inserted between the preamble and the truncated reply.	М	Тад	By design
320	6.3.2.12.1. 1	A Tag shall power-up with Truncate=0.	М	Tag	By design
321	6.3.2.12.1. 1	Mask may end at the last bit of the EPC, in which case a truncating Tag shall backscatter 00000 <sub>2</sub> followed by a PacketCRC.	М	Tag	By design
322	6.3.2.12.1. 1	An Interrogator shall prepend a <i>Select</i> command with a frame-sync (see 6.3.1.2.8).	М	Interro- gator	By design
323	6.3.2.12.1. 1	A Tag shall not reply to a Select.	М	Тад	<b>By design</b> Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.6, Figure 6.21
324	6.3.2.12.1. 2	Interrogators and Tags may implement the <i>Challenge</i> command; if they do then they shall implement it as shown in Table 6.31.	0	Tag and Interrogat or	By design
325	6.3.2.12.1. 2	Upon receiving a <i>Challenge</i> a Tag that supports the command shall return to the <b>ready</b> state and deassert its <b>C</b> flag.	М	Тад	By design
326	6.3.2.12.1. 2	If the Tag supports the <u>CSI</u> and can execute <u>message</u> then it shall perform the requested action(s); otherwise the Tag shall not execute <u>message</u> .	М	Tag	By design
327	6.3.2.12.1. 2	A Tag shall not reply to a Challenge.	М	Tag	By design
328	6.3.2.12.1. 2	A Challenge contains 2 RFU bits. An Interrogator shall set these bits to 002.	М	Interrogat or	By design
329	6.3.2.12.1. 2	If a Tag receives a <i>Challenge</i> containing nonzero RFU bits then it shall return to the <b>ready</b> state and deassert its <b>C</b> flag but not execute message.	М	Tag	By design
330	6.3.2.12.1. 2	An Interrogator shall prepend a <i>Challenge</i> command with a frame-sync (see 6.3.1.2.8).	М	Interrogat or	By design
331	6.3.2.12.1. 2	If a Tag supports the <i>Challenge</i> command then it shall implement the security ( <b>S</b> ) indicator (see 6.3.2.1.3).	М	Тад	By design
332	6.3.2.12.1. 2	After executing a <i>Challenge</i> a Tag shall store its <u>response</u> ( <u>result</u> or error code) in its ResponseBuffer.	М	Тад	By design
333	6.3.2.12.1. 2	After executing and storing a <u>response</u> a Tag shall assert its <b>C</b> flag.	М	Tag	By design
334	6.3.2.12.1. 2	A Tag shall not assert its <b>C</b> flag until after it has computed and stored the entire <u>response</u> .	М	Тад	By design
335	6.3.2.12.1. 2	A Tag shall deassert its <b>C</b> flag upon (a) receiving a subsequent <i>Challenge</i> , or (b) exceeding the <b>C</b> flag persistence time in Table 6.20.	М	Тад	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	мо	Applies To	How Verified
336	6.3.2.12.1. 2	If the most recent <i>Challenge</i> received and executable by a Tag asserts <u>immed</u> , and if the Tag's <b>C</b> flag is asserted when it receives a subsequent <i>ACK</i> , then when replying to the <i>ACK</i> the Tag shall concatenate its ResponseBuffer contents to its EPC and backscatter the concatenated reply. See Table 6.17. See also Figure 6.23.	М	Tag	By design
337	6.3.2.12.1. 2	If a Tag observes a properly formatted <i>Challenge</i> but there is a cryptographic error, and the cryptographic suite specifies that the error requires a security timeout, then the Tag shall return to <b>ready</b> and enforce a security timeout as specified in 6.3.2.5.	М	Tag	By design
338	6.3.2.12.1. 2	If a Tag that supports security timeouts for a <i>Challenge</i> receives a <i>Challenge</i> during a timeout then it shall return to <b>ready</b> but not act on or otherwise execute any portion of the <i>Challenge</i> .	М	Tag	By design
339	6.3.2.12.2. 1	Interrogators and Tags shall implement the <i>Query</i> command shown in Table 6.32.	М	Tag and Interro- gator	<b>By design</b> Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.6, Figure 6.21
340	6.3.2.12.2. 1	An Interrogator shall prepend a <i>Query</i> with a preamble (see 6.3.1.2.8).	М	Interro- gator	<b>By design</b> Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.6, Figure 6.21
341	6.3.2.12.2. 1	An Interrogator shall not encapsulate a <i>Query</i> in a <i>SecureComm</i> or <i>AuthComm</i> (see Table 6.28).	М	Interrogat or	By design
342	6.3.2.12.2. 1	If a Tag receives a <i>Query</i> with a CRC-5 error then it shall treat the command as invalid (see Table C.30).	М	Tag	By design
343	6.3.2.12.2. 1	Upon receiving a <i>Query</i> , Tags with matching <u>Sel</u> and <u>Target</u> shall pick a random value in the range $(0, 2^{o}-1)$ , inclusive, and shall load this value into their slot counter.	М	Tag	By design
344	6.3.2.12.2. 1	If a Tag, in response to the <i>Query</i> , loads its slot counter with zero, then its reply to a <i>Query</i> shall be as shown in Table 6.33 using the <i>immediate</i> reply type specified in 6.3.1.6.1; otherwise the Tag shall remain silent.	М	Tag	By design
345	6.3.2.12.2. 1	If a Tag in the <b>acknowledged</b> , <b>open</b> , or <b>secured</b> states receives a <i>Query</i> whose <u>session</u> parameter matches the prior session it shall invert its <b>inventoried</b> flag (i.e. $A \rightarrow B$ or $B \rightarrow A$ ) for the session before it evaluates whether to transition to <b>ready</b> , <b>arbitrate</b> , or <b>reply</b> .	М	Tag	By design
346	6.3.2.12.2. 1	If a Tag in the <b>acknowledged</b> , <b>open</b> , or <b>secured</b> states receives a <i>Query</i> whose <u>session</u> parameter does not match the prior session it shall leave its <b>inventoried</b> flag for the prior session unchanged when beginning the new round.	М	Tag	By design
347	6.3.2.12.2. 1	Tags shall support all DR and M values specified in Table 6.9 and Table 6.10, respectively.	М	Tag	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	МО	Applies To	How Verified
348	6.3.2.12.2. 1	A Tag in any state other than <b>killed</b> shall execute a <i>Query</i> command, starting a new round in the specified session and transitioning to <b>ready</b> , <b>arbitrate</b> , or <b>reply</b> , as appropriate (see Figure 6.21).	Μ	Tag	By design
349	6.3.2.12.2. 1	A Tag in the <b>killed</b> state shall ignore a <i>Query</i> .	М	Tag	By design
350	6.3.2.12.2. 2	Interrogators and Tags shall implement the <i>QueryAdjust</i> command shown in Table 6.34.	М	Tag and Interro- gator	<b>By design</b> Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.6, Figure 6.21
351	6.3.2.12.2. 2	If a Tag receives a <i>QueryAdjust</i> whose session number is different from the session number in the <i>Query</i> that initiated the round it shall ignore the command.	М	Tag	By design
352	6.3.2.12.2. 2	If a Tag receives a <i>QueryAdjust</i> with an <u>UpDn</u> value different from those specified above it shall treat the command as invalid (see Table C.30).	М	Tag	By design
353	6.3.2.12.2. 2	If a Tag whose $Q$ value is 15 receives a <i>QueryAdjust</i> with UpDn = 110 then it shall change UpDn to 000 prior to executing the command; likewise, if a Tag whose $Q$ value is 0 receives a <i>QueryAdjust</i> with UpDn = 011 then it shall change UpDn to 000 prior to executing the command.	Μ	Tag	By design
354	6.3.2.12.2. 2	A Tag shall maintain a running count of the current <i>Q</i> value.	М	Tag	By design
355	6.3.2.12.2. 2	An Interrogator shall prepend a <i>QueryAdjust</i> with a frame-sync (see 6.3.1.2.8).	Μ	Interro- gator	By design
356	6.3.2.12.2. 2	An Interrogator shall not encapsulate a <i>QueryAdjust</i> in a <i>SecureComm</i> or <i>AuthComm</i> (see Table 6.28).	М	Interrogat or	
357	6.3.2.12.2. 2	If a Tag, in response to the <i>QueryAdjust</i> , loads its slot counter with zero, then its reply to a <i>QueryAdjust</i> shall be shown in Table 6.35 using the <i>immediate</i> reply type specified in 6.3.1.6.1; otherwise, the Tag shall remain silent.	Μ	Тад	By design
358	6.3.2.12.2. 2	Tags shall respond to a <i>QueryAdjust</i> only if they received a prior <i>Query</i> .	М	Тад	By design
359	6.3.2.12.2. 2	A Tag in any state except <b>ready</b> or <b>killed</b> shall execute a <i>QueryAdjust</i> command if, and only if, (i) the <u>session</u> parameter in the command matches the <u>session</u> parameter in the <i>Query</i> that started the round, and (ii) the Tag is not in the middle of a <i>Kill</i> or <i>Access</i> command sequence (see 6.3.2.12.3.4 or 6.3.2.12.3.6, respectively).	Μ	Tag	By design
360	6.3.2.12.2. 2	A Tag in the <b>acknowledged</b> , <b>open</b> , or <b>secured</b> states that receive a <i>QueryAdjust</i> whose <u>session</u> parameter matches the <u>session</u> parameter in the prior <i>Query</i> , and that is not in the middle of a <i>Kill</i> or <i>Access</i> command sequence (see $6.3.2.12.3.4$ or 6.3.2.12.3.6, respectively), shall invert its <b>inventoried</b> flag (i.e. $A \rightarrow B$ or $B \rightarrow A$ , as appropriate) for the current session and transition to <b>ready</b> .	М	Tag	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	мо	Applies To	How Verified
361	6.3.2.12.2. 3	Interrogators and Tags shall implement the <i>QueryRep</i> command shown in Table 6.36.	М	Tag and Interro- gator	<b>By design</b> Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.6, Figure 6.21
362	6.3.2.12.2. 3	If a Tag receives a <i>QueryRep</i> whose session number is different from the session number in the <i>Query</i> that initiated the round it shall ignore the command.	М	Tag	By design
363	6.3.2.12.2. 3	An Interrogator shall prepend a <i>QueryRep</i> with a frame-sync (see 6.3.1.2.8).	М	Interro- gator	By design
364	6.3.2.12.2. 3	An Interrogator shall not encapsulate a <i>QueryRep</i> in a <i>SecureComm</i> or <i>AuthComm</i> (see Table 6.28).	М	Interro- gator	
365	6.3.2.12.2. 3	If a Tag, in response to the <i>QueryRep</i> , decrements its slot counter and the decremented slot value is zero, then its reply to a <i>QueryRep</i> shall be as shown in Table 6.37 using the <i>immediate</i> reply type specified in 6.3.1.6.1; otherwise the Tag shall remain silent.	Σ	Tag	By design
366	6.3.2.12.2. 3	A Tag shall respond to a <i>QueryRep</i> only if they received a prior <i>Query</i> .	М	Tag	By design
367	6.3.2.12.2. 3	A Tag in any state except <b>ready</b> or <b>killed</b> shall execute a <i>QueryRep</i> command if, and only if, (i) the <u>session</u> parameter in the command matches the <u>session</u> parameter in the <i>Query</i> that started the round, and (ii) the Tag is not in the middle of a <i>Kill</i> or <i>Access</i> command sequence (see 6.3.2.12.3.4 or 6.3.2.12.3.6, respectively).	М	Tag	By design
368	6.3.2.12.2. 3	A Tag in the <b>acknowledged</b> , <b>open</b> , or <b>secured</b> state that receive a <i>QueryRep</i> whose <u>session</u> parameter matches the <u>session</u> parameter in the prior <i>Query</i> , and that is not in the middle of a <i>Kill</i> or <i>Access</i> command sequence (see 6.3.2.12.3.4 or 6.3.2.12.3.6, respectively), shall invert its <b>inventoried</b> flag (i.e. $A \rightarrow B$ or $B \rightarrow A$ , as appropriate) for the current session and transition to <b>ready</b> .	Μ	Tag	By design
369	6.3.2.12.2. 4	Interrogators and Tags shall implement the <i>ACK</i> command shown in Table 6.38.	М	Tag and Interro- gator	<b>By design</b> Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.6, Figure 6.21
370	6.3.2.12.2. 4	If an Interrogator issues an <i>ACK</i> to a Tag in the <b>reply</b> or <b>acknowledged</b> state, then the echoed RN16 shall be the RN16 that the Tag previously backscattered as it transitioned from the <b>arbitrate</b> state to the <b>reply</b> state.	Μ	Tag	By design
371	6.3.2.12.2. 4	If an Interrogator issues an <i>ACK</i> to a Tag in the <b>open</b> or <b>secured</b> state, then the echoed RN16 shall be the Tag's <u>handle</u> (see 6.3.2.12.3.1).	М	Tag	By design
372	6.3.2.12.2. 4	An Interrogator shall prepend an <i>ACK</i> with a frame-sync (see 6.3.1.2.8).	М	Interro- gator	By design
373	6.3.2.12.2. 4	The Tag reply to a successful <i>ACK</i> shall be as shown in Table 6.39, using the <i>immediate</i> reply type specified in 6.3.1.6.1.	М	Тад	<b>By design</b> Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.6, Figure 6.21



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	МО	Applies To	How Verified
374	6.3.2.12.2. 4	A Tag that receives an <i>ACK</i> with an incorrect RN16 or an incorrect <u>handle</u> (as appropriate) shall return to <b>arbitrate</b> without responding, unless the Tag is in <b>ready</b> or <b>killed</b> , in which case it shall ignore the <i>ACK</i> and remain in its current state.	Μ	Tag	By design
375	6.3.2.12.2. 4	In either case a Tag's reply to an <i>ACK</i> shall not exceed 528 bits for the PC + EPC + PacketCRC, optionally followed by a response field and its associated CRC-16 (see Table 6.17).	М	Tag	By design
376	6.3.2.12.2. 5	Interrogators and Tags shall implement the <i>NAK</i> command shown in Table 6.40.	М	Tag and Interro- gator	<b>By design</b> Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.6, Figure 6.21
377	6.3.2.12.2. 5	A Tag that receives a <i>NAK</i> shall return to the <b>arbitrate</b> state without changing its <b>inventoried</b> flag, unless the Tag is in <b>ready</b> or <b>killed</b> , in which case it shall ignore the <i>NAK</i> and remain in its current state.	Μ	Tag	By design
378	12	An Interrogator shall prepend a <i>NAK</i> with a frame-sync (see 6.3.1.2.8).	М	Interro- gator	By design
379	6.3.2.12.2. 5	An Interrogator shall not encapsulate a <i>NAK</i> in a <i>SecureComm</i> or <i>AuthComm</i> (see Table 6.28).	М	Interrogat or	
380	6.3.2.12.2. 5	A Tag shall not reply to a NAK.	М	Tag	<b>By design</b> Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.6, Figure 6.21
381	6.3.2.12.3	A Tag in the <b>open</b> or <b>secured</b> state that receives an access command with an incorrect <u>handle</u> but a correct CRC-16 shall behave as specified in Table C.30.	М	Tag	<b>By design</b> Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.6, Figure 6.21
382	6.3.2.12.3. 1	Interrogators and Tags shall implement the <i>Req_RN</i> command shown in Table 6.41.	М	Tag and Interro- gator	<b>By design</b> Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.6, Figure 6.21
383	6.3.2.12.3. 1	When issuing a <i>Req_RN</i> to a Tag in the <b>acknowledged</b> state, an Interrogator shall include the Tag's last backscattered RN16 as a parameter in the <i>Req_RN</i> .	М	Interro- gator	By design
384	6.3.2.12.3. 1	If a Tag receives a <i>Req_RN</i> with a correct RN16 and a correct CRC-16 then it shall generate and store a new RN16 (denoted <u>handle</u> ), backscatter this <u>handle</u> , and transition to the <b>open</b> or <b>secured</b> state.	Μ	Tag	By design
385	6.3.2.12.3. 1	A Tag in the <b>acknowledged</b> state that receives the <i>Req_RN</i> with an incorrect RN16 but a correct CRC-16 shall ignore the <i>Req_RN</i> and remain in the <b>acknowledged</b> state.	Μ	Tag	By design
386	6.3.2.12.3. 1	When issuing a <i>Req_RN</i> to a Tag in the <b>open</b> or <b>secured</b> state, an Interrogator shall include the Tag's <u>handle</u> as a parameter in the <i>Req_RN</i> .	М	Interro- gator	By design
387	6.3.2.12.3. 1	If a Tag receives the <i>Req_RN</i> with a correct <u>handle</u> and a correct CRC-16 then it shall generate and backscatter a new RN16, remaining in its current state ( <b>open</b> or <b>secured</b> , as appropriate).	М	Tag	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	мо	Applies To	How Verified
388	6.3.2.12.3. 1	The Tag that receives an <i>ACK</i> with a correct <u>handle</u> replies as specified in Table 6.39, whereas those that receive it with an incorrect <u>handle</u> shall return to <b>arbitrate</b> (Note: If a Tag receives an <i>ACK</i> with an incorrect <u>handle</u> it returns to <b>arbitrate</b> , whereas if it receives an access command with incorrect <u>handle</u> it behaves as specified n Table C.30.	М	Tag	By design
389	6.3.2.12.3. 1	The first bit of the backscattered RN16 shall be denoted the MSB; the last bit shall be denoted the LSB.	М	Tag	By design
390	6.3.2.12.3. 1	An Interrogator shall prepend a <i>Req_RN</i> with a frame-sync (see 6.3.1.2.8).	М	Interro- gator	By design
391	6.3.2.12.3. 1	A Tag's reply to a <i>Req_RN</i> shall be as shown in Table 6.42 using the <i>immediate</i> reply type specified in 6.3.1.6.1.	М	Tag	<b>By design</b> Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.6, Figure 6.21
392	6.3.2.10.3. 2	Interrogators and Tags shall implement the <i>Read</i> command shown in Table 6.44.	М	Tag and Interro- gator	<b>By design</b> Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.6, Figure 6.21
393	6.3.2.10.3. 2	<i>Read</i> commands shall apply to a single memory bank.	М	Tag	By design
394	6.3.2.10.3. 2	<ul> <li>If <u>WordCount</u> = 00<sub>h</sub> then a Tag shall backscatter the contents of the chosen memory bank starting at <u>WordPtr</u> and ending at the end of the memory bank or file, however: <ul> <li>if <u>MemBank</u> = 01<sub>2</sub>, then a Tag shall backscatter the memory contents specified in Table 6.43.</li> <li>if MemBank=10<sub>2</sub>, and part of TID memory is untraceably hidden (see 6.3.2.12.3.16), and the Interrogator has a deasserted Untraceable privilege, and the memory address specified by WordPtr is in the traceable part of TID memory, then a Tag may either (i) backscatter the traceable part of TID memory starting at WordPtr, or (ii) treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30), depending on the Tag manufacturer's implementation.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	М	Tag	By design
395	6.3.2.10.3. 2	An Interrogator shall prepend a Read with a frame-sync (see 6.3.1.2.8).	М	Interro- gator	By design
396	6.3.2.10.3. 2	An unauthenticated Interrogator may, and an authenticated Interrogator shall, encapsulate a <i>Read</i> command in a <i>SecureComm</i> or <i>AuthComm</i> (see Table 6.28).	М	Interrogat or	By design
397	6.3.2.10.3. 2	A Tag shall reply to a <i>Read</i> using the <i>immediate</i> reply type specified in 6.3.1.6.1.	М	Тад	<b>By design</b> Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.6, Figure 6.21



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	МО	Applies To	How Verified
398	6.3.2.10.3. 2	If all of the memory words specified in a <i>Read</i> exist and none are read-locked, all are traceable or the Interrogator has an asserted Untraceable privilege, and for User memory the Interrogator has read privileges to the currently open file (see 6.3.2.11.3), then a Tag's reply to a <i>Read</i> shall be as shown in Table 6.45 comprising a header (a 0-bit), the requested memory words, and the Tag's handle.	Μ	Tag	By design
399	6.3.2.10.3. 2	Otherwise the Tag shall not execute the <i>Read</i> and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30).	М	Tag	By design
400	6.3.2.12.3. 3	Interrogators and Tags shall implement the <i>Write</i> command shown in Table 6.46.	М	Tag and Interro- gator	<b>By design</b> Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.6, Figure 6.21
401	6.3.2.12.3. 3	Write commands shall apply to a single memory bank.	М	Tag and Interrogat or	By design
402	6.3.2.12.3. 3	Before each and every <i>Write</i> the Interrogator shall first issue a <i>Req_RN</i> command; the Tag replies by backscattering a new RN16.	М	Interro- gator	By design
403	6.3.2.12.3. 3	The Interrogator shall cover code the <u>data</u> by EXORing it with this new RN16 prior to transmission.	М	Interro- gator	By design
404	6.3.2.12.3. 3	A Tag shall only execute a <i>Write</i> in the <b>open</b> or <b>secured</b> state.	М	Tag	By design
405	6.3.2.12.3. 3	If a Tag in the <b>open</b> or <b>secured</b> states receives a <i>Write</i> before which the immediately preceding command was not a <i>Req_RN</i> then it shall not execute the <i>Write</i> and instead treat the command as invalid (see Table C.30).	М	Tag	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	МО	Applies To	How Verified
406	6.3.2.12.3. 3	If an Interrogator attempts to write to the kill or access password, EPC or TID memory banks, or File_0 and these memory locations are permalocked; or to the kill or access password, EPC or TID memory banks, or File_0 and these memory locations are locked unwriteable and the Tag is in the <b>open</b> state; or to a permalocked block in File_N, N>0 of User memory; or to memory that is untraceably hidden and the Interrogator has a deasserted Untraceable privilege; or to a file for which the Interrogator does not have sufficient privileges; then the Tag shall not execute the <i>Write</i> and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30).	М	Tag	<ul> <li>By demonstration – see state machine <ol> <li>Attempt to write the PC value in open state, while the EPC memory is locked. Verify that the Tag replies with an error message and that the memory has not been written.</li> <li>Attempt to write a permalocked memory location from secured state. Verify that the Tag replies with an error message and that the memory has not been written.</li> <li>Attempt to write a permalocked block in File_N, N&gt;0 of USER memory. Verify that the Tag replies with an error message and that the memory has not been written.</li> <li>Attempt to write a permalocked block in File_N, N&gt;0 of USER memory. Verify that the Tag replies with an error message and that the memory has not been written.</li> <li>Attempt to write to a memory location that is untraceably hidden with a deasserted Untracable privilege. Verify that the Tag replies with an error message and that the memory has not been written.</li> </ol></li></ul> <li>Test conditions: <ul> <li>Terq: 860, 910, &amp; 960 MHz</li> <li>Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna</li> <li>Modulation: DSB-ASK</li> <li>Tari: 12.5 µs</li> <li>RTcal: 31.25 µs</li> <li>PW: 0.5 Tari</li> <li>Modulation depth: 90%</li> <li>Rise/fall time: &lt; 0.33 Tari</li> <li>TRcal: 66,7 µs</li> <li>DR: 64/3</li> <li>M: 3</li> <li>TRext: 1</li> </ul></li>
407	6.3.2.12.3. 3	An Interrogator shall prepend a <i>Write</i> with a frame-sync (see 6.3.1.2.8).	Μ	Interro- gator	By design
408	6.3.2.12.3. 3	An Interrogator shall not encapsulate a <i>Write</i> in a <i>SecureComm</i> or <i>AuthComm</i> (see Table 6.28).	М	Interrogat or	By design
409	6.3.2.12.3. 3	Upon receiving a valid <i>Write</i> command a Tag shall write the commanded <u>Data</u> into memory.	М	Tag	By design
410	6.3.2.12.3. 3	A Tag shall reply to a <i>Write</i> using the <i>delayed</i> reply specified in 6.3.1.6.2.	М	Tag	<b>By design</b> Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.6, Figure 6.21
411	6.3.2.12.3. 4	Interrogators and Tags shall implement the <i>Kill</i> command shown in Table 6.47.	М	Tag and Interro- gator	<b>By design</b> Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.6, Figure 6.21
412	6.3.2.12.3. 4	To kill a Tag, an Interrogator shall follow the kill procedure shown in Figure 6.24.	М	Interro- gator	By design
413	6.3.2.12.3. 4	A Tag shall implement the password-based kill sequence shown in the left-side branch of the kill procedure in Figure 6.24.	М	Tag	By design
414	6.3.2.12.3. 4	An Interrogator shall set these bits to 0002.	М	Interro- gator	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	мо	Applies To	How Verified
415	6.3.2.12.3. 4	A Tag shall ignore these bits.	М	Тад	By design
416	6.3.2.12.3. 4	An Interrogator shall prepend an unencapsulated <i>Kill</i> command with a frame-sync (see 6.3.1.2.8).	М	Interro- gator	By design
417	6.3.2.12.3. 4	Each EXOR operation shall be performed MSB first (i.e. the MSB of each half-password shall be EXORed with the MSB of its respective RN16).	М	Interro- gator	By design
418	6.3.2.12.3. 4	A Tag shall be capable of successively accepting two 16-bit subportions of the 32-bit kill password.	М	Tag	By design
419	6.3.2.12.3. 4	An Interrogator shall not intersperse commands other than <i>Req_RN</i> between the two successive <i>Kill</i> commands.	М	Interro- gator	By design
420	6.3.2.12.3. 4	If a Tag, after receiving a first <i>Kill</i> , receives any valid command other than <i>Req_RN</i> before the second <i>Kill</i> then it shall not execute the command and instead treat is as improper (see Table C.30), unless the intervening command is a <i>Query</i> , in which case the Tag shall execute the <i>Query</i> and invert its <b>inventoried</b> flag if the session parameter in the <i>Query</i> matches that in the prior session.	Μ	Tag	By design
421	6.3.2.12.3. 4	A Tag with a zero-valued kill password shall disallow itself from being killed by a password-based kill operation.	М	Тад	<b>By demonstration</b> Tested in compliance with Item 139.
422	6.3.2.12.3. 4	A Tag with a zero-valued kill password shall respond to a password-based kill by not executing the kill operation and backscattering an error code, remaining in its current state. See Figure 6.24.	Μ	Tag	<b>By demonstration</b> Tested in compliance with Item 139.
423	6.3.2.12.3. 4	A Tag shall reply to a first <i>Kill</i> using the <i>immediate</i> reply specified in 6.3.1.6.1.	М	Tag	<b>By design</b> Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.6, Figure 6.21
424	6.3.2.12.3. 4	The Tag`s first reply shall be as shown in Table 6.48.	М	Тад	By design
425	6.3.2.12.3. 4	The reply shall use the TRext value specified in the <i>Query</i> command that initiated the round.	М	Тад	By design
426	6.3.2.12.3. 4	A Tag shall reply to the second <i>Kill</i> using the <i>delayed</i> reply specified in 6.3.1.6.2.	М	Tag	<b>By design</b> Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.6, Figure 6.21
427	6.3.2.12.3. 4	If the kill succeeds then the Tag, after sending the final reply shown in Table 6.13, shall render itself silent and shall not respond to an Interrogator thereafter.	М	Tag	By design
428	6.3.2.12.3. 4	If a Tag observes a properly formatted password-based <i>Kill</i> -command sequence but the kill fails (as will happen if the Interrogator sends an incorrect kill password) then the Tag shall return to <b>arbitrate</b> and may enforce a security timeout as specified in 6.3.2.5.	М, О	Тад	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	мо	Applies To	How Verified
429	6.3.2.12.3. 4	If a Tag that supports security timeouts for a password-based <i>Kill</i> -command sequence receives such a sequence during a timeout then it shall behave as though it is not killable, backscatter an error code (see Annex I), and remain in its current state.	0	Tag	By design
430	6.3.2.12.3. 4	A Tag shall authenticate an Interrogator via na Interrogator or mutual authentication prior to executing an authenticated kill.	0	Tag	By design
431	6.3.2.12.3. 4	The Interrogator may use any 16-bit value in the password field of the <i>Kill</i> command because a Tag shall ignore the kill password for an authenticated kill.	0	Tag	By design
432	6.3.2.12.3. 4	A Tag shall only execute an authenticated kill if the Interrogator possesses an asserted AuthKill privilege (see Table 6.23) and the Tag is in the <b>secured</b> state.	0	Tag	By design
433	6.3.2.12.3. 4	A Tag shall reply to an authenticated kill using an in-process reply (as required by a <i>SecureComm</i> or <i>AuthComm</i> ), but with SenRep=1 regardless of the SenRep value actually specified in the <i>SecureComm</i> or <i>AuthComm</i> .	0	Tag	By design
434	6.3.2.12.3. 4	If the kill succeeds then the Tag, after sending the final reply shown in Table 6.13, shall transition to the <b>killed</b> state and not respond to an Interrogator thereafter.	0	Tag	By design
435	6.3.2.12.3. 4	If the kill fails then the Tag shall remain in its current state and backscatter an error code (see Annex I), unless the Tag is in the <b>open</b> state, the Interrogator is not authenticated, or the Interrogator does not have an asserted AuthKill privilege (see Table 6.23), in which case the Tag shall return to <b>arbitrate</b> and may enforce a security timeout as specified in 6.3.2.5.	0	Tag	By design
436	6.3.2.12.3. 4	If a Tag that supports security timeouts for an authenticated <i>Kill</i> command receives an authenticated <i>Kill</i> command during a timeout then it shall behave as though it is not killable, backscatter an error code (see Annex I), and remain in its current state.	0	Tag	By design
437	6.3.2.12.3. 5	Interrogators and Tags shall implement the <i>Lock</i> command shown in Table 6.49 and Figure 6.25.	Μ	Tag and Interro- gator	<b>By design</b> Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.6, Figure 6.21
438	6.3.2.12.3. 5	A Tag shall interpret these bit values as follows: <u>Mask</u> = 0: Ignore the associated <u>Action</u> field and retain the current lock setting; <u>Mask</u> = 1: Implement the associated <u>Action</u> field and overwrite the current lock setting.	Μ	Tag	By design
439	6.3.2.12.3. 5	A Tag shall interpret these bit values as follows: <u>Action</u> = 0: Deassert lock for the associated memory location; Action = 1: Assert lock or permalock for the associated memory location.	Μ	Tag	By design
440	6.3.2.12.3. 5	The payload of a <i>Lock</i> command shall always be 20 bits in length.	М	Тад	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	мо	Applies To	How Verified
441	6.3.2.12.3. 5	If an Interrogator issues a <i>Lock</i> command whose <u>Mask</u> and <u>Action</u> fields attempt to change the lock status of a nonexistent memory bank, nonexistent File_0, or nonexistent password, then the Tag shall shall not execute the <i>Lock</i> command and instead treat the command`s parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30).	М	Tag	By design
442	6.3.2.12.3. 5	If a Tag receives a <i>Lock</i> whose payload attempts to deassert a previously asserted permalock bit, then the Tag shall shall not execute the <i>Lock</i> command and instead treat the command`s parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30).	М	Tag	By design
443	6.3.2.12.3. 5	If a Tag receives a <i>Lock</i> whose payload attempts to reassert a previously asserted permalock bit, then the Tag shall simply ignore this particular <u>Action</u> field and implement the remainder of the <i>Lock</i> payload.	М	Tag	By design
444	6.3.2.12.3. 5	Regardless of the location, a field-deployed Tag shall not permit an Interrogator to change its lock bits except by means of a <i>Lock</i> command	М	Tag	By design
445	6.3.2.12.3. 5	A Tag shall implement memory locking and the <i>Lock</i> command.	М	Tag	<b>By design</b> Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.6, Figure 6.21
446	6.3.2.10.3. 5	If a Tag receives a <i>Lock</i> it cannot execute because one or more memory locations do not exist, or one or more of the <u>Action</u> fields attempt to change a previously permalocked value, or one or more of the memory locations are either not lockable or not unlockable, the Tag shall not execute the <i>Lock</i> and instead instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30).	М	Tag	By design
447	6.3.2.10.3. 5	The only exception to this general rule is for a Tag that (a) does not support File_N, N>O and (b) whose only lock functionality is to permanently lock <b>all</b> memory (i.e. all memory banks and all passwords) at once; such a Tag shall execute a <i>Lock</i> whose payload is FFFFFh, and shall backscatter an error code for any payload other than FFFFFh.	М	Tag	By design
448	6.3.2.12.3. 5	A Tag in the <b>secured</b> state shall permit an Interrogator to write or erase memory locations with (pwd-write=1 AND permalock=0) or (pwd-read/write=1 AND permalock=0) without first issuing a <i>Lock</i> to change these fields.	М	Tag	By design
449	6.3.2.12.3. 5	An Interrogator shall prepend a <i>Lock</i> with a frame-sync (see 6.3.1.2.8).	М	Interro- gator	By design
450	6.3.2.12.3. 5	An unauthenticated Interrogator may, and an authenticated Interrogator shall, encapsulate a <i>Lock</i> command in a <i>SecureComm</i> or <i>AuthComm</i> (see Table 6.28).	М	Interrogat or	By design
451	6.3.2.12.3. 5	Upon receiving a valid <i>Lock</i> command a Tag shall perform the commanded lock operation.	М	Тад	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	МО	Applies To	How Verified
452	6.3.2.12.3. 5	A Tag shall reply to a <i>Lock</i> using the <i>delayed</i> reply specified in 6.3.1.6.2.	М	Tag	<b>By design</b> Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.6, Figure 6.21
453	6.3.2.12.3. 6	Interrogators and Tags may implement an <i>Access</i> command; if they do, they shall implement it as shown in Table 6.51.	О	Tag and Interro- gator	<b>By design</b> Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19
454	6.3.2.12.3. 6	To access a Tag, an Interrogator shall follow the multi-step procedure outlined in Figure 6.25.	О	Interro- gator	By design
455	6.3.2.12.3. 6	Each EXOR operation shall be performed MSB first (i.e. the MSB of each half-password shall be EXORed with the MSB of its respective RN16).	0	Interro- gator	By design
456	6.3.2.12.3. 6	A Tag shall be capable of successively accepting two 16-bit subportions of the 32-bit access password.	О	Tag	By design
457	6.3.2.12.3. 6	An Interrogator shall not intersperse commands other than a <i>Req_RN</i> between the two successive <i>Access</i> commands.	0	Interro- gator	By design
458	6.3.2.12.3. 6	If a Tag, after receiving a first <i>Access</i> , receives any valid command other than <i>Req_RN</i> before the second <i>Access</i> then it shall not execute the command and instead treat it as improper (see Table C.30), unless the intervening command is a <i>Query</i> , in which case the Tag shall execute the <i>Query</i> and invert its <b>inventoried</b> flag if the <u>session</u> parameter in the <i>Query</i> matches that in the prior session.	0	Tag	By design
459	6.3.2.12.3. 6	An Interrogator shall prepend an <i>Access</i> with a frame-sync (see 6.3.1.2.8).	0	Interro- gator	By design
460	6.3.2.12.3. 6	An Interrogator shall not encapsulate an <i>Access</i> in a <i>SecureComm</i> or <i>AuthComm</i> (see Table 6.28).	0	Interrogat or	By design
461	6.3.2.12.3. 6	A Tag shall reply to an <i>Access</i> using the <i>immediate</i> reply specified in 6.3.1.6.1.	0	Tag	<b>By design</b> Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19
462	6.3.2.10.3. 7	Interrogators and Tags may implement a <i>BlockWrite</i> command; if they do, they shall implement it as shown in Table 6.42.	O, TACF	Tag and Interro- gator	<b>By design</b> Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19
463	6.3.2.10.3. 7	<i>BlockWrite</i> commands shall apply to a single memory bank.	O, TACF	Тад	By design
464	6.3.2.10.3. 7	If $\underline{WordCount} = 00_h$ then a Tag shall treat the BlockWrite as invalid.	O, TACF	Тад	By design
465	6.3.2.10.3. 7	If $\underline{WordCount} = 01_h$ then a Tag shall write a single data word.	O, TACF	Тад	By design
466	6.3.2.10.3. 7	<u>Data</u> contains the 16-bit words to be written, and shall be $16 \times \frac{WordCount}{E}$ bits in length.	O, TACF	Interro- gator	By design
467	6.3.2.10.3. 7	A Tag shall only execute a <i>BlockWrite</i> in the <b>open</b> or <b>secured</b> state.	O, TACF	Тад	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	мо	Applies To	How Verified
468	6.3.2.10.3. 7	If an Interrogator attempts to write to the kill or access password, EPC or TID memory banks, or File_0 and these memory locations are permalocked; or to the kill or access password, EPC or TID memory banks, or File_0 and these memory locations are locked unwriteable and the Tag is in the <b>open</b> state; or to memory that is untraceably hidden and the Interrogator has a deasserted <u>Untraceable</u> privilege; or to a file for which the Interrogator does not have sufficient privileges; or if <u>WordPtr</u> and <u>WordCount</u> include one or more permalocked blocks in File_N, N $\geq$ 0 of User memory; then the Tag shall not execute the <i>BlockWrite</i> and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported(see Table C.30).	O, TACF	Tag	By design
469	6.3.2.10.3. 7	An interrogator shall prepend a <i>BlockWrite</i> with a frame-sync (see 6.3.1.2.8).	O, TACF	Interro- gator	By design
470		An unauthenticated Interrogator may, and an authenticated Interrogator shall, encapsulate a <i>BlockWrite</i> in a <i>SecureComm</i> or <i>AuthComm</i> (see Table 6.28).	O, TACF	Interrogat or	
471	6.3.2.10.3. 7	Upon receiving a valid <i>BlockWrite</i> command a Tag shall write the commanded <u>data</u> into memory.	O, TACF	Tag	By design
472	6.3.2.10.3. 7	A Tag reply to a <i>BlockWrite</i> shall using the delayed reply specified in 6.3.1.6.2.	O, TACF	Тад	<b>By design</b> Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19
473	6.3.2.10.3. 8	Interrogators and Tags may implement a <i>BlockErase</i> command; if they do, they shall implement it as shown in Table 6.44.	0	Tag and Interro- gator	<b>By design</b> Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19
474	6.3.2.10.3. 8	<i>BlockErase</i> commands shall apply to a single memory bank.	0	Тад	By design
475	6.3.2.10.3. 8	If <u>WordCount</u> = 00 <sub>h</sub> the Tag shall treat the <i>BlockErase as invalid.</i>	0	Тад	By design
476	6.3.2.10.3. 8	If $\underline{WordCount} = 01_h$ then a Tag shall erase a single data word.	0	Тад	By design
477	6.3.2.10.3. 8	A Tag shall only execute a <i>BlockErase</i> in the <b>open</b> or <b>secured</b> state.	0	Тад	By design
478		If an Interrogator attempts to erase the kill or access password, EPC or TID memory banks, or File_0 and these memory locations are permalocked; or the kill or access password, EPC or TID memory banks, or File_0 and these memory locations are locked unwriteable and the Tag is in the <b>open</b> state; or to memory that is untraceably hidden and the Interrogator has a deasserted <u>Untraceable</u> privilege; or a file for which the Interrogator does not have sufficient privileges; or if <u>WordPtr</u> and <u>WordCount</u> include one or more permalocked blocks in File_N, N $\geq$ 0 of User memory; then the Tag shall not execute the <i>BlockErase</i> and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30).	Ο	Tag	By design
479	6.3.2.10.3. 8	An Interrogator shall prepend a <i>BlockErase</i> with a frame-sync (see 6.3.1.2.8).	0	Interro- gator	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	мо	Applies To	How Verified
480	6.3.2.10.3. 8	Upon receiving an executable <i>BlockErase</i> command a Tag shall erase the commanded memory words.	0	Tag	By design
481	6.3.2.10.3. 8	A Tag shall reply to a <i>BlockErase</i> using the <i>delayed reply</i> specified in 6.3.1.6.2.	0	Tag	<b>By design</b> Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19
482		An unauthenticated Interrogator may, and an authenticated Interrogator shall, encapsulate a <i>BlockErase</i> in a <i>SecureComm</i> or <i>AuthComm</i> (see Table 6.28).	Ο	Interrogat or	By design
483	6.3.2.10.3. 9	Interrogators and Tags may implement a <i>BlockPermalock</i> command; if they do, they shall implement it as shown in Table 6.56. <i>BlockPermalock</i> allows an Interrogator to:	O, CE	Tag and Interro- gator	By demonstration Issue a <i>BlockPermalock</i> command to permalock a single block of any File_N, N>0. After successful tag response, attempt to write the selected block to verify that it has been locked. The Tag shall not execute the <i>Write</i> and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported Test conditions: Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: 860, 910, & 960 MHz Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK Tari: 12.5 $\mu$ s RTcal: 31.25 $\mu$ s PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: < 0.33 Tari TRcal: 66,7 $\mu$ s DR: 64/3 M: 3 TRext: 1
484	6.3.2.10.3. 9	A Tag shall only execute a <i>BlockPermalock</i> in the <b>secured</b> state.	O, CE	Тад	By design
485	6.3.2.10.3. 9	Table 6.55 specifies how a Tag shall behave upon receiving a <i>BlockPermalock</i> targeting File_0 that follows a prior <i>Lock</i> , or vice versa (assuming <u>Read/Lock</u> =1).	O, CE	Tag	By design
486	6.3.2.10.3. 9	<u>MemBank</u> specifies whether the <i>BlockPermalock</i> applies to EPC, TID, or User memory. <i>BlockPermalock</i> commands shall apply to a single memory bank. Successive <i>BlockPermalocks</i> may apply to different memory banks.	O, CE	Tag and Interrogat or	By design
487	6.3.2.10.3. 9 6.3.2.10.3.	A Tag shall only execute a <i>BlockPermalock</i> command if <u>MemBank</u> =11 (User memory); if a Tag receives a <i>BlockPermalock</i> with <u>MemBank</u> <>11 then it shall not execute the <i>BlockPermalock</i> and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30). Future protocols may use these other <u>MemBank</u> values to expand the functionality of the <i>BlockPermalock</i> command. A Tag shall interpret the <u>Rea</u> d/Lock bit as	0, CE	Tag	By design
	9	follows:	O, CE	Tag	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	МО	Applies To	How Verified
489	6.3.2.10.3. 9	<u>Read/Lock</u> =0: A Tag shall backscatter the permalock status of blocks in the specified memory bank, starting from the memory block located at <u>BlockPtr</u> and ending at the memory block located at <u>BlockPtr</u> +(16× <u>BlockRange</u> )–1.	O, CE	Tag	By design
490	6.3.2.10.3. 9	A Tag shall backscatter a "0" if the memory block corresponding to that bit is not permalocked and a "1" if the block is permalocked. An Interrogator omits <u>Mask</u> from the <i>BlockPermalock</i> when <u>Read/Lock</u> =0.	O, CE	Tag	By design
491	6.3.2.10.3. 9	<u>Read/Lock</u> =1: A Tag shall permalock those blocks in the specified memory bank that are specified by <u>Mask</u> , starting at <u>BlockPtr</u> and ending at <u>BlockPtr</u> +(16× <u>BlockRange</u> )–1.	O, CE	Tag	By design
492	6.3.2.10.3. 9	If $\underline{BlockRange=}00_h$ then a Tag shall not execute the $\underline{BlockPermalock}$ and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30).	O, CE	Tag	By design
493	6.3.2.10.3. 9	Read/Lock=0: The Interrogator shall omit Mask from the BlockPermalock.	O, CE	Interrogat or	By design
494	6.3.2.10.3. 9	<u>Read/Lock</u> =1: The Interrogator shall include a <u>Mask</u> of length 16× <u>BlockRange</u> bits in the <i>BlockPermalock</i> .	O, CE	Interrogat or	By design
495	6.3.2.10.3. 9	The <u>Mask</u> bits shall be ordered from lower- order block to higher (i.e. if <u>BlockPtr</u> =00 <sub>h</sub> then the leading <u>Mask</u> bit refers to block 0).	O, CE	Tag and Interrogat or	By design
496	6.3.2.10.3. 9	The Tag shall interpret each bit of <u>Mask</u> as follows:	O, CE	Тад	By demonstration Tested in compliance with Item 484.
497	6.3.2.10.3. 9	Mask bit=1: Permalock the corresponding memory block. If a block is already permalocked then a Tag shall retain the current permalock setting. A memory block, once permalocked, cannot be un- permalocked.	O, CE	Tag and Interrogat or	<b>By demonstration</b> Tested in compliance with Item 484.
498	6.3.2.10.3. 9	A <i>BlockPermalock</i> contains 8 RFU bits. An Interrogator shall set these bits to 00 <sub>h</sub> .	O, CE	Interrogat or	<b>By demonstration</b> Tested in compliance with Item 484.
499	6.3.2.10.3. 9	A Tag in the <b>secured</b> state that receives a <i>BlockPermalock</i> with nonzero RFU bits shall not execute the <i>BlockPermalock</i> and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30). Future protocols may use these RFU bits to expand the <i>BlockPermalock</i> command's functionality.	O, CE	Tag	By design
500	6.3.2.10.3. 9	If a Tag receives a <i>BlockPermalock</i> that it cannot execute because User memory does not exist, or User memory is untraceably hidden and the Interrogator has a deasserted <u>Untraceable</u> privilege, or in which one of the asserted <u>Mask</u> bits references a non-existent memory block, or because the Interrogator has insufficient file privileges (see 6.3.2.11.3) then the Tag shall not execute the <i>BlockPermalock</i> and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30).	O, CE	Tag	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	мо	Applies To	How Verified
501	6.3.2.10.3. 9	A Tag shall treat as invalid a <i>BlockPermalock</i> in which <u>Read/Lock</u> =0 but <u>Mask</u> is not omitted, or a <i>BlockPermalock</i> in which <u>Read/Lock</u> =1 but <u>Mask</u> has a length not equal to 16× <u>BlockRange</u> bits (see Table C.30).	O, CE	Tag	By design
502	6.3.2.10.3. 9	Certain Tags, depending on the Tag manufacturer's implementation, may be unable to execute a <i>BlockPermalock</i> with certain <u>BlockPtr</u> and <u>BlockRange</u> values, in which case the Tag shall not execute the <i>BlockPermalock</i> and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30).	O, CE	Tag	By design
503	6.3.2.10.3. 9	If an Interrogator issues a <i>BlockPermalock</i> in which <u>BlockPtr</u> and <u>BlockRange</u> specify one or more nonexistent blocks, but <u>Mask</u> only asserts permalocking on existent blocks, then the Tag shall execute the <i>BlockPermalock</i> .	O, CE	Tag	By design
504	6.3.2.10.3. 9	An Interrogator shall prepend a <i>BlockPermalock</i> with a frame-sync (see 6.3.1.2.8).	O, CE	Interrogat or	By design
505	6.3.2.10.3. 9	An unauthenticated Interrogator may, and an authenticated Interrogator shall, encapsulate a <i>BlockPermalock</i> in a <i>SecureComm</i> or <i>AuthComm</i> (see Table 6.28).	O, CE	Interrogat or	By design
506	6.3.2.10.3. 9	Upon receiving an executable <i>BlockPermalock</i> a Tag shall perform the requested operation, unless the Tag does not support block permalocking in which case it shall treat the command as invalid (see Table C.30).	O, CE	Tag	By design
507	6.3.2.10.3. 9	If <u>Read/Lock</u> =0 then a Tag shall reply to a <i>BlockPermalock</i> using the <i>immediate</i> reply type specified in 6.3.1.6.1.	O, CE	Tag	By design
508	6.3.2.10.3. 9	If the Tag is able to execute the <i>BlockPermalock</i> then its reply shall be as shown in Table 6.57 comprising a header (a 0-bit), the requested permalock bits, and the Tag's <u>handle</u> .	O, CE	Tag	By design
509	6.3.2.10.3. 9	If the Tag is unable to execute the <i>BlockPermalock</i> then it shall backscatter an error code (see Table C.30, unsupported parameters) rather than the reply shown in Table 6.57.	O, CE	Tag	By design
510	6.3.2.10.3. 9	The Tag's reply when <u>Read/Lock</u> =0 shall use the preamble specified by the <u>TRext</u> value in the <i>Query</i> that initiated the inventory round.	O, CE	Tag	By design
511	6.3.2.10.3. 9	If <u>Read/Lock</u> =1 then a Tag shall reply to a <i>BlockPermalock</i> using the <i>delayed</i> reply specified in 6.3.1.6.2.	O, CE	Тад	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	мо	Applies To	How Verified
512	6.3.2.12.3. 10	Interrogators and Tags may implement the <i>Authenticate</i> command; if they do, they shall implement it as shown in Table 6.58.	O, TACA, TACF	Tag and Interrogat or	By demonstration Issue an Authenticate command configured according a supported cryptographic suite. Verify that the Tag backscatters a valid response. <u>Test conditions:</u> Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: 860, 910, & 960 MHz Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK Tari: 12.5 μs RTcal: 31.25 μs PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: < 0.33 Tari TRcal: 66,7 μs DR: 64/3 M: 3 TRext: 1
513	6.3.2.12.3. 10	An Authenticate contains 2 RFU bits. An Interrogator shall set these bits to 00 <sub>2</sub> .	O, TACA, TACF	Interrogat orr	By design
514	6.3.2.12.3. 10	A Tag in the <b>open</b> or <b>secured</b> states that receives an <i>Authenticate</i> with nonzero RFU bits shall not execute the <i>Authenticate</i> and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30).	O, TACA, TACF	Tag	By design
515	6.3.2.12.3. 10	An Interrogator shall prepend an <i>Authenticate</i> with a frame-sync (see 6.3.1.2.8).	O, TACA, TACF	Interrogat or	By design
516	6.3.2.12.3. 10	An Interrogator shall not encapsulate an <i>Authenticate</i> in a <i>SecureComm</i> or <i>AuthComm</i> (see Table 6.28).	O, TACA, TACF	Interrogat or	By design
517	6.3.2.12.3. 10	If a Tag supports the <i>Authenticate</i> command then it shall implement the security ( <b>S</b> ) indicator (see 6.3.2.1.3).	O, TACA, TACF	Тад	By design
518	6.3.2.12.3. 10	An Authenticate command shall use the <i>in-process</i> reply specified in 6.3.1.6.3.	O, TACA	Тад	By design
519	6.3.2.12.3. 10	If a Tag receives an <i>Authenticate</i> specifying an unsupported <u>CSI</u> , an improperly formatted or not-executable <u>message</u> , or an improper cryptographic parameter then the Tag shall not execute the <i>Authenticate</i> and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30)	O, TACA, TACF	Tag	By design
520	6.3.2.12.3. 10	If a Tag in the <b>secured</b> state receives an <i>Authenticate</i> that begins a new authentication, such as if the <i>Authenticate</i> contains a changed <u>CSI</u> , then the Tag shall transition to the <b>open</b> state, discontinue using and reset the current cryptographic engine, and begin the new authentication.	O, TACA, TACF	Tag	By design
521	6.3.2.12.3. 10	If a Tag receives a properly formatted Authenticate but there is a cryptographic error, and the cryptographic suite specifies that the error requires a security timeout, then the Tag shall set a security timeout as specified in 6.3.2.5.	O, TACA, TACF	Tag	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	мо	Applies To	How Verified
522	6.3.2.12.3. 10	If a Tag that supports security timeouts for the <i>Authenticate</i> command receives an <i>Authenticate</i> during a timeout then it shall reject the command, backscatter an error code (see Annex I), and remain in its current state.	O TACA, TACF	Tag	By design
523	6.3.2.12.3. 11	Interrogators and Tags may implement the <i>AuthComm</i> command; if they do, they shall implement it as shown in Table 6.59.	Ο	Tag, Interrogat or	By demonstration Issue an AuthComm command configured according a supported cryptographic suite. Verify that the Tag backscatters a valid response. Verify that the interrogator decodes the tag response according the specification. Test conditions: Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: 860, 910, & 960 MHz Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK Tari: 12.5 $\mu$ s RTcal: 31.25 $\mu$ s PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: < 0.33 Tari TRcal: 66,7 $\mu$ s DR: 64/3 M: 3 TRext: 1
524	6.3.2.12.3. 11	An <i>AuthComm</i> shall always be preceded by a Tag, Interrogator, or mutual authentication via an <i>Authenticate</i> or a <i>Challenge</i> .	0	Tag, Interrogat or	By design
525	6.3.2.12.3. 11	An Interrogator shall remove the command's preamble, <u>handle</u> , and CRC before encapsulating it in an <i>AuthComm</i> .	0	Interrogat or	By design
526	6.3.2.12.3. 11	The encapsulated command shall not be encrypted or obscured.	0	Tag Interrogat or	By design
527	6.3.2.12.3. 11	An Interrogator shall set these bits to 002	0	Tag Interrogat or	By design
528	6.3.2.12.3. 11	A Tag in the <b>open</b> or <b>secured</b> states that receives an <i>AuthComm</i> with nonzero RFU bits shall not execute the <i>AuthComm</i> and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30).	0	Tag	By design
529	6.3.2.12.3. 11	A Tag in the <b>open</b> or <b>secured</b> states that receives an <i>AuthComm</i> encapsulating a disallowed command, an unsupported command, or a command that does not support encapsulation (see Table 6.28) shall not execute the <i>AuthComm</i> and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30).	0	Tag	By design
530	6.3.2.12.3. 11	An Interrogator shall prepend an <i>AuthComm</i> with a frame-sync (see 6.3.1.2.8).	0	Interrogat or	By design
531	6.3.2.12.3. 11	A Tag shall only accept an <i>AuthComm</i> after a successful cryptographic authentication.	0	Тад	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	мо	Applies To	How Verified
532		Because an Access command sequence is not a cryptographic authentication, a Tag that most recently entered the <b>secured</b> state via a successful Access command sequence shall not execute an AuthComm and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30).	0	Tag	By design
533	6.3.2.12.3. 11	When processing an <i>AuthComm</i> a Tag shall first perform the functions/analysis/state- change/error-handling for the <i>AuthComm</i> itself and then, if the <i>AuthComm</i> is successful, the functions/analysis/state- change/error-handling for the command encapsulated in the <i>AuthComm</i> 's message field.	0	Tag	By design
534	6.3.2.12.3. 11	A Tag shall reply to an <i>AuthComm</i> using the <i>in-process</i> reply specified in 6.3.1.6.3.	0	Tag	By design
535	6.3.2.12.3. 11	The cryptographic suite shall specify the parameters that a Tag includes in its <u>response</u> , including at least the reply for the encapsulated command minus preamble, <u>handle</u> , and CRC.	0	???	By design
536	6.3.2.12.3. 11	Unlike other commands that use an <i>in-process</i> reply, <i>AuthComm</i> does not include a <u>SenRep</u> field because a Tag shall always send (i.e. never store) its reply to an <i>AuthComm</i> .	0	Tag	By design
537	6.3.2.12.3. 11	If a Tag receives a properly formatted <i>AuthComm</i> but there is a cryptographic error, and the cryptographic suite specifies that the error requires a security timeout, then the Tag shall set a security timeout as specified in 6.3.2.5.	0	Тад	By design
538	6.3.2.12.3. 11	If a Tag that supports security timeouts for the <i>AuthComm</i> command receives an <i>AuthComm</i> during a timeout then it shall reject the command, backscatter an error code (see Annex I), and remain in its current state.	0	Tag	By design
539	6.3.2.12.3. 12	Interrogators and Tags may implement the <i>SecureComm</i> command; if they do, they shall implement it as shown in Table 6.60.	O, TACF	Tag and Interogat or	By demonstration Issue a SecureComm command configured according a supported cryptographic suite. Verify that the Tag backscatters a valid response. <u>Test conditions:</u> Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: 860, 910, & 960 MHz Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK Tari: 12.5 µs RTcal: 31.25 µs PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: < 0.33 Tari TRcal: 66,7 µs DR: 64/3 M: 3 TRext: 1
540	6.3.2.12.3. 12	A <i>SecureComm</i> shall always be preceded by a Tag, Interrogator, or mutual authentication via an <i>Authenticate</i> or a <i>Challenge</i> .	O, TACF	Interrogat or	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	МО	Applies To	How Verified
541	6.3.2.12.3. 12	An Interrogator shall remove the command's preamble, <u>handle</u> , and CRC before encapsulating it in a <i>SecureComm</i> .	O, TACF	Interrogat or	By design
542	6.3.2.12.3. 12	The encapsulated command shall be encrypted.	O, TACF	Interrogat or	By design
543	6.3.2.12.3. 12	An Interrogator shall set these bits to 002.	O, TACF	Interrogat or	By design
544	6.3.2.12.3. 12	A Tag in the <b>open</b> or <b>secured</b> states that receives a <i>SecureComm</i> with nonzero RFU bits shall not execute the <i>SecureComm</i> and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30).	O, TACF	Tag	By design
545	6.3.2.12.3. 12	A Tag in the <b>open</b> or <b>secured</b> states that receives a <i>SecureComm</i> encapsulating a disallowed command, an unsupported command, or a command that does not support encapsulation (see Table 6.28) shall not execute the <i>SecureComm</i> and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30).	O, TACF	Tag	By design
546	6.3.2.12.3. 12	An Interrogator shall prepend a <i>SecureComm</i> with a frame-sync (see 6.3.1.2.8).	O, TACF	Тад	By design
547	6.3.2.12.3. 12	A Tag shall only accept a <i>SecureComm</i> after a successful cryptographic authentication.	O, TACF	Tag	By design
548	6.3.2.12.3. 12	Because an Access command sequence is not a cryptographic authentication, a Tag that most recently entered the <b>secured</b> state via a successful Access command sequence shall not execute a SecureComm and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30).	O, TACF	Tag	By design
549	6.3.2.12.3. 12	When processing a <i>SecureComm</i> a Tag shall first perform the functions/analysis/state- change/error-handling for the <i>SecureComm</i> itself and then, if the <i>SecureComm</i> is successful, the functions/analysis/state- change/error-handling for the command encapsulated in the <i>SecureComm</i> 's <u>message</u> field.	O, TACF	Tag	By design
550	6.3.2.12.3. 12	A Tag shall reply to a <i>SecureComm</i> using the <i>in-process</i> reply specified in 6.3.1.6.3.	O, TACF	Tag	By design
551	6.3.2.12.3. 12	The cryptographic suite shall specify the parameters that a Tag includes in its <u>response</u> , including at least the reply for the encapsulated command minus preamble, <u>handle</u> , and CRC.	O, TACF	Tag	By design
552	6.3.2.12.3. 12	If a Tag receives a properly formatted <i>SecureComm</i> but there is a cryptographic error, and the cryptographic suite specifies that the error requires a security timeout, then the Tag shall set a security timeout as specified in 6.3.2.5.	O, TACF	Тад	By design
553	6.3.2.12.3. 12	If a Tag that supports security timeouts for the <i>SecureComm</i> command receives a <i>SecureComm</i> during a timeout then it shall reject the command, backscatter an error code (see Annex I), and remain in its current state.	O, TACF	Tag	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	мо	Applies To	How Verified
554	6.3.2.12.3. 13	Interrogators and Tags may implement the <i>KeyUpdate</i> command; if they do, they shall implement it as shown in Table 6.61.	O, TACF	Tag and Interrogat or	By demonstration Issue a KeyUpdate command configured according a supported cryptographic suite. Verify that the Tag backscatters a valid response. <u>Test conditions:</u> Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: 860, 910, & 960 MHz Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK Tari: 12.5 μs RTcal: 31.25 μs PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: < 0.33 Tari TRcal: 66,7 μs DR: 64/3 M: 3 TRext: 1
555	6.3.2.12.3. 13	A <i>KeyUpdate</i> shall always be preceded by an Interrogator or mutual authentication via an <i>Authenticate</i> .	O, TACF	Interrogat or	By design
556	6.3.2.12.3. 13	An Interrogator shall set these bits to 002.	O, TACF	Interrogat or	By design
557	6.3.2.12.3. 13	A Tag in the <b>secured</b> state that receives a <i>KeyUpdate</i> with nonzero RFU bits shall not execute the <i>KeyUpdate</i> and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30).	O, TACF	Tag	By design
558	6.3.2.12.3. 13	If a cryptographic suite allows sending a <i>KeyUpdate</i> in an <i>AuthComm</i> or without encapsulation then <u>message</u> in the <i>KeyUpdate</i> shall be encrypted.	O, TACF	Interrogat or	By design
559	6.3.2.12.3. 13	A Tag in the <b>secured</b> state shall only write a key if (a) the Interrogator authenticated itself as a crypto superuser and <u>KeyID</u> is assigned to the same cryptographic suite as that specified by <u>CSI</u> in the <i>Authenticate</i> command that preceded the <i>KeyUpdate</i> , or (b) <u>KeyID</u> is the same as that used by the Interrogator to authenticate itself.	O, TACF	Tag	By design
560	6.3.2.12.3. 13	In all other instances the Tag shall not execute the <i>KeyUpdate</i> and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30). See 6.3.2.11.2 for a description of Tag privileges and the crypto superuser privilege.	O, TACF	Тад	By design
561	6.3.2.12.3. 13	Upon receiving an executable <i>KeyUpdate</i> a Tag shall overwrite its old key with the new key.	O, TACF	Tag	By design
562	6.3.2.12.3. 13	If the Tag does not write the new key successfully then it shall revert to the prior stored key.	O, TACF	Tag	By design
563	6.3.2.12.3. 13	An Interrogator shall prepend an unencapsulated <i>KeyUpdate</i> with a frame-sync (see 6.3.1.2.8).	O, TACF	Interrogat or	By design
564	6.3.2.12.3. 13	A Tag shall only accept a <i>KeyUpdate</i> after a successful cryptographic authentication.	O, TACF	Tag	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	мо	Applies To	How Verified
565	6.3.2.12.3. 13	Because an Access command sequence is not a cryptographic authentication, a Tag that most recently entered the <b>secured</b> state via a successful Access command sequence shall not execute a KeyUpdate and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30).	O, TACF	Tag	By design
566	6.3.2.12.3. 13	A Tag shall reply to a <i>KeyUpdate</i> using the <i>in-process</i> reply specified in 6.3.1.6.3.	O, TACF	Tag	By design
567	6.3.2.12.3. 13	The cryptographic suite shall specify the parameters that a Tag includes in its response.	O, TACF	Tag	By design
568	6.3.2.12.3. 13	If a Tag receives a properly formatted <i>KeyUpdate</i> but there is a cryptographic error, and the cryptographic suite specifies that the error requires a security timeout, then the Tag shall set a security timeout as specified in 6.3.2.5.	O, TACF	Tag	By design
569	6.3.2.12.3. 13	If a Tag that supports security timeouts for the <i>KeyUpdate</i> command receives a <i>KeyUpdate</i> during a timeout then it shall reject the command, backscatter an error code (see Annex I), and remain in its current state.	O, TACF	Tag	By design
570	6.3.2.12.3. 14	Interrogators and Tags may implement the <i>TagPrivilege</i> command; if they do, they shall implement it as shown in Table 6.62.	Ο	Tag and Interrogat or	By demonstration Issue a TagPrivilege command configured according a supported cryptographic suite. Verify that the Tag backscatters a valid response. Test conditions: Temp: $23 + /-3 \degree$ C Freq: $860, 910, \& 960 \text{ MHz}$ Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK Tari: $12.5 \ \mu$ S RTcal: $31.25 \ \mu$ S PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: $90\%$ Rise/fall time: < 0.33 Tari TRcal: $66,7 \ \mu$ S DR: $64/3$ M: 3 TRext: 1
571	6.3.2.12.3. 14	A <i>TagPrivilege</i> contains 2 RFU bits. An Interrogator shall set these bits to 00 <sub>2</sub> .	0	Interrogat or	By design
572	6.3.2.12.3. 14	A Tag in the <b>secured</b> state that receives a <i>TagPrivilege</i> containing nonzero RFU bits shall not execute the <i>TagPrivilege</i> and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30).	0	Tag	By design
573	6.3.2.12.3. 14	An unauthenticated Interrogator may issue a <i>TagPrivilege</i> ; if it does then it shall issue the <i>TagPrivilege</i> without encapsulation and with <u>Target</u> =0 (i.e. specifying the access password).	0	Interrogat or	By design
574	6.3.2.12.3. 14	An authenticated Interrogator shall encapsulate a <i>TagPrivilege</i> in a <i>SecureComm</i> or <i>AuthComm</i> (see Table 6.28).	0	Interrogat or	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	МО	Applies To	How Verified
575	6.3.2.12.3. 14	If a Tag in the <b>secured</b> state receives an unencapsulated <i>TagPrivilege</i> from an authenticated Interrogator then it shall not execute the <i>TagPrivilege</i> and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30).	0	Тад	By design
576	6.3.2.12.3. 14	A Tag in the <b>secured</b> state shall only read or modify the access-password privileges if the Interrogator supplied the correct access password and is not attempting to assert a deasserted privilege.	0	Тад	By design
577	6.3.2.12.3. 14	In all other instances the Tag shall not execute the <i>TagPrivilege</i> and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30).	0	Tag	By design
578	6.3.2.12.3. 14	A Tag in the <b>secured</b> state shall only read or modify a key's privileges if (a) the Interrogator authenticated itself as a crypto superuser and <u>KeyID</u> is assigned to the same cryptographic suite as that specified by <u>CSI</u> in the <i>Authenticate</i> command that preceded the <i>TagPrivilege</i> , or (b) <u>KeyID</u> is the same as that used by the Interrogator to authenticate itself and the Interrogator is not attempting to assert a deasserted privilege.	0	Tag	By design
579	6.3.2.12.3. 14	If an Interrogator specifies <u>Action</u> =0 in a <i>TagPrivilege</i> then it may use any value for <u>privilege</u> . A Tag shall ignore <u>privilege</u> when <u>Action</u> =0.	0	Tag and Interrogat or	By design
580	6.3.2.12.3. 14	If Tag receives a <i>TagPrivilege</i> with <u>Target</u> =0 then it shall ignore the value that the Interrogator supplies for <u>KeyID</u> .	0	Тад	By design
581	6.3.2.12.3. 14	Upon receiving an executable <i>TagPrivilege</i> with <u>Action</u> =1 a Tag shall overwrite the old privileges with the new privileges.	0	Тад	By design
582	6.3.2.12.3. 14	If the Tag does not write the new privileges successfully then it shall revert to the prior stored privileges.	0	Тад	By design
583	6.3.2.12.3. 14	A Tag in the <b>secured</b> state that receives a <i>TagPrivilege</i> which attempts to assert one or more RFU privilege bits or to change an unchangeable privilege value shall not execute the <i>TagPrivilege</i> and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30).	0	Tag	By design
584	6.3.2.12.3. 14	An Interrogator shall prepend an unencapsulated <i>TagPrivilege</i> with a frame-sync (see 6.3.1.2.8).	Ο	Interrogat or	By design
585	6.3.2.12.3. 14	A Tag shall reply to a <i>TagPrivilege</i> using the <i>in-process</i> reply specified in 6.3.1.6.3.	0	Тад	By design
586	6.3.2.12.3. 14	The Tag's <u>response</u> shall be as shown in Table 6.63 for <u>Action</u> =0 or <u>Action</u> =1.	0	Тад	By design
587	6.3.2.12.3. 15	Interrogators and Tags may implement the <i>ReadBuffer</i> command; if they do, they shall implement it as shown in Table 6.64.	0	Tag and Interrogat or	<b>By demonstration</b> Tested in Compliance with 6.3.1.6.4
588	6.3.2.12.3. 15	<u>BitCount</u> specifies the number of bits to read. If <u>BitCount</u> =000 <sub>h</sub> then a Tag shall backscatter the contents of the ResponseBuffer starting at <u>WordPtr</u> and ending at the end of the allocated ResponseBuffer.	0	Tag	By design


Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	МО	Applies To	How Verified
589	6.3.2.12.3. 15	A <i>ReadBuffer</i> contains 2 RFU bits. An Interrogator shall set these bits to 00 <sub>2</sub> .	0	Interrogat or	By design
590	6.3.2.12.3. 15	A Tag in the <b>open</b> or <b>secured</b> states that receives a <i>ReadBuffer</i> with nonzero RFU bits shall not execute the <i>ReadBuffer</i> and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30). Future protocols may use these RFU bits to expand the <i>ReadBuffer</i> command's functionality.	0	Tag	By design
591	6.3.2.12.3. 15	An Interrogator may encapsulate a <i>ReadBuffer</i> in an <i>AuthComm</i> but shall not encapsulate it in a <i>SecureComm</i> (see Table 6.28).	0	Interrogat or	By design
592	6.3.2.12.3. 15	If a Tag implements a ResponseBuffer then that Tag shall implement the <i>ReadBuffer</i> command.	0	Tag	By design
593	6.3.2.12.3. 15	An Interrogator shall prepend an unencapsulated <i>ReadBuffer</i> with a frame-sync (see 6.3.1.2.8).	Ο	Interrogat or	By design
594	6.3.2.12.3. 15	A Tag shall reply to a <i>ReadBuffer</i> using the <i>immediate</i> reply specified in 6.3.1.6.1.	0	Tag	By design
595	6.3.2.12.3. 15	If $C=1$ and the memory bits specified in the <i>ReadBuffer</i> exist then the Tag's reply shall be as shown in Table 6.65 including a header (a 0-bit), the <u>data</u> bits, and the Tag's <u>handle</u> .	0	Tag	By design
596	6.3.2.12.3. 15	The reply includes a CRC-16 calculated over the 0-bit, <u>data</u> bits, and <u>handle</u> . If one or more of the memory bits specified in the <i>ReadBuffer</i> do not exist, or if the <b>C</b> flag in XPC_W1 is zero-valued, then the Tag shall not execute the <i>ReadBuffer</i> and instead backscatter an error code (see Table C.30, unsupported parameters) within time T <sub>1</sub> in Table 6.16 rather than the reply shown in Table 6.65.	0	Tag	By design
597	6.3.2.12.3. 16	Interrogators and Tags may implement the Untraceable command; if they do, they shall implement it as shown in Table 6.66.	O, EAS, TAC	Tag and Interrogat or	<b>By demonstration</b> Issue an <i>Untraceable</i> command that is configured to hide the User memory. Verify that the <i>Read</i> command is only executed for an Interrogator that has an asserted Untraceable privilege. Issue another Untraceable command, which is configured to expose the User memory. Verify that the <i>Read</i> command is executed independent from the Untraceable privilege of the Interrogator. <u>Test conditions:</u> Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: 860, 910, & 960 MHz Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK Tari: 12.5 μs RTcal: 31.25 μs PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: < 0.33 Tari TRcal: 66,7 μs DR: 64/3 M: 3 TRext: 1



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	МО	Applies To	How Verified
598	6.3.2.12.3. 16	Upon receiving an <i>Untraceable</i> command a Tag that supports the <b>U</b> bit shall overwrite bit 21C <sub>h</sub> of XPC_W1 with the provided <u>U</u> value regardless of the lock or permalock status of EPC memory.	O, EAS, TAC	Tag	By design
599	6.3.2.12.3. 16	If the Tag does not support the <b>U</b> bit then the Tag shall ignore the provided <u>U</u> value but continue to process the remainder of the <i>Untraceable</i> .	O, EAS, TAC	Тад	By design
600	6.3.2.12.3. 16	Upon receiving an <i>Untraceable</i> command a Tag shall overwrite its EPC length field (StoredPC bits $10_h - 14_h$ ) with the provided <u>length</u> bits regardless of the lock or permalock status of EPC memory.	O, EAS, TAC	Tag	By design
601	6.3.2.12.3. 16	A Tag shall execute a range change prior to replying to the <i>Untraceable</i> .	O, EAS, TAC	Тад	By design
602	6.3.2.12.3. 16	If a Tag does not support range reduction then it shall ignore <u>range</u> but continue to process the remainder of the <i>Untraceable</i> .	O, EAS, TAC	Тад	By design
603	6.3.2.12.3. 16	An Interrogator shall set these bits to 002.	O, EAS, TAC	Interrogat or	By design
604	6.3.2.12.3. 16	A Tag in the <b>secured</b> state that receives an <i>Untraceable</i> with nonzero RFU bits, $\underline{TID}=11_2$ , or <u>range=11_2</u> shall not execute the <i>Untraceable</i> and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30).	O, EAS, TAC	Tag	By design
605	6.3.2.12.3. 16	If a Tag in the <b>secured</b> state receives an <i>Untraceable</i> from an Interrogator with an asserted <u>Untraceable</u> privilege then it shall execute the command.	O, EAS, TAC	Tag	By design
606	6.3.2.12.3. 16	If the Interrogator has a deasserted <u>Untraceable</u> privilege then the Tag shall not execute the command and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30).	O, EAS, TAC	Tag	By design
607	6.3.2.12.3. 16	An authenticated Interrogator shall encapsulate an <i>Untraceable</i> in a <i>SecureComm</i> or <i>AuthComm</i> (see Table 6.28).	O, EAS, TAC	Interrogat or	By design
608	6.3.2.12.3. 16	If a Tag in the <b>secured</b> state receives an unencapsulated <i>Untraceable</i> from an authenticated Interrogator then it shall not execute the <i>Untraceable</i> and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30).	O, EAS, TAC	Тад	By design
609	6.3.2.12.3. 16	<i>Untraceable</i> commands shall be atomic, meaning that a Tag, upon receiving an executable <i>Untraceable</i> , shall discard its prior memory and range settings and implement the new ones.	O, EAS, TAC	Тад	By design
610	6.3.2.12.3. 16	A Tag that supports $XI = 1_2$ shall not execute an <i>Untraceable</i> that specifies <u>length</u> bits greater than 11101 <sub>2</sub> and shall instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30).	O, EAS, TAC	Tag	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	мо	Applies To	How Verified
611	6.3.2.12.3. 16	Regardless of these absolute bounds on <u>length</u> , if an <i>Untraceable</i> specifies a <u>length</u> value that a Tag does not support then the Tag shall not execute the <i>Untraceable</i> and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30).	O, EAS, TAC	Tag	By design
612	6.3.2.12.3. 16	A Tag that is operating with reduced range shall do so for all commands regardless of whether an Interrogator has an asserted or a deasserted <u>Untraceable</u> privilege.	O, EAS, TAC	Tag	By design
613	6.3.2.12.3. 16	A Tag shall execute supported access commands that operate on untraceably hidden memory if the commanding Interrogator has an asserted <u>Untraceable</u> privilege, but shall not execute these commands if the Interrogator has a deasserted <u>Untraceable</u> privilege.	O, EAS, TAC	Tag	By design
614	6.3.2.12.3. 16	In the latter case a Tag shall behave as though untraceably hidden memory does not exist and treat the commands' parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30).	O, EAS, TAC	Tag	By design
615	6.3.2.12.3. 16	A Tag that is untraceably hiding EPC memory shall not include any of the untraceably hidden EPC memory bits when replying to an <i>ACK</i> .	O, EAS, TAC	Tag	By design
616	6.3.2.12.3. 16	An Interrogator shall prepend an unencapsulated <i>Untraceable</i> with a frame-sync (see 6.3.1.2.8).	O, EAS, TAC	Interrogat or	By design
617	6.3.2.12.3. 16	The details of this irreversible untraceability, including whether a Tag with irreversibly hidden memory will still alter its operating range, and vice versa, shall be Tag- manufacturer defined.	O, EAS, TAC	Tag	By design
618	6.3.2.12.3. 16	A Tag shall reply to an <i>Untraceable</i> using the <i>delayed</i> reply specified in 6.3.1.6.2. Upon receiving an executable <i>Untraceable</i> a Tag shall perform the specified actions.	O, EAS, TAC	Tag	By design
619	6.3.2.12.3. 16	If a Tag receives an <i>Untraceable</i> whose fields it supports but nonetheless cannot execute, such as if the <i>Untraceable</i> instructs the Tag to expose an irreversibly hidden portion of Tag memory or the Interrogator has a deasserted <u>Untraceable</u> privilege, then the Tag shall not execute the <i>Untraceable</i> and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30).	O, EAS, TAC	Tag	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	мо	Applies To	How Verified
620	6.3.2.12.3. 17	Interrogators and Tags may implement the <i>FileOpen</i> command; if they do, they shall implement it as shown in Table 6.67.	O, CE	Tag and Interrogat or	<b>By demonstration</b> Issue a FileOpen command configured according a supported cryptographic suite. Verify that the Tag backscatters a valid response. <u>Test conditions:</u> Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C Freq: 860, 910, & 960 MHz Power: 0 dBm at Tag antenna Modulation: DSB-ASK Tari: 12.5 μs RTcal: 31.25 μs PW: 0.5 Tari Modulation depth: 90% Rise/fall time: < 0.33 Tari TRcal: 66,7 μs DR: 64/3 M: 3 TRext: 1
621	6.3.2.12.3. 17	An Interrogator shall set these bits to 002.	O, CE	Interrogat or	By design
622	6.3.2.12.3. 17	A Tag in the <b>open</b> or <b>secured</b> states that receives a <i>FileOpen</i> with nonzero RFU bits or that specifies <u>FileNum</u> =1111111111 <sub>2</sub> (RFU <u>FileNum</u> ) shall not execute the <i>FileOpen</i> and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30).	O, CE	Tag	By design
623	6.3.2.12.3. 17	An authenticated Interrogator shall encapsulate a <i>FileOpen</i> in a <i>SecureComm</i> or <i>AuthComm</i> (see Table 6.28).	O, CE	Interrogat or	By design
624	6.3.2.12.3. 17	If a Tag in the <b>secured</b> state receives an unencapsulated <i>FileOpen</i> from an authenticated Interrogator then it shall not execute the <i>FileOpen</i> and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30).	O, CE	Тад	By design
625	6.3.2.12.3. 17	If an Interrogator or a Tag support File_N, N>0 then that Interrogator or Tag shall implement a <i>FileOpen</i> .	O, CE	Tag and Interrogat or	By design
626	6.3.2.12.3. 17	An Interrogator shall prepend an unencapsulated <i>FileOpen</i> with a frame-sync (see 6.3.1.2.8).	O, CE	Interrogat or	By design
627	6.3.2.12.3. 17	If a Tag supports the <i>FileOpen</i> command then it shall implement the file ( <b>F</b> ) indicator (see 6.3.2.1.3).	O, CE	Interrogat or	
628	6.3.2.12.3. 17	A Tag shall reply to a <i>FileOpen</i> using the <i>immediate</i> reply specified in 6.3.1.6.1.	O, CE	Tag	By design
629	6.3.2.12.3. 17	If the Tag has an allocated file at <u>FileNum</u> then it shall close the currently open file, open the specified file, and reply as shown in Table 6.68.	O, CE	Tag	By design
630	6.3.2.12.3. 17	<u>LastFile</u> indicates whether the just-opened file has the largest assigned <u>FileNum</u> ; if a Tag has a <u>FileNum</u> larger than that of the just- opened file then it shall set <u>LastFile</u> to 0, otherwise it shall set <u>LastFile</u> to 1.	O, CE	Tag	By design
631	6.3.2.12.3. 17	If a Tag receives a <i>FileOpen</i> specifying the currently open file then it shall leave the file open and reply as specified in Table 6.68.	O, CE	Тад	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	МО	Applies To	How Verified
632	6.3.2.12.3. 17	If a Tag receives a <i>FileOpen</i> but does not have an allocated file at <u>FileNum</u> , or if User memory is untraceably hidden and the Interrogator has a deasserted <u>Untraceable</u> privilege, or if the Tag is otherwise unable to execute the <i>FileOpen</i> , then the Tag shall not execute the <i>FileOpen</i> and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30), reverting to the currently open file (or to no file if the Tag doesn't have any allocated files or if User memory is untraceably hidden and the Interrogator has a deasserted <u>Untraceable</u> privilege).	O, CE	Tag	By design
633	6.3.2.12.3. 18	Interrogators and Tags may implement the <i>FileList</i> command; if they do, they shall implement it as shown in Table 6.69.	0	Tag and Interrogat or	By design
634	6.3.2.12.3. 18	For example, if <u>FileNum</u> =4 and <u>AddlFiles</u> =2 then the Tag shall provide information for File_4 and for the next two higher-numbered files (which may be File_5 and File_6 if the Tag manufacturer assigned file numbers sequentially or may be other files if the numbering is not sequential).	0	Tag	By design
635	6.3.2.12.3. 18	A <i>FileList</i> contains 2 RFU bits. An Interrogator shall set these bits to 00 <sub>2</sub> .	0	Interrogat or	By design
636	6.3.2.12.3. 18	A Tag in the <b>open</b> or <b>secured</b> states that receives a <i>FileList</i> with nonzero RFU bits or that specifies <u>FileNum</u> =111111111112 (RFU <u>FileNum</u> ) shall not execute the <i>FileList</i> and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30). Future protocols may use these RFU bits to expand the <i>FileList</i> command's functionality.	0	Tag	By design
637	6.3.2.12.3. 18	An authenticated Interrogator shall encapsulate a <i>FileList</i> in a <i>SecureComm</i> or <i>AuthComm</i> (see Table 6.28).	0	Interrogat or	By design
638	6.3.2.12.3. 18	If a Tag in the <b>secured</b> state receives an unencapsulated <i>FileList</i> from an authenticated Interrogator then it shall not execute the <i>FileList</i> and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30).	0	Тад	By design
639	6.3.2.12.3. 18	An Interrogator shall not specify <u>AddIFiles</u> =FF <sub>h</sub> .	0	Interrogat or	By design
640	6.3.2.12.3. 18	If a Tag receives a <i>FileList</i> with <u>AddIFiles</u> =FF <sub>h</sub> then the Tag shall behave as though it had received a <i>FileList</i> with <u>AddIFiles</u> =FD <sub>h</sub> .	0	Tag	By design
641	6.3.2.12.3. 18	A Tag shall reply to a <i>FileList</i> using the <i>in-process</i> reply specified in 6.3.1.6.3.	0	Tag	By design
642	6.3.2.12.3. 18	A Tag's <u>response</u> shall be as shown in Table 6.70 and includes a <u>message</u> for each file for which the Interrogator requested information.	0	Tag	By design
643	6.3.2.12.3. 18	If a Tag is <i>static</i> then <u>AvailFileSize</u> shall be zero.	0	Тад	By design
644	6.3.2.12.3. 18	If a Tag has more than 1022 blocks of free memory then <u>AvailFileSize</u> shall be 11111111112.	Ο	Тад	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	МО	Applies To	How Verified
645	6.3.2.12.3. 18	If a Tag receives a <i>FileList</i> with an unsupported <u>FileNum</u> , or <u>AddIFiles</u> exceeds the number of files above <u>FileNum</u> , or User memory is untraceably hidden and the Interrogator has a deasserted <u>Untraceable</u> privilege, or the Tag is otherwise unable to execute the <i>FileList</i> , then the Tag shall not execute the <i>FileList</i> and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30).	0	Tag	By design
646	6.3.2.12.3. 19	Interrogators and Tags may implement the <i>FilePrivilege</i> command; if they do, they shall implement it as shown in Table 6.72.	Ο	Tag and Interrogat or	By design
647	6.3.2.12.3. 19	An Interrogator shall set these bits to 002.	0	Interrogat or	By design
648	6.3.2.12.3. 19	A Tag in the <b>secured</b> state that receives a <i>FilePrivilege</i> with nonzero RFU bits shall not execute the <i>FilePrivilege</i> and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30).	0	Tag	By design
649	6.3.2.12.3. 19	An authenticated Interrogator shall encapsulate a <i>FilePrivilege</i> in a <i>SecureComm</i> or <i>AuthComm</i> (see Table 6.28).	0	Interrogat or	By design
650	6.3.2.12.3. 19	If a Tag in the <b>secured</b> state receives an unencapsulated <i>FilePrivilege</i> from an authenticated Interrogator then it shall not execute the <i>FilePrivilege</i> and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30).	0	Тад	By design
651	6.3.2.12.3. 19	A Tag shall execute a <i>TagPrivilege</i> according to Table 6.71 which specifies, for each <u>Action</u> value, the privilege assignment that the Tag makes (if any), the fields in the <i>FilePrivilege</i> that the Tag ignores, the required Tag or file privilege to perform the requested operation, and the reply that the Tag backscatters. An Interrogator may set an ignored field in a <i>FilePrivilege</i> to any value.	0	Tag	By design
652	6.3.2.12.3. 19	Upon receiving an executable <i>FilePrivilege</i> with <u>Action</u> =001 <sub>2</sub> , 011 <sub>2</sub> , 101 <sub>2</sub> , or 111 <sub>2</sub> a Tag shall overwrite the current file privilege(s) with the new <u>privilege</u> .	0	Tag	By design
653	6.3.2.12.3. 19	If the Tag does not write the new <u>privilege</u> successfully then it shall revert to the prior stored privilege.	0	Тад	By design
654	6.3.2.12.3. 19	An Interrogator shall prepend an unencapsulated <i>FilePrivilege</i> with a frame-sync (see 6.3.1.2.8).	0	Interrogat or	By design
655	6.3.2.12.3. 19	A Tag's <u>response</u> to the <i>FilePrivilege</i> , for incorporation into the <i>in-process</i> reply specified in 6.3.1.6.3, shall be as shown in Table 6.73.	0	Tag	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	мо	Applies To	How Verified
656	6.3.2.12.3. 19	If a Tag receives a <i>FilePrivilege</i> that it cannot execute because the access password or key the Interrogator supplied has insufficient privileges, or the <i>FilePrivilege</i> contains an unsupported <u>KeyID</u> , or <u>privilege</u> is an RFU value, or User memory is untraceably hidden and the Interrogator has a deasserted <u>Untraceable</u> privilege, or the Tag is otherwise unable to execute the <i>FilePrivilege</i> , then the Tag shall not execute the <i>FilePrivilege</i> and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30).	0	Tag	By design
657	6.3.2.12.3. 20	Interrogators and Tags may implement the <i>FileSetup</i> command; if they do, they shall implement it as shown in Table 6.74.	Ο	Tag and Interrogat or	By design
658	6.3.2.12.3. 20	An Interrogator shall set these bits to 002.	0	Interrogat or	By design
659	6.3.2.12.3. 20	A Tag in the <b>secured</b> state that receives a <i>FileSetup</i> with nonzero RFU bits shall not execute the <i>FileSetup</i> and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30). Future protocols may use these RFU bits to expand the <i>FileSetup</i> command's functionality.	ο	Tag	By design
660	6.3.2.12.3. 20	A Tag shall only execute a <i>FileSetup</i> issued by an Interrogator with a file superuser privilege (see 6.3.2.11.3).	Ο	Tag	By design
661	6.3.2.12.3. 20	An authenticated Interrogator shall encapsulate a <i>FileSetup</i> in a <i>SecureComm</i> or <i>AuthComm</i> (see Table 6.28).	Ο	Interrogat or	By design
662	6.3.2.12.3. 20	If a Tag in the <b>secured</b> state receives an unencapsulated <i>FileSetup</i> from an authenticated Interrogator then it shall not execute the <i>FileSetup</i> and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30).	0	Tag	By design
663	6.3.2.12.3. 20	A <i>static</i> Tag that supports the <i>FileSetup</i> command shall permit an Interrogator with the file superuser privilege to modify a file's type but never its size.	0	Tag	By design
664	6.3.2.12.3. 20	A <i>static</i> Tag shall write the <u>FileType</u> in a <i>FileSetup</i> as the file's new type and shall ignore <u>FileSize</u> . An Interrogator may set <u>FileSize</u> to any value when communicating with a <i>static</i> Tag.	0	Tag	By design
665	6.3.2.12.3. 20	A <i>dynamic</i> Tag shall permit an Interrogator with the file superuser privilege to modify a file's type and size.	Ο	Tag	By design
666	6.3.2.12.3. 20	When increasing a file's size a <i>dynamic</i> Tag shall only allocate "free" memory (i.e. memory not currently allocated to another file) to the resized file.	Ο	Tag	By design
667	6.3.2.12.3. 20	Regardless of whether a Tag is <i>static</i> or <i>dynamic</i> , after executing a <i>FileSetup</i> a Tag's response shall include both <u>FileType</u> and <u>FileSize</u> (even if the Tag made no changes to either one). See Table 6.75.	0	Tag	By design
668	6.3.2.12.3. 20	An Interrogator shall prepend an unencapsulated <i>FileSetup</i> with a frame-sync (see 6.3.1.2.8).	Ο	Interrogat or	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	МО	Applies To	How Verified
669	6.3.2.12.3. 20	A Tag's <u>response</u> to the <i>FileSetup</i> , for incorporation into the <i>in-process</i> reply specified in 6.3.1.6.3, shall be as shown in Table 6.75.	0	Tag	By design
670	6.3.2.12.3. 20	The <u>response</u> includes the <u>FileNum</u> , <u>FileType</u> , and <u>FileSize</u> . If a Tag receives a <i>FileSetup</i> that it cannot execute because the access password or key that the Interrogator most recently supplied does not have a file superuser privilege, or User memory is untraceably hidden and the Interrogator has a deasserted <u>Untraceable</u> privilege, or the Tag is otherwise unable to execute the <i>FileSetup</i> , then the Tag shall not execute the <i>FileSetup</i> and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30).	0	Tag	By design
671	6.3.2.12.3. 20	If a <i>dynamic</i> Tag is unable to execute the <u>FileSize</u> in the <i>FileSetup</i> command then it shall not execute any portion of the <i>FileSetup</i> (i.e. it shall not change the <u>FileType</u> ) and instead treat the command's parameters as unsupported (see Table C.30).	0	Tag	By design
672	Annex A	Although a general EBV may contain blocks of varying lengths, Tags and Interrogators manufactured according to this specification shall use blocks of length 8 bits (EBV-8).	М	Tag and Interro- gator	By design
673	Annex A	Tags and Interrogators shall use the EBV-8 word format specified in Table A.1.	М	Tag and Interro- gator	By design
674	Annex B	State-transition tables B.1 to B.7 shall define a Tag's response to Interrogator commands.	М	Tag	<b>By design</b> Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19
675	Table B.1	"Invalid" shall mean a command not recognizable by the Tag such as (1) an erroneous command (example: a command with an incorrect <u>length</u> field), (2) a command with a CRC error, or (3) an unsupported command.			Definition. Not verified.
676	Table B.2	"Invalid" shall mean a command not recognizable by the Tag such as (1) an erroneous command (example: a command with an incorrect <u>length</u> field), (2) a command with a CRC error, or (3) an unsupported command.			Definition. Not verified.
677	Table B.3	"Invalid" shall mean a command not recognizable by the Tag such as (1) an erroneous command (example: a command with an incorrect <u>length</u> field), (2) a command with a CRC error, or (3) an unsupported command.			Definition. Not verified.
678	Table B.4	"Invalid" shall mean a command not recognizable by the Tag such as (1) an erroneous command (example: a command with an incorrect <u>length</u> field), (2) a command with a CRC error, or (3) an unsupported command.			Definition. Not verified.



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	МО	Applies To	How Verified
679	Table B.5	"Unsupported parameters" shall mean an access command with a correct <u>handle</u> and CRC and that is recognizable by the Tag but contains or specifies (1) a nonzero or incorrect RFU value, (2) an unsupported <u>CSI</u> ; (3) an encapsulated command that is unsupported or disallowed, (4) an unsupported or incorrect memory bank, memory location, address range, or <u>FileNum</u> , (5) a hidden or locked memory bank or location, (6) an unsupported file or files, (7) a command that requires encapsulation but is nonetheless unencapsulated (see Table 6.28), (8) a <i>delayed</i> or <i>in-process</i> reply and the specified operation causes the Tag to encounter an error, (9) an operation for which the Interrogator has insufficient privileges, (10) an unsupported cryptographic parameter, or (11) other parameters not supported by the Tag.	Σ	-	Definition. Not verified.
680	Table B.5	"Incorrect <u>handle</u> " shall mean an access command with a correct CRC and that is recognizable by the Tag but has an incorrect <u>handle</u> . The cryptographic suite indicated by <u>CSI</u> in the prior <i>Challenge</i> or <i>Authenticate</i> command may specify that a Tag reset its cryptographic engine upon receiving a security command with an incorrect <u>handle</u> .	Μ		Definition. Not verified.
681	Table B.5	"Improper" shall mean a command (except <i>Req_RN</i> or <i>Query</i> ) that is recognizable by the Tag but is interspersed between successive <i>Kill</i> or <i>Access</i> commands in a password-based kill or access command sequence, respectively (see Figure 6.24 and Figure 6.26).	Μ		Definition. Not verified.
682	Table B.5	"Invalid" shall mean an erroneous command, an unsupported command, a command with invalid parameters, a command with a CRC error, a command (other than a <i>Query</i> ) with a <u>session</u> parameter not matching that of the inventory round currently in progress, or any other command either not recognized or not executable by the Tag.			Definition. Not verified.



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	МО	Applies To	How Verified
683	Table B.6	"Unsupported parameters" shall mean an access command with a correct <u>handle</u> and CRC and that is recognizable by the Tag but contains or specifies (1) a nonzero or incorrect RFU value, (2) an unsupported <u>CSI</u> ; (3) an encapsulated command that is unsupported or disallowed, (4) an unsupported or incorrect memory bank, memory location, address range, lock payload, blockpermalock payload, <u>KeyID</u> , or <u>FileNum</u> , (5) a hidden or locked memory bank or location, (6) an unsupported file or files, (7) insufficient or unallocateable memory, (8) an unencrypted <u>message</u> that requires encapsulation but is nonetheless unencapsulated see Table 6.28), (10) a <i>delayed</i> or <i>in-process</i> reply and the specified operation causes the Tag to encounter an error, (11) an RFU privilege value, (12) an operation for which the Interrogator has insufficient privileges, (13) an unsupported cryptographic parameter, or (14) other parameters not supported by the Tag.			Definition. Not verified.
684	Table B.6	"Incorrect <u>handle</u> " shall mean an access command with a correct CRC and that is recognizable by the Tag but has an incorrect <u>handle</u> . The default next state is <b>secured</b> , but the cryptographic suite indicated by <u>CSI</u> in the prior <i>Challenge</i> or <i>Authenticate</i> command may specify that a Tag reset its crypto engine and transition to the <b>open</b> state upon receiving a security command with an incorrect <u>handle</u> .			Definition. Not verified.
685	Table B.6	"Improper" shall mean a command ( <i>except</i> <i>Req_RN or Query</i> ) that is recognizable by the Tag but is interspersed between successive Kill or Access commands in a password-based kill or access command sequence, respectively (see Figure 6.24 and Figure 6.26).			Definition. Not verified.
686	Table B.6	"Invalid" shall mean a command not recognizable by the Tag such as (1) an erroneous command (example: a command with an incorrect <u>length</u> field or a <i>BlockWrite/BlockErase</i> with a zero-valued <u>WordCount</u> ), (2) a command with a CRC error, (3) an unsupported command, or (4) a <i>Write</i> command for which the immediately preceding command was not a <i>Req_RN</i> . The default next state is <b>secured</b> , but the cryptographic suite indicated by <u>CSI</u> in the prior <i>Challenge</i> or <i>Authenticate</i> command may specify that a Tag reset its cryptographic engine and transition to the <b>open</b> state upon receiving an invalid command.			Definition. Not verified.
687	Annex C	Command-response tables C.1 to C.30 shall define a Tag's response to Interrogator commands.	М	Tag	<b>By design</b> Also tested in compliance with 6.3.2.4, Figure 6.19



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	МО	Applies To	How Verified
688	Table C.30	"Invalid" shall mean a command not recognizable by the Tag such as (1) an erroneous command (example: a command with an incorrect <u>length</u> field), (2) a command with a CRC error, or (3) an unsupported command.			Definition. Not verified.
689	Table C.30	"Unsupported parameters" shall mean an access command with a correct <u>handle</u> and CRC and that is recognizable by the Tag but contains or specifies (1) a nonzero or incorrect RFU value, (2) an unsupported <u>CSI</u> ; (3) an encapsulated command that is unsupported or disallowed, (4) an unsupported or incorrect memory bank, memory location, address range, or <u>FileNum</u> , (5) a hidden or locked memory bank or location, (6) an unsupported file or files, (7) a command that requires encapsulation but is nonetheless unencapsulated (see Table 6.28), (8) a <i>delayed</i> or <i>in-process</i> reply and the specified operation causes the Tag to encounter an error, (9) an operation for which the Interrogator has insufficient privileges, (10) an unsupported cryptographic parameter, or (11) other parameters not supported by the Tag.			Definition. Not ve <rified.< td=""></rified.<>
690	Table C.30	"Unsupported parameters" shall mean an access command with a correct handle and CRC and that is recognizable by the Tag but contains or specifies (1) a nonzero or incorrect RFU value, (2) an unsupported CSI; (3) an encapsulated command that is unsupported or disallowed, (4) an unsupported or incorrect memory bank, memory location, address range, lock payload, blockpermalock payload, KeyID, or FileNum, (5) a hidden or locked memory bank or location, (6) an unsupported file or files, (7) insufficient or unallocateable memory, (8) an unencrypted message that requires encapsulation but is nonetheless unencapsulated (see Table 6.28), (10) a delayed or in-process reply and the specified operation causes the Tag to encounter an error, (11) an RFU privilege value, (12) an operation for which the Interrogator has insufficient privileges, (13) an unsupported cryptographic parameter, or (14) other parameters not supported by the Tag.			Definition. Not ve <rified.< td=""></rified.<>
691	Table C.30	"Incorrect <u>handle</u> " shall mean an access command with a correct CRC and that is recognizable by the Tag but has an incorrect <u>handle</u> . The cryptographic suite indicated by <u>CSI</u> in the prior <i>Challenge</i> or <i>Authenticate</i> command may specify that a Tag reset its cryptographic engine upon receiving a security command with an incorrect <u>handle</u> .			Definition. Not ve <rified.< td=""></rified.<>



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	МО	Applies To	How Verified
692	Table C.30	"Incorrect <u>handle</u> " shall mean an access command with a correct CRC and that is recognizable by the Tag but has an incorrect <u>handle</u> . The default next state is <b>secured</b> , but the cryptographic suite indicated by <u>CSI</u> in the prior <i>Challenge</i> or <i>Authenticate</i> command may specify that a Tag reset its crypto engine and transition to the <b>open</b> state upon receiving a security command with an incorrect <u>handle</u> .			Definition. Not ve <rified.< td=""></rified.<>
693	Table C.30	"Improper" shall mean a command ( <i>except</i> <i>Req_RN or Query</i> ) that is recognizable by the Tag but is interspersed between successive Kill or Access commands in a password-based kill or access command sequence, respectively (see Figure 6.24 and Figure 6.26).			Definition. Not ve <rified.< td=""></rified.<>
694	Table C.30	"Invalid" shall mean a command not recognizable by the Tag such as (1) an erroneous command (example: a command with an incorrect <u>length</u> field or a <i>BlockWrite/BlockErase</i> with a zero-valued <u>WordCount</u> ), (2) a command with a CRC error, (3) an unsupported command, or (4) a <i>Write</i> command for which the immediately preceding command was not a <i>Req_RN</i> . The cryptographic suite indicated by <u>CSI</u> in the prior <i>Challenge</i> or <i>Authenticate</i> command may specify that a Tag reset its cryptographic engine upon receiving an invalid command.			Definition. Not ve <rified.< td=""></rified.<>
695	Table C.30	"Invalid" shall mean a command not recognizable by the Tag such as (1) an erroneous command (example: a command with an incorrect <u>length</u> field or a <i>BlockWrite/BlockErase</i> with a zero-valued <u>WordCount</u> ), (2) a command with a CRC error, (3) an unsupported command, or (4) a <i>Write</i> command for which the immediately preceding command was not a <i>Req_RN</i> The default next state is <b>secured</b> , but the cryptographic suite indicated by <u>CSI</u> in the prior <i>Challenge</i> or <i>Authenticate</i> command may specify that a Tag reset its cryptographic engine and transition to the <b>open</b> state upon receiving an invalid command.			Definition. Not verified.
696	Annex G.1	When an Interrogator in a multiple- or dense- Interrogator environment instructs Tags to use subcarrier backscatter, the Interrogator shall adopt the channel plan found at <u>http://www.gs1.org/epcglobal/implementatio</u> <u>n</u> for the regulatory region in which it is operating.	М	Interro- gator	By design
697	Annex G.1	When an Interrogator in a multiple- or dense- Interrogator environment instructs Tags to use FMO backscatter, the Interrogator shall adopt the channel plan in accordance with local regulations.	Μ	Interrogat or	By design
698	Annex G.1	Interrogator signaling (both modulated and CW) shall be centered in a channel with the frequency accuracy specified in 6.3.1.2.1 (as appropriate), unless local regulations specify tighter frequency accuracy, in which case the Interrogator shall meet the local regulations.	М	Interro- gator	<b>By design</b> Also tested in compliance with 6.3.1.2.1.



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	мо	Applies To	How Verified
699	Annex G.1	Interrogator transmissions shall satisfy the dense-Interrogator transmit mask in 6.3.1.2.11 (as appropriate), unless local regulations specify tighter mask, in which case the Interrogator shall meet the local regulations.	0	Interro- gator	<b>By design</b> Also tested in compliance with 6.3.1.2.11.
700	Annex G.1	If an Interrogator uses SSB-ASK modulation, the transmit spectrum shall be centered in the channel during R=>T signaling, and the CW shall be centered in the channel during Tag backscatter.	Ο	Interro- gator	<ul> <li>By demonstration (only for Interrogators that implement SSB modulation in dense-Interrogator environments). You found the Easter Egg - Please drop a note to rfid@cisc.at .</li> <li>Test conditions: Temp: 23 +/- 3 °C</li> <li>Freq: At channel frequency closest to center of supported band.</li> <li>Power: Maximum Interrogator transmit power, as implemented.</li> <li>Modulation: SSB</li> <li>Tari: 25 µs</li> <li>Backscatter data rate: One or more of the dense-interrogator data rates specified in Annex G of the Protocol specification, as implemented.</li> <li>Other transmit parameters: As implemented</li> <li>Measurement equipment setting: <ul> <li>Resolution bandwidth: 1 kHz</li> <li>Video bandwidth: Equal to the RBW</li> <li>Sweep Time: AUTO</li> <li>Span: 1 MHz</li> <li>Trace mode: Max hold sufficient to capture all emissions</li> <li>Detection mode: Averaging</li> <li>Modulation methode:</li> <li>continous repeated inventory sequence (no tags present)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
701	Annex I.1	If a Tag is required to backscatter an error code then the Tag shall use one of the error codes shown in Table 1.2	M Tag <b>By design</b>		By design
702	Annex I.1	If a Tag supports error-specific codes, then it shall use the error-specific codes shown in Table I.2.	М	Тад	By design
703	Annex I.1	If a Tag does not support error-specific codes, then it shall backscatter error code 00001111 <sub>2</sub> (indicating a non-specific error) as shown in Table I.2.	М	Тад	By design
704	Annex I.1	A Tag shall backscatter error codes only from the <b>open</b> or <b>secured</b> states.	М	Tag	By design
705	Annex I.1	A Tag shall not backscatter an error code if it receives an invalid or improper access command, or an access command with an incorrect <u>handle</u> .	М	Tag	By design
706	Annex I.1	If an error is described by more than one error code, then the more specific error code shall take precedence and shall be the code that the Tag backscatters.	М	Тад	By design
707	Annex J.1	A Tag in the <b>arbitrate</b> state shall decrement its slot counter every time it receives a <i>QueryRep</i> command, transitioning to the <b>reply</b> state and backscattering an RN16 when its slot-counter value reaches 0000 <sub>h</sub> .	М	Тад	By design



Item	Protocol Subclause	Requirement	МО	Applies To	How Verified
708	Annex J.1	A Tag that returns to <b>arbitrate</b> with a slot- counter value of 0000 <sub>n</sub> shall decrement its slot-counter from 0000 <sub>n</sub> to 7FFF <sub>n</sub> (i.e. the slot counter rolls over) at the next <i>QueryRep</i> with matching <u>session</u> .	М	Tag	By design
709	Annex L.5	If $T=0$ then the <b>XI</b> bit may be either (i) the logical OR of bits $210_h-217_h$ of XPC_W1 or (ii) the logical OR of bits $210_h-218_h$ of XPC_W1; the Tag manufacturer shall choose whether the Tag implements (i) or (ii).	М	Тад	By design, selected option shall be specified in the test submission
710	Annex N	NOTE: All commands listed in Table N.1 are covered by the values in column MO in this table		Тад	
711	Annex N	NOTE: All commands listed in Table N.1are covered by the values in column MO in this table		Interrogat or	
712	Annex N	6.3.2.1.3 an E2h class identifier and an XTID (see 4.1) are manda-tory	EAS TAC TACC TACA TACF CE	Tag	<b>By demonstration</b> Tested in compliance with Item 128
713	Annex N	6.3.2.1.3 a nonzero XTID serialization field is mandatory	EAS TAC TACC TACA TACF CE	Tag	<b>By demonstration</b> Tested in compliance with Item 128
714	Annex N	6.3.2.1.2.5 SLI and K bits in XPC_W1 are mandatory	EAS TAC TACC TACA TACF CE	Tag	By design
715	Annex N	6.3.2.1.2.5 NR bit in XPC_W1 is mandatory	TAC TACC TACA TACF CE	Tag	By design
716	Annex N	6.3.2.1.2.5 H bit in XPC_W1 is mandatory	CE	Тад	By design
717	Annex N	6.3.2.11 a Tag shall implement the mechanisms in this protocol that prevent it from transitioning directly from the acknowledged to the secured state	EAS TAC TACC TACA TACF CE	Тад	By design
718	Annex N	6.3.2.5 Security timeout for the Access command with a timeout range as specified in Table 6.20 is mandatory	EAS TAC TACC TACA TACF CE	Tag	<b>By demonstration</b> Apply access sequence with password FAFA <sub>h</sub> . Begin access sequence after 19.9 ms and verify that tag does not accept it. Apply access sequence with password FAFA <sub>h</sub> . Begin access sequence after 200.1 ms and verify that tag does accept it.
719	Annex N	6.3.2.1.41 >32 bits User memory is mandatory	EAS	Tag	<b>By demonstration</b> Read 33 bit of user memory and verify that there is no error code
720	Annex N	6.3.2.11.3 At least 2 files are mandatory	CE	Тад	<b>By demonstration</b> Open and read one byte of the first two files and verify that there is no error code



# 7 Revision History

Date & Version Number	Section(s)	Change
April 2015	All	Original Document
Issue 1		



# A Annex A

### A.1 Scope

This annex provides additional explanation of conformance items, testing parameters, and equipment badging. Some of the questions answered here are referenced in the How Verified column of in the Protocol Requirements table in\\* MERGEFORMAT <u>Section 6</u> while others relate generally to the conformance process.

The terms Reader and Interrogator are synonymous.

## A.2 Q and A

**Q1:** How does a reader vendor specify R = >T and T = >R parameters to be tested?

**A:** The reader vendor specifies modulation type, PIE ratio, DR and mask type (dense, multi or single) for each Tari/Backscatter Data Rate (BDR)/encoding combination they wish to have tested in the Mode Table (Table A-1 is a sample completed table). BDR is defined as Backscatter Link Frequency (BLF) divided by M.

#### Field entry options are:

- Modulation types: DSB-ASK, SSB-ASK or PR-ASK
- PIE ratio: A value in the range 1.5:1 to 2:1, inclusive
- DR: 8 or 64/3
- Mask type: DI (Dense Interrogator), MI (Multi Interrogator), or SI (Single Interrogator)

The vendor enters up to six Tari's to be tested. If more than one Tari value is to be tested, the vendor must list their minimum and maximum Tari.

The same encoding/BDR values can appear more than once (note M=8, BDR=32 entries in the subcarrier and FDM DI categories in Table A-1). This is necessary if a different modulation type, PIE ratio, DR value, or mask type is to be tested for the same Tari/BDR/encoding values.

A "mode" is defined as a combination of Tari, modulation type, PIE, DR, BDR, mask type, and encoding (i.e. a particular entry in the Mode Table). For example, Table A-1 indicates six "modes" for testing.



Backscatter	М	Backscatter	Tari (μs)					
Encoding		(kbps)	25	7.14	10			
	1	160			PR/2:1/8			
	1	320		DS/1.5:1/8				
EMO	1	640		DS/1.5:1/64				
1 1010								
	8	64	PR/2:1/64					
	8	32	PR/1.5:1/64					
Subcarrier								
Caboarrior								
	8	32	PR/2:1/64					
TDM DI								

### Table A-1 Sample of completed Reader Mode Table

### Key:

Dense interrogator mask met (necessary but not sufficient for DI certification) Multiple interrogator mask met Single interrogator mask met

DS	DSB-ASK
SS	SSB-ASK
PR	PR-ASK

X:1 PIE ratio

8 DR=8 64 DR=64/3



Table A-2 is a Mode Table template where VS indicates Vendor Selection, parameters to be chosen by the ven-dor. Limits such as >1, indicate parameter restrictions.

Table A-2	Reader	Mode	Table	Template
-----------	--------	------	-------	----------

Backscatter	М	Backscatter Tari (μs)						
Encoding	101	(kbps)	VS max	VS min	VS	VS	VS	VS
	1	VS						
	1	VS						
EMO	1	VS						
FIMU	1	VS						
	1	VS						
	1	VS						
	VS >1	VS						
	VS >1	VS						
Subcarrior	VS >1	VS						
Subcamer	VS >1	VS						
	VS >1	VS						
	VS >1	VS						
	VS >2	VS						
FDIMIDI	VS >2	VS						
	VS	VS						
	VS	VS						

Parameters declared in the table are tested. The entries uniquely determine the expected RTcal and TRcal values. The test facility will derive test limits from these values.

**Q2:** The Mode Table is informative but contains an overwhelming amount of information. Is the HW certified or not?

**A:** EPCglobal will list a Conformance Badge for Readers and Tags. For Readers, the Conformance Badge will direct the viewer to the mode table for more detailed information. The following are examples of Reader and Tag badges.

Reader Conformance Badge	Options	
Reader or Module	Reader	Reader, Module
Intended Operating Region	US	Intended region of operation
Frequency Range	902 – 928 MHz	Band of operation
Modulation Types	PR-ASK and DSB-ASK	PR-ASK, DSB-ASK, SSB-ASK
Tari's	7.14 μs, 25 μs, 10 μs	Tested Tari's
Backscatter Encoding Support	FMO, Miller Subcarrier	FMO, Miller Subcarrier
Frequency Scheme	FHSS	FHSS, Agility, Fixed
Temperature Range	-40°C to 65°C	Product temperature range
Environment	Dense & Multi Interrogator	Dense, Multi Interrogator
Dense Operation*	FDM and TDM	N/A, FDM, TDM
Optional Command Support	Access, BlockWrite	Access, BlockWrite, BlockErase

\* TDM only Dense Interrogator operators should not be used in a dense FDM deployment.

7Tag Conformance Badge	Options	
Frequency Range	860 – 960 MHz	Band of operation
Backscatter Modulation Type	ASK	ASK, PSK
Temperature Range	-40°C to 65°C	Product temperature range
Optional Command Support	Access, BlockWrite	Access, BlockWrite, BlockErase



**Q3:** What are the criteria for receiving a DI or MI certification? Does DI or MI certified mean the Reader meets the respective mask in all modes tested?

**A:** To receive DI certification the vendor shall declare himself an FDM (Frequency Division Multiplexed) and/or TDM (Time Division Multiplexed) operator. An FDM operator intends to participate in a cooperative frequency plan with other FDM operators such that Reader transmissions do not spectrally interfere with Tag backscatter signaling. A TDM operator intends to be on/off multiplexed such that two near-by Readers never transmit simul-taneously. TDM DI only Readers should not be operated in an FDM DI deployment.

#### Criteria for getting DI certification are as follows:

**1a)** If FDM then test with Tari of 25  $\mu$ s, PR-ASK or SSB-ASK, using a subcarrier with M=4 or 8, and declare other mode parameters

- 1b) If TDM then test in declared mode
- 2) Pass DI mask in tested mode
- 3) Pass channelization test (frequency accuracy)

MI certification is granted in the Conformance Badge if the MI mask is met for any one of the modes in the Mode Table; that is, at least one entry contains a yellow mark.

The vendor may choose to be tested against the DI mask in any mode. If the mask is met, this will be indicated in the Mode Table by a green mark. A mark, in itself, is not sufficient to achieve DI certification in the Conformance Badge. The other DI criteria must also be met, and if they are, a green mark will be indicated in the FDM DI or TDM DI portion of the Mode Table.

DI or MI certified does not mean the Reader meets the respective mask for all modes tested.

**Q4:** Can a Reader get a MI (yellow) and DI (green) mask mark at the same Tari, modulation type, PIE ratio, DR, BDR, and encoding values in the Mode Table?

**A:** No, either a DI, MI, or SI mask mark is given for a particular set of these parameters. If a DI mask is met, it supercedes MI and SI, so DI credit is given (green mark). Likewise, MI supercedes SI. The vendor chooses DI, MI, or SI testing for a particular set of these parameters. The vendor has the option to move to a less stringent mask if they cannot meet the more stringent mask during test.

If at least one of the parameters listed above is unique this will appear as a separate entry in the Mode Table. Both DI and MI certification can be achieved if at least two modes are specified and DI mask testing is per-formed for one and MI performed for the other. SI is not listed in the Conformance Badge unless neither the DI nor MI criteria are met.

**Q5:** If table entries are optional, what is the incentive for a vendor to attempt certification in multiple modes? The more modes elected, the greater possibility for failure. A vendor can get credit in the Conformance Badge by passing in just one mode. At the other extreme, test time can become excessive for vendors wishing testing at a large number of Tari's. What are the minimal and maximal test requirements?

**A:** Vendors are required to test at least one mode at their minimum and maximum Tari. If a Reader only sup-ports one Tari, that Tari is tested and it is shown in the Conformance Badge. If a Reader supports two or more Tari's, testing must occur at minimum and maximum Tari (at least two modes). The vendor can choose to get tested at up to six Tari's, at as many modulation types, PIE ratios, DR's, BDR's, and encoding values as they wish. The Conformance Badge will indicate the Tari's tested, not to exceed six values.



**Q6:** Numerous interrogator by demonstration items in the conformance document specify testing "At centre frequency closest to centre of supported band". What exactly does this mean?

**A:** The Gen2 protocol accommodates Readers from any region that regulates UHF RFID between 860 and 960 MHz Multiple operational frequency bands must therefore be supported in conformance testing. For tests in which "At centre frequency closest to centre of supported band" is specified as a test condition, the vendor declares this frequency to the testing facility according to the following criteria:

- a. If the Reader is to be certified for operation in North America and supports subcarrier signalling, then the channelization specified in Table G.1 must be supported and the Reader is tested at 915.25 MHz which is the supported channel frequency closest to the centre of the band.
- b. If the Reader is to be certified for operation in North America and does not support subcarrier signalling, the Reader is tested at the channel frequency closest to the band centre that the Reader supports. The vendor declares that frequency. The vendor may support a sub-band of the FCC band.
- c. If the Reader is to be certified for operation in a region other than North America, the Reader is tested at the channel frequency closest to the band centre that the Reader supports. The vendor declares that frequency. The vendor may support a sub-band of the regional band.

If a Reader supports multiple regions, certification is achieved by separately testing each band according to the above guidelines.

The centre frequency definition has significance for Multi-Interrogator spectral mask testing (6.3.1.2.11, Figure 6.6). The following clarifies the procedures for Multi-Interrogator testing:

- a. If the Reader is to be certified for operation in North America and supports subcarrier signalling, the spectral mask requirement (Figure 6.6) is centred at a valid channel frequency (Table G.1) for purposes of compliance test. For the purposes of defining the testing mask, a channel is 500 kHz wide.
- b. If the Reader is to be certified for operation in North America and does not support subcarrier signalling, the spectral mask is centred at the vendor declared frequency for purposes of compliance test. For the purposes of defining the test mask, a channel is a maximum of 500 kHz wide. The vendor declares the channel width.
- c. If the Reader is to be certified for operation in a region other than North America, the spectral mask is centred at the vendor declared frequency for purposes of compliance test. For the purposes of defining the test mask, channel width is determined by local regulations and is 200 kHz for a CEPT-regulated region.

**Q7:** The conformance document (6.3.1.2.1) specifies that dense-interrogator testing can be limited to the mini-mum or maximum temperature at which the Reader supports (see Test Condition excerpt below). How does this statement affect me in conformance testing?

#### Test conditions:

Temp: max (-40, minimum supported temperature) and min (65, maximum supported temperature). If sup-ported temperature range exceeds -25 or 40 then testing will also be performed at -25 or 40 respectively. All temperatures are in °C (all +/- 3 °C)

**A:** The intent of this wording to provide a certification path for Readers rated for narrower or wider temperature ranges while preventing spectral pollution when they are operated outside their rated range.

The reader vendor declares their rated temperature range on the conformance application form and shows evidence in their by-design documentation that the rated temperature is specified in their product specification. The test facility tests over the declared range. If the vendor passes, the tested range is listed in the vendors Conformance Badge. It is the end users responsibility to deploy the Reader in an environment that does not exceed the tested limits.

For Readers with rated ranges beyond the -40 or 65 limits, testing shall also be performed at -40 or 65, respectively. For Readers with rated ranges between -25 and -40 or between 40 and 65, testing shall also be per-formed at -25 or 40, respectively.



**Q8:** For purposes of testing Reader power-up settling time, what defines the end of the settling time interval? The Ts and Ths settling time intervals are shown in Figures 6.3 and 6.5, respectively, in the Gen2 protocol specification.

**A:** The Ts and Ths intervals end when the envelope settles to within 5% of its 100% electric field strength level.

**Q9:** The conformance document (6.3.1.2.6 and 6.3.1.2.7) specifies that the Reader RF envelope shall rise and fall monotonically between the specified power limits. Measurement parameters are not specified, so it is feasible that a Reader can fail the monotonicity test due to measurement uncertainty. What is the test procedure that accounts for measurement uncertainty?

**A:** The test set recovers a time-sampled profile of the rising and falling ramp of the RF envelope. Within the regions that the monotonicity requirements apply, samples are compared to all previous samples. In the case of a falling ramp, the current sample must be less than the previous sample within the measurement tolerance of the test set. For example, if the test set power measurement error is  $\pm 2\%$ , than the current sample cannot exceed any of the previous samples by more than 2%. The test facility shall establish the measurement accuracy of the test set.

**Q10:** Testing of Reader modulated RF envelope characteristics and symbol durations are specified in 6.3.1.2.3 and 6.3.1.2.5 of the conformance document. These parameters are determined based on A and B measurements as shown in Figure 6.2 of the Gen2 protocol specification. In test, how is A determined?

**A:** In 6.3.1.2.5 of the Gen2 protocol specification, A is referred to as the maximum amplitude of the RF envelope. In Figure 6.2, A is shown as the midpoint between the maximum and minimum ripple excursions. The ripple represents inter-symbol interference associated with the band-limiting of the transmit symbols. Inter-symbol interference can case the RF envelope to exceed the maximum amplitude of an un-modulated signal with the same power. For consistency with the Gen2 protocol specification, the value of A in 6.3.1.2.3 and 6.3.1.2.5 shall be determined by measuring the unmodulated envelope immediately preceding modulation from the first Reader command issued after the end of the settling interval following a power-up. The test facility shall determine the optimal measurement time to establish an accurate estimate of A.



# **B** DUT technical information required for test submission

## B.1 Introduction

This document describes the required input parameters for Gen2V2 Conformance tests, which shall be supplied for each DUT (tag or reader) additional to the following documentation:

The product vendor shall supply datasheets and other information useful for communication with the tags and operation of the tags.

The documentation shall unambiguously define the brand name, model number, firmware version, and hardware version of the DUT.

The product vendor shall mark each DUT with a clearly visible number. Furthermore, it shall provide a list containing DUT number linked to UII memory content and TID content for each tag.

## **B.2** Application Conformance

This section applies for both tag and interrogator.

The supplied DUT supports the following applications according Annex N of the Gen 2 V2.0.0:

- M mandatory functionality
- EAS EAS functionality
- TAC Tag Alteration (Core) functionality
- TACC Tag Alteration (Core + Challenge) functionality
- TACA Tag Alteration (Core + Authenticate) functionality
- TACF Tag Alteration (Core + Full) functionality
- CE Consumer Electronics functionality

## B.3 Command support

This section applies for both tag and interrogator.

The supplied DUT supports the following optional commands:

- Challenge
- Access
- BlockWrite
- BlockErase
- BlockPermalock
- Authenticate
- AuthComm
- SecureComm
- KeyUpdate
- TagPrivilege
- ReadBuffer
- Untraceable
- FileOpen
- FileList
- FilePrivilege
- FileSetup



## B.4 Configuration of tags

This section applies for tags only:

The product vendor shall complete the form below and/or provide a documentation containing the requested information.

- Is the Kill password implemented? □ Y / □ N
- Is the Access password implemented? Y / N
- Please provide the size of each memory bank:
  - Reserved Memory: \_\_\_\_\_ bit
  - EPC Memory: \_\_\_\_\_ bit
  - TID Memory: \_\_\_\_\_ bit
  - User Memory: \_\_\_\_\_ bit
- How many files are contained in the User Memory? \_\_\_\_\_
- What is the Block size for each file? \_\_\_\_\_
- If more than one file is implemented, how is the numbering (*FileNum*) of the files implemented?
- Are there any assignments of irreversible untraceability of memory regions (6.3.2.12.3.16)
- If applicable, default Tag privilege associated with access password (Gen2v2 table 6.22)
- If applicable, default Tag privilege associated with each cryptographic suite's Key (Gen2v2 table 6.23)
- If applicable, default File privileges (Gen2v2 table 6.24 and 6.25) \_\_\_\_\_

# The following information is only required if at least one Security access command is supported:

- Which Authentication is supported by the device?
  - Tag Authentication
  - □ Interrogator Authentication
  - Mutual Authentication
- Which ISO/IEC 29167 Cryptographic Suite is supported?
  - Part \_\_\_\_
  - Part \_\_\_\_
  - More than 2 parts: \_\_\_\_\_
- How many keys are available? \_\_\_\_\_
- Keys shall be set as follows, whereas the leading part shall be used according the key length:
  - □ Key 0: 0x003A 0123 4567 8901 23...
  - Key 1: 0x015C 0123 4567 8901 23...
  - □ Key 2: 0x023A 0123 4567 8901 23...
  - □ Key 3: 0x035C 0123 4567 8901 23...
  - □ Key nn (for even nn): 0xnn3A 0123 4567 8901 23...
  - □ Key nn (for odd nn): 0xnn5C 0123 4567 8901 23...
- Please specify the implementation of the key management in case necessary for testing



- If multiple Cryptographic Suites are supported, please provide information on the assignment of the available Keys to the different Cryptographic Suites.
- What is the maximum size of the ResponseBuffer? \_\_\_\_
- What is maximal number of backscatter transmission in case of an In-process Tag reply?

# **B.5** Configuration of Interrogators

This section applies for interrogators only:

# The following information is only required if at least one Security access command is supported:

- Which Authentication is supported by the device?
  - Tag Authentication
  - □ Interrogator Authentication
  - Mutual Authentication
- Which ISO/IEC 29167 Cryptographic Suite is supported?

Part \_\_\_\_

Part \_\_\_\_

More than 2 parts: \_\_\_\_\_