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A Manufacturer’s Perspective
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- Serialization
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Challenges
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Open Discussion
Challenges

Serialization

• Internal and External (CMO) Network
• Colliding Global Requirements
• Limited Resources
• Aggregation and the Use of Inference

e-Pedigree

• Basic Architecture Decisions
• DPMS vs. EPCIS
• “Big Data” Issue
• Certification?
• Digital Signatures?
Challenges

Interoperable Electronic System

- Doesn’t Exist Today
- Remaining Time to Implement
- Limited Pharmacy Engagement
- Varying legacy systems
- Different Solution Providers
- Limited Resources
- Scalability of Piloted Solutions?
Best Practices

Understand Requirements
• Engage with CA BoP
• Contribute to Regulation Development

Secure Senior Management Support

Obtain Funding and Necessary Personnel

Establish Cross Functional Team
• Develop Your Strategy
• Communicate requirements to internal sites and external partners (CMO’s)
• Build flexible solutions to meet global requirements
• Adopt standards-based, non-proprietary solutions

Test, Test and Test Again (End-to-End)!!!
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A Wholesaler’s Perspective

Ron Bone, McKesson
Challenges

• We are 14 months from the first phase of implementation without clear guidance on the interoperable data exchange system.

• There are two incompatible electronic systems (DPMS and EPCIS) being implemented by manufacturers.

• Only a few manufacturers have been in a position to pilot with us.

• We don’t have engagement from the provider community in pilots.
Challenges (Continued)

• At what point(s) in the warehouse process will unit level scanning be required.

• What will be an acceptable use of inference?

• What is the certification requirement?

• How will our downstream partners gather the pedigree information to be able to process a return – variability in capability?

• Will our downstream partners be allowed to use inference on the totes we ship?
Best Practices

• Shift your thinking about products by SKU/Lot to thinking about products as a unique individual unit.

• Select experienced traceability service provider (best if they can handle DPMS and EPCIS).

• Begin pilots as soon as possible:
  • Readability of 2D Barcode
  • Exchange data between trading partners – Our experience is that this is critical

• Find out what works and what does not work before investing Capital.
Best Practices (Continued)

- Develop open dialog with experienced trading partners.

- Develop SOP’s:
  - How will serialized products be handled?
    - When will cases be opened in the warehouse?
    - Steps to be taken when a serial number is not in the database received.
    - Exception processing.
    - How will inference testing be conducted?

- Provide downstream partners clear expectations on submitting returns.
A SDO’s Perspective

Bob Celeste, GS1 US
SECURE SUPPLY CHAIN TASK FORCE
RX IMPLEMENTATION GUIDELINE

Contents of v1.0:
- Identifying Trade Units (Products, Cases, and Kits):
- Identifying Logistics Units (Cases, Pallets, and Totes)
- Identifying Parties & Locations
- Encoding GS1 Data Carriers
- Translating Captured Data
- Master Data Management (product and location)
- Applying GS1 Standards for Event Data
- Supply Chain Events to be Captured for Pedigree

Continuing work on v2.0
- Exceptions Processing
- Pilot findings / best practices
- Forward Logistics Examples
- Reverse Logistics Examples
- Potential Architectural Models

www.gs1us.org/RxGuideline
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- Identify Products, Logistics Units and Locations
- Barcode Quality for Products and Logistics Units
- Extending EPCIS events to share Pedigree Data
- Enabling Basic Forward Logistics 1-UP / 1-Down
- Documenting Reverse Logistics EPCIS Events
- Documenting Exception Processes
- Scalability, Architecture and other Services
- Identifying simple means to pass Chain of Ownership
Identify Products, Logistics Units and Locations

4. Background Concepts

4.1. Relationship between NDC – GTIN – SGTIN

The FDA National Drug Code (NDC) is a U.S. regulatory identifier used to identify pharmaceutical products for regulatory purposes. The GTIN is a supply chain identifier used to identify products for supply chain purposes. The SGTIN is a supply chain identifier used to identify individual instances of a product for supply chain purposes. There is a cohesive, hierarchical relationship between these identifiers. As illustrated in Figure 1, NDCs can be embedded into GTINs so that identification of pharmaceutical products for supply chain purposes is consistent with identification of pharmaceutical products for regulatory purposes. GTINs can then be supplemented with serial numbers to identify individual instances of the pharmaceutical product.

![Diagram of NDC, GTIN, and SGTIN]

Figure 1: Relationship of the NDC, GTIN and SGTIN

4.2. NDC Labeler Code & GS1 Company Prefix

The NDC is a 10-digit identifier comprising two segments: a Labeler Code assigned by the FDA and a Product/Package Code assigned by the manufacturer. The Labeler Code is a variable length identifier assigned by the FDA (and encoded into NDCs) to identify a company that manufactures a drug (including active pharmaceutical ingredient and dosage form).
8.1.1. Trade Items: Products, Cases & Kits

As a way of gaining uniformity throughout the supply chain, this guideline includes two best practice barcode options for products, cases and kits. GS1 DataMatrix and GS1-128. There are two required data elements to be encoded: GTIN and Serial Number.
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**Identify Products, Logistics Units and Locations**

- **Barcode Quality for Products and Logistics Units**
- **Extending EPCIS Events to share Pedigree Data**
- **Enabling Basic Forward Logistics**
- **1-UP / 1-Down**
- **Documenting Reverse Logistics**
- **EPCIS Events**
- **Identifying simple means to pass Chain of Ownership**
- **Documenting Exception Processes**
- **Scalability, Architecture and other Services**

---

### Extensions used in Commissioning Events:

In addition to the EPCIS standard fields shown above, the following extensions are also included in a Commissioning event. (See Section 15 for general notes about extensions.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Usage</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>eventID</td>
<td>Optional</td>
<td>String</td>
<td>A universally unique identifier (UUID) as defined by IETF RFC 4122 that uniquely identifies this event, using the URN syntax also defined in RFC 4122. Currently this event ID is added here for the purposes of pilots to test the use and value of an ID for identifying and referencing EPCIS events (void, replace, etc.). It is possible that this attribute will be adopted into the EPCIS standard and promoted to the standard set of attributes. At that time, this attribute will be removed from the extension as part of a future version of this guideline.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>additionalTradeItemIdentification</td>
<td>Conditional</td>
<td>Additional TradeItemIdentification Type (see below)</td>
<td>The product code associated with all of the EPCs in the context of the ObjectEvent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tradeItemMasterData</td>
<td>Conditional</td>
<td>Complex Type tradeItemMasterData (see below)</td>
<td>Used for trading partners who do not employ a master data management strategy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lotNumber</td>
<td>Conditional (see notes below)</td>
<td>String</td>
<td>The lot or batch number for all of the EPCs in the context of the ObjectEvent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>itemExpirationDate</td>
<td>Conditional (see notes below)</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>The expiration date for all of the EPCs in the context of the ObjectEvent. Formatted as an ISO 8601 date.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
19.1.1. *Ship a full case through the supply chain*

The following examples depict a Manufacturer shipping a pallet of cases to a Wholesaler who then breaks the pallet down to its cases and ships a full case to the Dispenser warehouse.

In the Figure 19 scenario, each trading partner captures the correct EPCIS events; however, they only share the *Shipping* event with each other. (If necessary, each trading partner could collect the remaining events from their trading partners to assemble the full history of events for a particular trade item.)
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- Identify Products, Logistics Units and Locations
- Scalability, Architecture and other Services
- Barcode Quality for Products and Logistics Units
- Extending EPCIS events to share Pedigree Data
- Identifying simple means to pass Chain of Ownership
- Documenting Exception Processes
- Enabling Basic Forward Logistics 1-UP / 1-Down
- Documenting Reverse Logistics EPCIS Events
- Scalability, Architecture and other Services
RX SECURE SUPPLY CHAIN

WHAT IS THE SIMPLEST WAY TO SHOW THE CHAIN OF OWNERSHIP OR CHAIN OF CUSTODY?

Identifying simple means to pass Chain of Ownership

Manufacturer

\[ C^M_{[TI]} \]
\[ C^M_{[CA]} \]
\[ P^M_{[TI/CA]} \]
\[ S^M_{[CA]} \]

Wholesaler

\[ R^W_{[CA]} \]
\[ U^W_{[TI/CA]} \]
\[ R^W_{[TI]} \]
\[ P^W_{[TI/TO]} \]
\[ S^W_{[TO]} \]

Dispenser

\[ R^D_{[TO]} \]
\[ U^D_{[TI/TO]} \]
\[ E^D_{[TI]} \]
EPCIS BASED PEDIGREE CONCEPT
EPCIS BASED PEDIGREE CONCEPT

- **EPCIS Events**
  - Manufacturer A
  - Wholesaler A
  - Wholesaler B
  - Jack's Pharmacy

- **Chain of Custody List**
  - EPC, UUD
    - event Time, transferredToID, transferredToDN, transferToDNS, TransToDNS

- **EPCIS Queries**
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